

Spotted Quoll Open Pit Nickel Mine Ministerial Statement 808 Annual Compliance Assessment Report 1 July 2016 to the 30 June 2017



PREPARED BY: Western Areas Limited

PREPARED FOR: Office of the Environmental Protection Authority - Compliance Branch

DUE DATE: 16 September 2017 **Document Reference:** CAR2017808

ANNUAL COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Intro	oduction	3
	1.1.	Approvals Record	3
2.	Sum	nmary of Proposal's Implementation Status	4
3.	Stat	ement of Compliance	5
	3.1.	Proposal and Proponent Details	5
	3.2.	Statement of Compliance (SoC) Details	5
	3.3.	Details of Non-compliance(s) and/or Potential Non-compliance(s)	7
	3.4.	Proponent Declaration	8
4.	Envi	ironmental Monitoring	8
	4.1.	Declared Rare Flora (Eucalyptus steedmanii)	9
	4.2.	Raw Data	10
5.	Figu	res	11
	5.1.	Project Location	11
	5.2.	Project Area and Site Layout	12
6.	Арр	endices	13
	6.1.	Ministerial Statement 808	13
	6.2.	Letter of Advice not to Assess (SQ UG Nickel Mine)	23
	6.3.	Public Advice Under EP Act Section 39A(7)	24
	6.4.	Ministerial Statement 882	25
	6.5.	Compliance Audit Table	27
	6.6.	DRF Management Plan Acceptance Letter	32
	6.7	Monitoring Data	33

1. Introduction

The Spotted Quoll Open Pit Nickel Mine is part of the Forrestania Nickel Operation (FNO) which is located approximately 160 kilometres (km) south of Southern Cross and 80 km east of Hyden in the Shire of Kondinin (Figure 1). The Spotted Quoll mine is wholly owned operated by Western Areas Limited (WAL).

The Spotted Quoll Open Pit Nickel Mine was approved under the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) in September 2009 and issued Ministerial Statement No. 808 (MS808) (Appendix 1). A second referral was submitted to the EPA on the 2 August 2010 for the Spotted Quoll Underground Nickel Mine. The EPA decided not to subject the second proposal to a formal environmental impact assessment process and the subsequent setting of formal conditions by the Minister for Environment (Appendix 2); however did provide public advice on the 8 October 2010 under Section 39A(7) of the EP Act (Appendix 3). In summary, WAL was advised to clearly establish and distinguish any impacts from the underground mine from the open cut pit to ensure that they remain compliant with the existing MS808.

A statement to amend conditions applying to MS808 was issued on the 2 December 2011 as Ministerial Statement 882 (MS882) (Appendix 4) and subsequently condition M8-2 of MS808 was replaced and condition M8-3 of MS808 deleted.

Condition M4-6 of MS808 requires the preparation and submission of an annual Compliance Assessment Report (CAR) for the preceding 12 months. This report has been prepared to meet condition M4-6 and covers the period 17 September 2016 to 16 September 2017. The MS808 audit compliance table is provided in Appendix 5.

This annual CAR has been prepared by WAL for the Spotted Quoll project area and has been prepared in accordance with the Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP) dated March 2010.

1.1. Approvals Record

A record of other approvals sought and gained by WAL for the Spotted Quoll project is presented in Table 1.

Approval	Reference	Date Approved	Description	Issuing Authority
Туре	Number			
Works Approval	WA 4499/2008/1 (DEC9635)	24/09/2009	Dewatering infrastructure (water bores, dewatering pipeline and settling ponds).	Department of Environment and Conservation
Mining Proposal	REG ID 22286	07/10/2009	Spotted Quoll Open Pit Nickel Mine and related infrastructure.	Department of Mines and Petroleum
Abstraction Licence	GWL170112	19/11/2009	License to take water for mine dewatering activities.	Department of Water
Prescribed Premises License	L8041/1991/3	04/02/2010	Amendment made to the Flying Fox Prescribed Premises Licence to include the dewatering activities associated with the Spotted Quoll Open Pit Nickel Mine.	Department of Environment and Conservation

Table 1: Approvals Record

ANNUAL COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT

Mining Proposal	REG ID 28561	29/11/2010	Spotted Quoll Underground Nickel Mine and related infrastructure.	Department of Mines and Petroleum
Mining Proposal	REG ID 35890	09/08/2012	Spotted Quoll Underground Nickel Mine related infrastructure upgrade.	Department of Mines and Petroleum
Prescribed Premises License	L8041/1991/5	17/10/2013	All WAL FNO prescribed premises licenses amalgamated to form a contiguous boundary and operate in an integrated entity.	Department of Environment and Regulation
Mining Proposal	REG ID 22286	21/01/2015	Spotted Quoll Underground Nickel Mine return airway shaft.	Department of Mines and Petroleum

2. Summary of Proposal's Implementation Status

The Spotted Quoll open pit ceased production in February 2012 whilst underground operations commenced in April 2011 and have since continued. A layout diagram of the Spotted Quoll project is included as Figure 2 and is comprised of:

 Open Pit Mine 	
-----------------------------------	--

• Underground Mine

Waste Dump

• Topsoil Stockpiles

Paste Plant

Vent Shaft

• Mine Ore Pad

Offices

Workshops and Fuel Bay

Dewatering Infrastructure

Septic System

• Bioremediation Facility

• Transport and Powerline Corridors

Overburden Stockpile

Laydown Facility

Switch Yard

Activities undertaken for the reporting period included:

- Development of the Spotted Quoll underground mine vent shaft
- Continuation of the Spotted Quoll underground mine
- Rehabilitation works of the Spotted Quoll waste dump.

3. Statement of Compliance

3.1. Proposal and Proponent Details

Proposal Title	Spotted Quoll Open Pit Nickel Mine
Statement Number	Ministerial Statement 808 and 882
Proponent Name	Western Areas Limited
Proponent's Australian Company Number	091 049 357

3.2. Statement of Compliance (SoC) Details

Reporting Period	1 July 2016 to the 30 June 2017
------------------	---------------------------------

Implementation pl	plementation phase(s) during reporting period (please tick ✓ relevant phase(s))						
Pre-construction		Construction		Operation	✓	Decommissioning	

Audit Table for the Statement addressed in this SoC is provided in Attachment: Appendix 5 The audit table has been prepared in accordance with the Office of the Environmental Protection Authority's (OEPA) Post Assessment Guideline for Preparing an Audit Table, as amended from time to time. The 'Status Column' of the audit table has accurately described the compliance status of each implementation condition and/or procedure for the reporting period of this Statement of Compliance. The terms used by WAL in the 'Status Column' of the audit table are limited to the Compliance Status Terms listed and defined as per Table 2.

ANNUAL COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT

Table 2: Compliance Status Terms

Compliance Status Terms	Abbrev	Definition	Notes
Compliant	С	Implementation of the proposal has been carried out in accordance with the requirements of the audit element.	 This term applies to audit elements with: ongoing requirements that have been met during the reporting period; and requirements with a finite period of application that have been met during the reporting period, but whose status has not yet been classified as 'completed'.
Completed	CLD	A requirement with a finite period of application has been satisfactorily completed.	 This term may only be used where: audit elements have a finite period of application (e.g. construction activities, development of a document); the action has been satisfactorily completed; and the Office of the Environmental Protection Authority (OEPA) has provided written acceptance of 'completed' status for the audit element.
Not required at this stage	NR	The requirements of the audit element were not triggered during the reporting period.	This should be consistent with the 'Phase' column of the audit table.
Potentially Non-compliant	PNC	Possible or likely failure to meet the requirements of the audit element.	This term may apply where during the reporting period the proponent has identified a potential non-compliance and has not yet finalized its investigations to determine whether non-compliance has occurred.
Non-compliant	NC	Implementation of the proposal has not been carried out in accordance with the requirements of the audit element.	This term applies where the requirements of the audit element are not "complete" have not been met during the reporting period.

Were all implementation conditions and/or procedures of the Statement complied with within the			
reporting period? (please tick 2 the a	eporting period? (please tick 🛭 the appropriate box)		
No (please proceed to Section 3.3)		Yes (please proceed to Section 3.4)	✓

3.3. Details of Non-compliance(s) and/or Potential Non-compliance(s)

The information for each non-compliance or potential non-compliance identified during the reporting period covered by this Statement of Compliance is provided in Table 3.

Table 3: Non-compliance/potential non-compliance

Which implem	nentation condition or procedure was non-compliant or potentially non-con	npliant?
Was the imple	ementation condition or procedure non-compliant or potentially non-compl	iant?
On what date	(s) did the non-compliance or potential non-compliance occur (if applicable))?
Was this non-	compliance or potential non-compliance reported to the General Manager,	OEPA?
Yes	Reported to OEPA verbally. Date:	☐ No
	Reported to OEPA in writing. Date:	
	details of the non-compliance or potential non-compliance and where relev	ant, the
extent of and	impacts associated with the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?	
•	ecise location where the non-compliance or potential non-compliance occu	rred (if
applicable)? (¡	please provide this information as a map or GIS co-ordinates)	
What was the	cause(s) of the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?	
What remedia	al and/or corrective action(s), if any, were taken or are proposed to be taken	n in response
to the non-co	mpliance or potential non-compliance?	
What measure	es, if any, were in place to prevent the non-compliance or potential non-con	npliance
before it occu	rred? What, if any, amendments have been made to those measures to pre-	vent re-
occurrence?		
Please provide	e information/documentation collected and recorded in relation to this imp	lementation
condition or p	rocedure:	
	reporting period addressed in this Statement of Compliance; and	
	lined in the approved Compliance Assessment Plan for the Statement addre	essed in this
	nent of Compliance.	
(the above inf	ormation may be provided as an attachment to this Statement of Complian	cej

^{*} For additional non-compliance or potential non-compliance, please duplicate Table 3 as required.

3.4. Proponent Declaration

IOSEPH RELLADONNA

I,, (full name and position title) declare that I am	
authorised on behalf of Western Areas Ltd. (being the person responsible for the proposal) to	0
submit this Statement of Compliance and that the information contained in this Statement of	
Compliance is true and not misleading.	
Signature: 3/9/17	

Please note that:

- it is an offence under section 112 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 for a person to give or cause to be given information that to his knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular; and
- the General Manager of the OEPA has powers under section 47(2) of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 to require reports and information about implementation of the proposal to which the statement relates and compliance with the implementation conditions.

4. Environmental Monitoring

Various environmental monitoring programs (Table 4) were carried out during the 2016 to 2017 reporting period. Details of these monitoring activities with results are provided to the relevant government departments (Department of Water and Environment Regulation; Department of Mines, Industry Regulation; and Safety and Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions) and Not-For-Profit Organizations (National Malleefowl Recovery Team) in separate annual reports.

Table 4: Environmental Monitoring Programs

Aspect	Monitoring Method	Frequency
Ground Disturbance Activities	Disturbance Mapping and Reconciliation	Annually
Groundwater Quality and Levels	Standing Water Levels	Quarterly
	pH, EC and Major Analytes	Quarterly
Surface Water Quality (surface drainage)	pH, EC and TDS	Quarterly

ANNUAL COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT

Aspect	Monitoring Method	Frequency
Dust Emissions	Dust Deposition (5 fractions) and Metals	Quarterly
Fire Fuel Loading	Fuel Load Assessments	Annually
Weed Establishment	Weed Identification and Mapping	Quarterly
Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation monitoring	Biennially
	Visual inspections	Annually
Feral Animals (wild dog, fox and cat)	Visual sightings	As reported
Malleefowl Mounds	National Malleefowl Recovery Database	Annually
	(total of 109 mounds) and Remote	
	Camera.	
Western Quoll (Chuditch)	Remote Camera and Nocturnal	Biannual
	Monitoring	
Stygofauna	Bore purging and netting	Annually
Environmental Management	Internal audit of management system	Annually
Incidents	Internal review	Quarterly

4.1. Declared Rare Flora (Eucalyptus steedmanii)

Condition 6-3 of MS808 states that WAL shall monitor the health and abundance of the Declared Rare Flora (DRF) *Eucalytpus steedmanii* populations and that the monitoring shall be carried out to the satisfaction of the CEO of the then Department of Environment and Conservation (now Department of Water and Environmental Regulation).

In 2009, WAL engaged Coffey to produce a Management Plan (dated 10 June 2009) for *Eucalyptus steedmanii* to satisfy monitoring requirements as per Condition 6-3 of MS808. Monitoring requirements under this plan are detailed in Table 5.

Table 5: Eucalyptus steedmanii Monitoring Requirements June 2009

Aspect	Monitoring Method	Frequency
Declared Rare Flora	Delineate DRF populations and ascertain	Prior to commencement
(Eucalyptus steedmanii)	population numbers.	of construction activities
	Baseline monitoring of plant health,	
	recruitment and reproductive status DRF	
	populations.	
	DRF population census of all seven	Prior to commencement
	known Eucalyptus steedmanii	of construction activities
	populations	and thereafter
		quadrennial.
	Visual monitoring of populations in close	Weekly
	proximity to the haul road and operations.	
	Transect monitoring of populations for plant	Monthly
	health and reproductive status.	

In 2014, WAL engaged Astron Environmental Services (AES) to revise the *Eucalyptus steedmanii* management plan. They provided a 'Steedman's Gum Conservation Management Plan for Operational and Closure Stages of the Spotted Quoll Mine' (dated April 2014). This plan was submitted to the Office of the EPA for review on 15th April 2014 which was formally accepted on the 20 May 2014 (Appendix 6). Monitoring requirements under this plan are detailed in Table 6.

Table 6: Eucalyptus steedmanii Revised Monitoring Requirements April 2014

Activity	Parameters	Populations	Frequency
Census	Plant density	1 to 8^	Quadrennial
	Plant condition rating		
	Reproductive status		
E. steedmanii health	Visual observations and	1, 3A/3B and plants	Quarterly
monitoring	photographs	identified by	
(observation)		Botanica (2009)	
E. steedmanii health	Plant condition rating.	1, 2, 3A/3B and 7.	Quarterly
monitoring (ratings)	Presence of seed.		
	Seed development.	4 and 5.	Annually
	Recruitment.	4 dila 5.	Tunidany
Dust deposition	Weight per unit area per	At-risk populations and	Quarterly
(gauges)	unit per area time	control areas*	
Dust deposition (E.	Deposition rating	At-risk populations and	Quarterly
steedmanii)		control areas*	
Fuel Load	Unspecified	Areas surrounding	Annual
		Spotted Quoll	
		operations.	
Miscellaneous	Unintentional clearing.	Areas surrounding	Concurrent with above
potential threats	Spillage of saline water.	Spotted Quoll	monitoring activities
	Fire and its management.	operations.	and
	Uncontrolled vehicle		opportunistic
	access.		surveillance at
			other times


^{*}At-risk populations with respect to dust deposition are those adjacent to the haul road and those to the south of the pit; therefore, Population 1, 3a and 3b. Dust gauges and E. steedmanii monitoring transects at population 2 and 7 are therefore assumed at present to be controls (that is, sites where no impact of dust from operations is expected).

4.2. Raw Data


Monitoring data collected as per Table 6 during the reporting period and has been provided in this CAR as Appendix 7 to meet Condition 6-4 of MS808. The last DRF census was undertaken in January 2014 by Botanica Consulting.

5. Figures

5.1. Project Location



5.2. Project Area and Site Layout



6. Appendices

6.1. Ministerial Statement 808

STATUS OF THIS DOCUMENT

This document has been produced by the Office of the Appeals Convenor as an electronic version of the original Statement for the proposal listed below as signed by the Minister and held by this Office. Whilst every effort is made to ensure its accuracy, no warranty is given as to the accuracy or completeness of this document.

The State of Western Australia and its agents and employees disclaim liability, whether in negligence or otherwise, for any loss or damage resulting from reliance on the accuracy or completeness of this document.

Copyright in this document is reserved to the Crown in right of the State of Western Australia. Reproduction except in accordance with copyright law is prohibited.

Published on 17 September 2009

Statement No. 808

STATEMENT THAT A PROPOSAL MAY BE IMPLEMENTED (PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1986)

SPOTTED QUOLL OPEN PIT NICKEL MINE SHIRE OF KONDININ

Proposal: The proposal is to develop and operate an open pit nickel mine

and associated infrastructure on Mining Lease 77/00583 and haulage road on Mining Lease 77/00545 within the Shire of

Kondinin.

The proposal is further documented in schedule 1 of this

statement.

Proponent: Western Areas NL

Proponent Address: Suite 3, Level 1, 11, Ventnor Avenue.

WEST PERTH WA 6005

Assessment Number: 1795

Report of the Environmental Protection Authority: Report 1334

The proposal referred to in the above report of the Environmental Protection Authority may be implemented. The implementation of that proposal is subject to the following conditions and procedures:

1 Proposal Implementation

1-1 The proponent shall implement the proposal as documented and described in schedule 1 of this statement subject to the conditions and procedures of this statement.

2 Proponent Nomination and Contact Details

2-1 The proponent for the time being nominated by the Minister for Environment under sections 38(6) or 38(7) of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 is responsible for the implementation of the proposal. 2-2 The proponent shall notify the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation of any change of the name and address of the proponent for the serving of notices or other correspondence within 30 days of such change.

3 Time Limit of Authorisation

- 3-1 The authorisation to implement the proposal provided for in this statement shall lapse and be void five years after the date of this statement if the proposal to which this statement relates is not substantially commenced.
- 3-2 The proponent shall provide the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the expiration of five years from the date of this statement.

4 Compliance Reporting

- 4-1 The proponent shall prepare and maintain a compliance assessment plan to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.
- 4-2 The proponent shall submit to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation, the compliance assessment plan required by condition 4-1 at least 6 months prior to the first compliance report required by condition 4-6. The compliance assessment plan shall indicate:
 - 1. the frequency of compliance reporting;
 - the approach and timing of compliance assessments;
 - the retention of compliance assessments;
 - 4. reporting of potential non-compliances and corrective actions taken:
 - 5. the table of contents of compliance reports; and
 - 6. public availability of compliance reports.
- 4-3 The proponent shall assess compliance with conditions in accordance with the compliance assessment plan required by condition 4-1.
- 4-4 The proponent shall retain reports of all compliance assessments described in the compliance assessment plan required by condition 4-1 and shall make those reports available when requested by the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.

- 4-5 The proponent shall advise the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation of any potential non-compliance within two business days of that non-compliance being known.
- 4-6 The proponent shall submit a compliance assessment report annually from the date of issue of this Implementation Statement addressing the previous twelve month period or other period as agreed by the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation. The compliance assessment report shall:
 - be endorsed by the proponent's Managing Director or a person, approved in writing by the Department of Environment and Conservation, delegated to sign on the Managing Director's behalf;
 - include a statement as to whether the proponent has complied with the conditions;
 - identify all potential non-compliances and describe corrective and preventative actions taken;
 - be made publicly available in accordance with the approved compliance assessment plan; and
 - indicate any proposed changes to the compliance assessment plan required by condition 4-1.

5 Performance Review and Reporting

- 5-1 The proponent shall submit to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation, a Performance Review Report at the conclusion of the first year after the start of implementation and then annually, which addresses:
 - the major environmental risks and impacts; the performance objectives, standards and criteria related to these; the success of risk reduction/impact mitigation measures and results of monitoring related to management of the major risks and impacts;
 - the level of progress in the achievement of sound environmental performance, including industry benchmarking, and the use of best available technology where practicable; and
 - improvements gained in environmental management which could be applied to this and other similar projects.

6 Flora and Vegetation

- 6-1 The proponent shall not cause the loss of the Declared Rare Flora Eucalyptus steedmanii from the implementation of the proposal.
- 6-2 Prior to ground disturbing activities, the proponent shall undertake baseline monitoring of the health and abundance of the Declared Rare Flora Eucalyptus

- steedmanii populations 2, 3a, 3b, 7 and population 1 (including individuals in close proximity to the haul road and the population fragment to the west of the haul road) identified in Figure 3, schedule 1.
- 6-3 The proponent shall monitor impacts on the health and abundance of the Declared Rare Flora Eucalyptus steedmanii populations as identified in condition 6-2, from activities undertaken in implementing the proposal. This monitoring shall be carried out to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.
- 6-4 The proponent shall submit annually the results of monitoring required by condition 6-3 to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.
- 6-5 In the event that monitoring required by condition 6-3 indicates a decline in the health or abundance of Declared Rare Flora Eucalyptus steedmanii outside the areas to be cleared:
 - the proponent shall report such findings to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation within 21 days of the decline being identified;
 - 2. provide evidence which allows determination of the cause of the decline;
 - if determined by Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation to be a result of activities undertaken in implementing the proposal, the proponent shall submit actions to be taken to remediate the decline to the Chief Executive Officer; and
 - the actions to remediate the decline of Declared Rare Flora shall be undertaken upon approval of the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.
- 6-6 The proponent shall make the monitoring reports required by condition 6-5 publicly available in a manner approved by the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.

7 Fauna

7-1 The proponent shall implement measures identified in Chapter 6.3 of the Environmental Protection Statement for the Proposed Spotted Quoll Mine, prepared by Coffey Environments Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia (July 2009) to prevent adverse impacts to Malleefowl along the haul road.

8 Mine Closure and Rehabilitation

- 8-1 Prior to the commencement of ground-disturbing activities, the proponent shall conduct surveys of the proposal area to collect baseline information on the following:
 - 1. pre-mining soil profiles;

- groundwater levels;
- surface water flows;
- 4. vegetation complexes;
- landscape and landforms; and
- material characterisation.
- 8-2 The proponent shall submit a Rehabilitation and Mine Closure Plan acceptable to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation and the Director General of the Department of Mines and Petroleum with the advice of other agencies as appropriate within 12 months of the commencement of ground disturbing activities.

The Rehabilitation and Mine Closure Plan shall provide for specific outcomes for

- landform design and material characterisation;
- rehabilitation completion criteria consistent with Environmental Protection Authority Guidance Statement No. 6* to provide a self-sustaining, functional ecosystem comprising, native vegetation of local provenance species;
- progressive rehabilitation timelines and monitoring against key performance indicators;
- 4. annual reporting procedures; and
- procedures to review and revise the Rehabilitation and Mine Closure Plan.
- * Guidance for the Assessment of Environmental Factors: Rehabilitation of Terrestrial Ecosystems: No 6, Environmental Protection Authority, 2006
- 8-3 The proponent shall ensure that after mine closure, the final pit void:
 - does not cause significant groundwater contamination outside of the final pit void;
 - is not accessible by terrestrial native fauna if water remains in the final pit void; and
 - is not accessible by any native fauna which may subsequently be harmed or fauna which may harm surrounding native vegetation.

Procedures

 The Minister for Environment will determine any dispute between the proponent and the Environmental Protection Authority or the Department of Environment and Conservation over the fulfilment of the requirements of the conditions.

ANNUAL COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT

- The proponent is required to apply for a Works Approval and Licence for this project under the provisions of Part V of the Environmental Protection Act 1986.
- Where a condition lists advisory bodies, it is expected that the proponent will obtain
 the advice of those listed as part of its compliance reporting to the Department of
 Environment and Conservation.

Donna Faragher JP MLC MINISTER FOR ENVIRONMENT; YOUTH

Schedule 1

Spotted Quoll Open Pit Nickel Mine (Assessment No. 1795)

The proposal is to:

- develop and operate an open pit nickel mine and associated infrastructure on Mining Lease 77/00583 and haulage road on Mining Lease 77/00545 within the Shire of Kondinin; and
- · construct mining infrastructure at Spotted Quoll.

The location of the various project components is shown in Figure 1.

The main characteristics of the proposal are summarised in Table 1 below. A detailed description of the proposal is provided in Section 2 of the project referral document, Environmental Protection Statement for the Proposed Spotted Quoll Mine, prepared by Coffey Environments Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia (June 2009).

Table 1: Summary of key proposal characteristics for Spotted Quoll Open Pit Nickel Mine

Element	Description
General	
Project area	237 hectares
Area of vegetation disturbance	No more than 140 hectares
Total area of rehabilitation	A minimum of 120 hectares
Mining Operation	
Operating life	33 months (including 2-3 months pre-strip) (approximately)
Size of Orebody	Open Cut - 386,000 tonnes at 5.1% nickel (approximately)
Number of mine pits	One
Depth to groundwater	30 to 40 metres from ground level (approximately)
Total Mine Depth	150 metres from ground level (approximately)
Material movements: • Total waste • Ore	6.83 million tonnes per annum (approximately) 200,000 tonnes per annum (approximately)
Dewatering rate	Years 1-2 year: up to 4.7 Gigalitres per year Year 3: 1.5 - 3.2 Gigalitres per year

Figures

Figure 1. Project location.

Figure 2. Project area and site layout.

Figure 3 Eucalyptus steedmanti within and adjacent to the Spotted Quoll project area.




Figure 1: Project location




Figure 2: Project area and site layout

9 of 10




Figure 3: Eucalyptus steedmanii within and adjacent to the Spotted Quoll project area.

6.2. Letter of Advice not to Assess (SQ UG Nickel Mine)



Environmental Protection Authority

AUB ZING

The Atrium, Level 8, 168 St Georges Terrace, Perth, Western Australia 6000, Telephone: (08) 6467 5000. Facsimile: (08) 6467 5557.

Postal Address: Locked Bag 33, Cloisters Square, Perth, Western Australia 6850. Website: www.epa.wa.gov.au

Chief Executive Officer Western Areas NL Suite 3, 11 Ventnor Avenue WEST PERTH WA 6005

Our Ref A322609 Enquiries Peter Tapsell

Attn: Phil Knapton

Dear Sir/Madam

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 39A(3)(a)/(b)
Environmental Protection Act 1986

PROPOSAL: LOCATION: PROPONENT: Spotted Quoll underground mine M77/583 & M77/545 Approx 160 km S of Southern Cross & 80 km E of Hyden

Western Areas NL

DECISION: Not Assessed - Public Advice Given

Thank you for your letter of 2 August 2010 referring the above matter to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) under section 38 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (EP Act) for consideration of its potential environmental impact.

This proposal raises a number of environmental issues. However, the EPA has decided not to subject this proposal to the formal environmental impact assessment process and the subsequent setting of formal conditions by the Minister for Environment. Nevertheless, the EPA will provide advice to you and relevant authorities on the environmental aspects of the proposal. That advice will be forwarded to you and relevant public authorities following completion of the appeals process.

The EPA's decision to not assess the proposal is open to appeal. There is a 14-day period, closing on 13 September 2010, during which, on payment of the \$10 appeal fee, an appellant may ask the Minister to consider directing the EPA to conduct a formal assessment. Information on the outcome of the appeals process is available through the Appeals Convenor's website, www.appealsconvenor.wa.gov.au, or by telephoning 6467 5190 after the closing date of appeals.

The information received regarding your proposal will be made publicly available on request. However, 39(2) of the EP Act provides for a proponent to request that matters of a confidential nature not be kept on the public record. If you believe any part of the proposal information relates to a manufacturing process or trade secret which is commercially confidential and should not be publicly available, please contact the Assessment Officer cited above no later than 3 working days after the date of this letter. Any such request should be confirmed in writing.

Yours faithfully

Colin Murray Director

Assessment and Compliance Services

30 August 2010


6.3. Public Advice Under EP Act Section 39A(7)



Office of the Environmental Protection Authority

The Atrium, Level 8, 168 St Georges Terrace, Perth, Western Australia 6000. Telephone: (08) 6467 5600. Facsimile: (08) 6467 5556.

Postal Address: Locked Bag 33, Cloisters Square, Perth, Western Australia 6850. Website: www.epa.wa.gov.au



Chief Executive Officer Western Areas NL Suite 3, 11 Ventnor Avenue WEST PERTH WA 6005

Our Ref: A322609

Enquiries: Peter Tapsell : 6467 5491
Email: peter.tapsell@epa.wa.gov.au

Attn: Phil Knapton

Dear Sir/Madam

PUBLIC ADVICE UNDER SECTION 39A(7) Environmental Protection Act 1986

PROPOSAL: LOCATION: Spotted Quoll underground mine M77/583 & M77/545 Approx 160km S of Southern Cross & 80km E of

Hyden

LOCALITY: PROPONENT:

Shire of Kondinin Western Areas NL

LEVEL OF ASSESSMENT: Not As

Not Assessed - Public Advice Given

Further to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) letter of 30 August 2010 with regard to the above proposal, the Office of the Environmental Protection Authority (OEPA) advises that no appeals were received against the EPA's determination that your proposal should be treated as *Not Assessed-Public Advice Given*.

Accordingly, the OEPA provides the following advice:

ADVICE AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Environmental Issues
- a. Stygofauna
- b. Works Approval
- c. Existing Ministerial Statement

2. Advice and Recommendations regarding Environmental Issues

a. Stygofauna

The EPA notes that the proposal involves the extension of the period of extraction of groundwater to cater for the underground mine (increasing from 33 to approximately 108 months). The EPA also notes that the rate of extraction will not exceed the dewatering rates approved for the open cut mine.

6.4. Ministerial Statement 882

STATUS OF THIS DOCUMENT

This document has been produced by the Office of the Appeals Convenor as an electronic version of the original Statement for the proposal listed below as signed by the Minister and held by this Office. Whilst every effort is made to ensure its accuracy, no warranty is given as to the accuracy or completeness of this document.

The State of Western Australia and its agents and employees disclaim liability, whether in negligence or otherwise, for any loss or damage resulting from reliance on the accuracy or completeness of this document.

Copyright in this document is reserved to the Crown in right of the State of Western Australia. Reproduction except in accordance with copyright law is prohibited.

Published on: 2 December 2011

Statement No. 882

STATEMENT TO AMEND CONDITIONS APPLYING TO A PROPOSAL (PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 46 OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ACT 1986)

SPOTTED QUOLL OPEN PIT NICKEL MINE SHIRE OF KONDININ

Proposal: Refer to Ministerial Statement 808.

Proponent: Western Areas NL

Proponent Address: Level 2, 2 Kings Park Road

WEST PERTH WA 6005

Assessment Number: 1795

Report of the Environmental Protection Authority: Report 1417

Previous report of the Environmental Protection Authority: Report 1334

Previous Statement Number: 808 (published on 17 September 2009)

The implementation of the proposal to which the above report of the Environmental Protection Authority relates is subject to the conditions and procedures contained in Ministerial Statement 808, as amended by the following:

1. Condition 8-2 replaced

Condition 8-2 of Ministerial Statement 808 is deleted and replaced with:

"8-2 The proponent shall submit a Rehabilitation and Mine Closure Plan which is to be prepared to the requirements of the CEO of the Office of the Environmental Protection Authority with the advice of other agencies as appropriate within 12 months of the commencement of ground disturbing activities.

The Rehabilitation and Mine Closure Plan shall cover:

landform design and material characterisation outcomes;

- rehabilitation completion criteria consistent with Environmental Protection Authority Guidance Statement No. 6 Guidance for the Assessment of Environmental Factors: Rehabilitation of Terrestrial Ecosystems to provide a self-sustaining, functional ecosystem comprising native vegetation of local provenance species;
- progressive rehabilitation timelines and monitoring against key performance indicators;
- 4. annual reporting procedures;
- procedures to review and revise the Rehabilitation and Mine Closure Plan;
- measures for preventing groundwater contamination outside of the final pit void; and
- 7. in the event that a pit lake forms, management measures for ensuring the site is inaccessible to fauna identified as being at risk of impact and for protecting the surrounding native vegetation from potential adverse impacts. The management measures are to be practicable and in accordance with best practice mine closure safety and environmental standards."

2. Condition 8-3 deleted

Condition 8-3 of Ministerial Statement 808 is deleted.

[Signed 2 December 2011]

HON BILL MARMION MLA MINISTER FOR ENVIRONMENT; WATER

Compliance Audit Table 6.5.

Office of the Environmental Protection Authority

e pref	audit	20 414
ixes:	table	at ala
S	Sesi	KIN THE
Vinis	umn	COLUM
ter's	nary a	Sinnie
condi	and tir	21.10
tion,	net	200
P = Pr	able of	30.00
ppo	fcon	dien's
ent	dition	Collan
s comr	ns and	S. Mark
류	com	2007
nent;	mitn	Chein
A=A	ients	Tion,
Audit :	apply	2000
specif	/ing to	ALIAN I
icatio	o this	a di con
N.	projec	0
N = Proc	ect. R	Carrie
cedu	efer t	" laci
7	to the	CACION
	Mini	Property
	ster'	le
	's Stat	
	atemer	
	at for	
	full	
	detail	
	/prec	
	ise w	
	ordin	
	g of i	
	ndivi	
	dual e	
	eleme	
	ents.	

	•	•	•	٠	•
Management Division - WMD; Department of Conservation and Land Management - CALM; Department of Minerals and Energy - DME; Environmental Protection Authority - EPA; Health Department of WA - HDWA; Water and Rivers Commission - WRC; Bush Fires Board - BRB.	Acronyms list: - Minister for the Environment - Min for Env; Chief Executive Officer of the OEPA - CEO; Department of Environment - DoE (now DEC - Dept of Environment and Conservation); Evaluation Division - Part V; Pollution Prevention Division - Part V; Waste	Any elements with status = "Audited by proponent only" are legally binding but are not required to be addressed specifically in compilance reports, if compiled with.	Code prefixes: M = Minister's condition; P = Proponent's commitment; A = Audit specification; N = Procedure.	 This audit table is a summary and timetable of conditions and commitments applying to this project. Refer to the Minister's Statement for full detail/precise wording of individual elements. 	 Phases that apply in this table = Pre-Construction, Construction, Operation, Decommissioning, Overall (several phases)

808:M4.2	808:M4.1	808:M3.2	808:M3.1	808:M2.2	808:M2.1	808:M1.1	Audit Code
Compliance Reporting	Compliance Reporting	Time Limit of Authorisation	Time Limit of Authorisation	Proponent Nomination and Contact Details	Proponent Nomination and Contact Details	Proposal Implementation	Subject
The proponent shall submit to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation, the compliance assessment plan	The proponent shall prepare and maintain a compliance assessment plan to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.	The proponent shall provide the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the expiration of five years from the date of this statement.	The authorisation to implement the proposal provided for in this statement shall lapse and be void five years after the date of this statement if the proposal to which this statement relates is not substantially commenced.	The proponent shall notify the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation of any change of the name and address of the proponent for the serving of notices or other correspondence within 30 days of such change.	The proponent for the time being nominated by the Minister for Environment under sections 38(5) or 38(7) of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 is responsible for the implementation of the proposal.	The proponent shall implement the proposal as documented and described in schedule 1 of this statement subject to the conditions and procedures of this statement.	Requirement
Submit CAP which includes the requirements as per Condition M4.2.	Prepare of a Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP) as per EPA Guidelines 'Post Assessment Guideline for Preparing a Compliance Assessment Plan.'. Maintain CAP for the life of the proposal and a minimum of seven years following the end of the life of the proposal.	Notify in writing:	Notify in writing.	Provide letter to CEO advising change of proponent.	Provide letter to CEO advising change of proponent.	Implement project in accordance with criteria in schedule 1.	How
of CAP from OEPA.	Ş	Letter of notification.	Letter of notification.	Notification of change of proponent address and or company name	Notification of change of proponent address and or company name	Compliance Assessment Report (CAR)	Evidence
CEO	CEO	CEO	CEO	CEO	Min of Env	Min of Env	Satisfy
							Advice Phase
Pre- Construction	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Phase
6 months prior to the first compliance	Ongoing	Before the 17 September 2014	On or before 17 September 2014	Within 30 days of such change	Ongoing	Ongoing	Timeframe
	Compliant	Completed	Completed	Not required at this stage	Not required at this stage	Compliant	Status
CAP was submitted to the OEPA on the 24 September 2010.	No changes to CAP have been made during the reporting period.	Letter of acknowledgement of substantial commencement received from OEPA and dated 30 September 2010.	Letter of acknowledgement of substantial commencement received from OEPA and dated 30 September 2010.	The nominated proponents for the propert did not change during the reporting period.	The nominated proponents for the project did not change during the reporting period.		Further Information

	808:M4.3	808:M4,4	808:M4.5	808;M4.5
	Compliance Reporting	Compliance Reporting	Compliance Reporting	Compliance Reporting
required by condition 4-1 at least 6 months prior to the first compliance report required by condition 4-5. The compliance assessment plan shall indicate: • the frequency of compliance reporting • the approach and timing of compliance assessments • the retention of compliance assessments • the retention of compliance assessments • reporting of potential non-compliances and corrective actions taken • the table of contents of compliance reports • public availability of compliance reports	The proponent shall assess compliance with conditions in accordance with the compliance assessment plan required by condition 4-1.	The proponent shall retain reports of all compliance assessments described in the compliance assessment plan required by condition 4-1 and shall make those reports available when requested by the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.	The proponent shall advise the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation of any potential non-compliance within two business days of that non-compliance being known.	The proponent shall submit a compliance assessment report annually from the date of issue of this implementation Statement addressing the previous twelve month period or other period as agreed by the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation. The compliance assessment report shall: • be endorsed by the proponents Managing Director or a person, approved in writing by the Department of Environment and Conservation, delegated to sign on the Managing Director's behalf • include a statement as to whether the proponent has complied with the conditions identify all potential non-compliances and describe corrective and preventative actions taken • be made publicly available in accordance with the approved compliance • indicate any proposed changes to the compliance assessment plan required by
	Undertake compliance assessment in accordance with CAP.	Retain all reports electronically on the Western Areas servers and make them available upon request.	Notification in writing.	Submit CAR which complies with the requirements as per Condition M4.6.
	CAR and audit table.	Availability of records.	Letter of notification.	CAR receipt letter from the OEPA.
	Min of Env	CEO	CEO	CEO
	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall
report	Annual CAR by 17 September	When requested by the CEO	Within two business days of that non- compliance being known	Annual CAR by 17 September
	Compliant	Compliant	Not required at this stage.	Compliant
Letter of CAP acceptance from the OEPA dated 12 October 2010.	The CAR format has been updated to follow the 'Post Assessment Guideline for Preparing A Compliance Assessment Report-August 2012'	All CARs have been submitted to the OEPA as per the requested timeline and are retained by Western Areas.	There were no known non-compliances during the reporting period.	

Audit Code	808:M5.1	808:M6.1	808:M6.2	808:M6.3	808:M6.4	808:M6.5
Subject	Performance Review and Reporting	Flora and Vegetation	Flora and Vegetation	Flora and Vegetation	Flora and Vegetation	Flora and Vegetation
Requirement	The proponent shall submit to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation, a Performance Review Report at the conclusion of the first year after the start of implementation and then annually, which addresses: 1. the major environmental risks and impacts; 2. the performance objectives, standards and criteria related to these; the success of risk reduction/impact mitigation measures and results of monitoring related to the management of the major risks and impacts; 3. the level of progress in the achievement of best practice environmental performance, including industry benchmarking, and the use of thest available technology; and 4. improvements gained in environmental and management which could be applied to this and other similar projects	The proponent shall not cause the loss of the Declared flare Flora Eucolytus steedmanii from the implementation of the proposal.	Prior to ground disturbing activities, the proponent shall undertake baseline monitoring of the health and abundance of the Declared Rare Flora Eucolyptus steedmanil populations 2, 3a, 3b, 7 and population 1 (including individuals in close proximity to the haul road and the population fragment to the west of the haul road) identified in Figure 3, schedule 1	The proponent shall monitor impacts on the health and abundance of the Declared Rare Flora Eucolyptus steedmonii populations and individuals as identified in condition 6-2, from activities undertaken in implementing the proposal. This monitoring shall be carried out to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.	The proponent shall submit annually the results of monitoring required by condition 6-3 to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.	In the event that monitoring required by condition 6-3 indicates a decline in the health or abundance of Declared Rare Flora Eucolyptus streedmanii outside the areas to be cleared: the proponent shall report such findings to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation within 21 days of the decline being identified; provide evidence which allows determination
How	Submit Performance Review Report (PRR) which complies with the requirements as per Condition M5.1.	Implementation of the Steedman's Gum Conservation Management Plan For Operational and Closure Stages at Spotted Quoll Mine	Implementation of the Steedman's Gum Conservation Management Plan For Operational and Cosure Stages at Spotted Quoll Mine	Implementation of the Steedman's Gum Conservation Management Plan For Operational and Closure Stages at Spotted Quoll Mine. Monitoring Plan to be approved by the OEPA.	Submit monitoring results in annual CAR.	Notification in writing. Provide investigation report determining root cause of decline. Submit actions to control proponent activities where they are determined to be the root cause of population decline.
Evidence	PPR receipt letter from the OEPA.	CAR and audit table.	Baseline monitoring report which includes results.	Monitoring data as required by approved monitoring plan. Monitoring plan acceptance letter from the OEPA.	Monitoring data provided within CAR	Letter of notification. Investigation report. Letter of notification with proposed actions, Photographs of actions being
Satisfy	CEO	CEO	CEO	CEO	CEO	CEO
Advice						
+	Overall	Overall	Pre- construction	Overall	Overall	Overall
Timeframe	At the conclusion of the first year after the start of implementation (9th October 2010) and then annually	Ongoing	Prior to Ground Disturbing Activities	As per schedule within the approved monitoring plan	Annual CAR by 17 September	Within 21 days of the decline being identified and as required.
	Compliant	Compliant	Complete	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Further Information			Eucalyptus steedmanii population monitoring was undertaken by Botanica Consulting in September 2009.			Written notification submitted to the CEO of the Department of Water, Environment and Regulation of Declared Rare Flora Euclopeus steedmanii health decline.

Audit Code		808:M6.6	808:M7.1	808:M8.1	808:M8.2
Subject		Flora and Vegetation	Fauna	Mine Closure and Rehabilitation	Mine Closure and Rehabilitation
Requirement	of the cause of the decline; if determined by Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation to be a result of activities undertaken in implementing the proposal, the proponent shall submit actions to be taken to remediate the decline to the Chief Executive Officer; and the actions to remediate the decline of Declared Rare Flora shall be undertaken upon approval of the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.	The proponent shall make the monitoring reports required by condition 6-5 publicly available in a manner approved by the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.	The proponent shall implement measures identified in Chapter 6.3 of the Environmental Protection Statement for the Proposed Spotted Quall Mine, prepared by Coffey Environments Pty Ltd., Perth, Western Australia (July 2009) to prevent adverse impacts to Malleefowl along the haul road.	Prior to the commencement of ground-disturbing activities, the proponent shall conduct surveys of the proposal area to collect baseline information on the following: • pre-mining soil profiles • groundwater levels • surface water flows • vegetation complexes • landscape and landforms	The proponent shall submit a Rehabilitation and Mine Closure Plan acceptable to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation and the Director General of the Department of Mines and Petroleum with the advice of other agencies as appropriate within 12 months of the commencement of ground disturbing activities. The Rehabilitation and Mine Closure Plan shall received for inception with the months of the commencement of ground disturbing activities.
How		Make monitoring reports available in accordance with Post Assessment Guideline for Making Information Publically Available – Aug 2012.	induct all staff and contractors to raise awareness about conservation of fauna; Limit project clearing of vegetation to the minimum necessary; Restricting traffic to established roads and parking areas; Erecting signs on haulage and access roads to create awareness of Malleefowl in the area; Survey for Malleefowl in any previously unsurveyed areas within the project area.	Undertake surveys of the proposal area obtaining information on: pre-mining soil profiles groundwater levels surface water flows surface water flows suggestation complexes landscape and landforms material characterisation	Submission of a Rehabilitation and Mine Closure Plan (RMCP) which shall comply with the requirements as per Condition M8.2. Obtain relevant agency advice.
Evidence	undertaken.	CAR and audit table. Western Areas website published information.	CAR and audit table. Environmental Induction Records Ground Disturbance Permit records. Photographs of signs. Malleefawl survey report.	Survey reports containing baseline information.	Letter of acceptance for Rehabilitation and Mine Closure Plan. Letter/s of advice from appropriate agencies.
Satisfy		CEO	CEO	CEO	CEO and Director of DMP
Advice					
Phase		Overall	Overall	Pre- construction	Overall
Timeframe		Within 2 weeks of monitoring report submission.	Ongoing	Prior to Ground Disturbing Activities	Within 12 months of the commencement of Ground Disturbing Activities (i.e. 9th October 2010)
Status		Not required at this stage	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant
Further Information	decline was on the 9 th July 2017 and notification was submitted (postal and email) prior to the 30 th July 2017.				

	Variation of the Party of the P
	nanject
with Environmental Protection Authority Guidance Statement No.5* to provide a self- sustaining, functional ecosystem comprising, native vegetation of local provenance species; 3. progressive rehabilitation timelines and monitoring against key performance indicators; 4. annual reporting procedures; 5. procedures to review and revise the Rehabilitation and Mine Closure Plan; 6. Measures for preventing groundwater contamination outside the final pit void; and lin the event that a pit lake forms, management measures for ensuring the site is inaccessible to fauna identified as being at risk of impact and for protecting the surrounding native vegetation from potential adverse impacts. The management measures are to be practicable and in accordance with best practice mine closure safety and environmental standards. * Guidance for the Assessment of Environmental Factors: Rehabilitation of Terrestrial Ecosystems: No 6, Environmental Protection Authority, 2006.	characterisation;
	ii Ow
	Lymanica
	Aiche
	Autorica (
	Filase
	Illicitation
	Status
	tornia mornanon

DRF Management Plan Acceptance Letter



Mr Phil Knapton Environmental Manager Western Areas Ltd Level 2 2 Kings Park Road WEST PERTH WA 6005



Our Ref: AC05-2014-0017

Enquiries: Euan Sutherland, 6145 0959

Email: euan.sutherland@epa.wa.gov.au

Dear Mr Knapton

SPOTTED QUOLL OPEN PIT NICKEL MINE - STEEDMANS GUM CONSERVATION MANAGEMENT PLAN - CONDITION 6 OF MINISTERIAL STATEMENT 808

Thank you for your letter of 15 April 2014 and the submission of the Steedman's Gum Conservation Management Plan (the Plan) prepared to address Condition 6 of Ministerial Statement 808.

The Office of the Environmental Protection Authority (OEPA) has reviewed the Plan and considers that it satisfies the requirements of Condition 6 of Ministerial Statement 808.

If there are any changes made to the Plan that would substantially affect the management actions or targets, the amended documents would require submittal to OEPA.

Yours sincerely

Mr Kim Taylor GENERAL MANAGER

20 May 2014

The Atrium Level 8, 168 St Georges Terrace, Perth, Western Australia 6000. Postal Address: Locked Bag 10, East Perth, Western Australia 6892.

Telephone: (08) 6145 0800. Facsimile: (08) 6145 0845. Website: www.epa.wa.gov.au

6.6. Monitoring Data



Spotted Quoll Nickel Mine Ministerial Statement 808: Condition 6.4 Monitoring Results



Reporting Period: 01 July 2016 to 30 June 2017

Prepared by: Western Areas Limited

Prepared for: Office of the Environmental Protection Authority - Compliance Branch

Submission date: July 2017

Table of Contents

1.	Intro	oduc	tion	3
2.	Min	isteri	al Statement 808: Condition 6	3
3.	Mor	nitori	ng Requirements	4
4.	Mor	nitori	ng Results	4
	4.1.	Qua	drennial Population Census	4
	4.2.	Hea	Ith Observations	5
	4.3.	Hea	lth Ratings	5
	4.3.	1.	Population 1	7
	4.3.	2.	Population 2	7
	4.3.	3.	Population 3	8
	4.3.	4.	Populations 4 and 5	9
	4.3.	5.	Population 7	10
	4.4.	Dus	t Deposition Gauges	11
	4.5.	Dus	t Deposition DRF	.12
	4.6.	Fue	l Loading	13
	4.7.	Mis	cellaneous Potential Threats	14
5.	Con	clusio	on	14
6.	Арр	endi	ces	15
	6.1.	Арр	endix 1 - Photo Monitoring	15
	6.2.	Арр	endix 2 - Raw Data	26
	6.2.	1.	October 2016 Field Sheets	26
	6.2.	2.	January 2017 Field Sheets	53
	6.2.	3.	April 2017 Field Sheets	72
	6.2.	4.	July 2017 Field Sheets	91

1. Introduction

In 2009, Western Areas Limited (WAL) engaged Coffey to produce a Management Plan (dated 10 June 2009) for Declared Rare Flora (DRF) species *Eucalyptus steedmanii* (*E. steedmanii*) to satisfy monitoring requirements as per Condition 6-3 of MS808. In 2014, WAL engaged Astron Environmental Services (AES) to revise and update the *E. steedmanii* management plan. AES provided a 'Steedman's Gum Conservation Management Plan for Operational and Closure Stages of the Spotted Quoll Mine' (dated April 2014). This plan was submitted to the Office of the EPA for review on 15th April 2014, which was formally accepted on the 20 May 2014. This report has been compiled to meet Condition 6-3 of Ministerial Statement 808 and report on the health and abundance of *E. steedmanii* as per the updated Management Plan dated April 2014.

2. Ministerial Statement 808: Condition 6

Ministerial statement 6 has been set to protect flora and vegetation (*E. steedmanii*) within the project area. There are six parts to MS808 Condition 6 which are detailed within Table 1. These conditions are audited annually by WAL and information provided within the audit table of the Compliance Assessment Report (CAR).

Table 1: Condition 6 of Ministerial Statement 808

Audit Code	Subject	Requirement
808:M6.1	Flora and Vegetation	The proponent shall not cause the loss of the Declared Rare Flora Eucalyptus steedmanii from the implementation of the proposal.
808:M6.2	Flora and Vegetation	Prior to ground disturbing activities, the proponent shall undertake baseline monitoring of the health and abundance of the Declared Rare Flora <i>Eucalyptus steedmanii</i> populations 2, 3a, 3b, 7 and population 1 (including individuals in close proximity to the haul road and the population fragment to the west of the haul road) identified in Figure 3, schedule 1
808:M6.3	Flora and Vegetation	The proponent shall monitor impacts on the health and abundance of the Declared Rare Flora <i>Eucalyptus steedmanii</i> populations and individuals as identified in condition 6-2, from activities undertaken in implementing the proposal. This monitoring shall be carried out to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.
808:M6.4	Flora and Vegetation	The proponent shall submit annually the results of monitoring required by condition 6-3 to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.
808:M6.5	Flora and Vegetation	In the event that monitoring required by condition 6-3 indicates a decline in the health or abundance of Declared Rare Flora Eucalyptus steedmanii outside the areas to be cleared: • the proponent shall report such findings to the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation within 21 days of the decline being identified; • provide evidence which allows determination of the cause of the decline; • if determined by Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation to be a result of activities undertaken in implementing the proposal, the proponent shall submit actions to be taken to remediate the decline to the Chief Executive Officer; and

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Audit Code	Subject	Requirement				
		 the actions to remediate the decline of Declared Rare Flor shall be undertaken upon approval of the Chief Executiv Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation 				
808:M6.6	Flora and Vegetation	The proponent shall make the monitoring reports required by condition 6-5 publicly available in a manner approved by the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation.				

3. Monitoring Requirements

Monitoring requirements dictated within the E. steedmanii Management Plan dated April 2014 are summarised in Table 2.

Table 2: Eucalyptus steedmanii Revised Monitoring Requirements April 2014

Activity	Parameters	Populations	Frequency
Census	Plant density	1 to 8^	Quadrennial
	Plant condition rating		
	Reproductive status		
E. steedmanii health	Visual observations and	1, 3A/3B and plants	Quarterly
monitoring	photographs	identified by	
(observation)		Botanica (2009)	
E. steedmanii health	Plant condition rating.	1, 2, 3A/3B and 7.	Quarterly
monitoring (ratings)	Presence of seed.		
	Seed development.	4 and 5.	Annually
	Recruitment.		,
Dust deposition	Weight per unit area per unit	At-risk populations and	Quarterly
(gauges)	per area time	control areas*	
Dust deposition (E.	Deposition rating	At-risk populations and	Quarterly
steedmanii)		control areas*	
Fuel Load	Unspecified	Areas surrounding	Annual
		Spotted Quoll	
		operations.	
Miscellaneous	Unintentional clearing.	Areas surrounding	Concurrent with above
potential threats	Spillage of saline water.	Spotted Quoll	monitoring activities and
	Fire and its management.	operations.	opportunistic surveillance
	Uncontrolled vehicle access.		at
			other times

^{*}At-risk populations with respect to dust deposition are those adjacent to the haul road and those to the south of the pit; therefore, Population 1, 3a and 3b. Dust gauges and E. steedmanii monitoring transects at population 2 and 7 are therefore assumed at present to be controls (that is, sites where no impact of dust from operations is expected).

4. Monitoring Results

Quadrennial Population Census 4.1.

The last quadrennial population census was undertaken by Botanica in January 2014 for all eight E. steedmanii populations. The next census is due in January 2018.

4.2. Health Observations

Visual observations and photographs are taken at populations 1; 3A and 3B on a quarterly basis. Observations are made during population health monitoring of transects and notes made in any instance where population health appears to be declining outside of transects. Photographs are taken of each transect at the start and end.

Since monitoring began in 2009, photo monitoring of DRF transects has continued (Appendix 1) and the following observations have been made:

- Some tree branches have snapped and fallen or trees fallen over from natural causes.
- Some trees have Cascuta (dodder) a parasitic plant throughout their canopies.
- One isolated tree showed signs of disease/ parasitic infestation in July 2015 The fruit were noted to be deformed and the tree was heavily infested with black ants.
- In July 2017, WAL staff noted a decline in tree health in populations 1, 2, 3 and 7 during quarterly monitoring. The EPA was notified within 21 days of the discovery in writing. An investigation into the cause, which is thought to be fungal, is being undertaken and the EPA will be advised of the findings.

4.3. Health Ratings

Crown Epicormic Growth

Quarterly monitoring of *E. steedmanii* health and reproductive status along transects in populations 1, 2, 3A/3B and 7, and annual monitoring of *E. steedmanii* health in Populations 4, 5 and 6 was conducted during the annual reporting period.

Health for each *E. steedmanii* tree that intersects the transect was assessed using two scoring systems. The first is the same 0 to 3 system as used during the baseline period and the second is the modified version of the Grimes (1978) system based on a 0 to 17 point scale that takes into account canopy density, dead branches and epicormic growth as component scores (Table 3).

Component	Health Score	Score Description
Crown Density	1	Very Sparse
	3	Sparse
	5	Average
	7	Dense
	9	Very Dense
Dead Branches	1	Most of Crown (Main & Small)
	2	Part of Crown (Main & Small)
	3	Part of Crown (Small Only)
	4	Part of Crown (Terminal Only)

No Dead Branches

Severe

Slight

Nil

Moderate

5

1.5

2

2.5

3

Table 3: Health Rating

VVLSTERIV AREAS EIIVITTE

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Reproductive status for each *E. steedmanii* that intersects the transects was recorded for presence or absence of fruit; and if present the stage of development (mature or immature) was recorded for each plant along with a rating of abundance based on Souter et al. (2009), Table 4.

Table 4: Reproductive Rating

Component	Health Score	Score Description
Fruit	0	Absent
	1	Scarce
	2	Common
	3	Abundant
Mature	0	Absent
	1	Scarce
	2	Common
	3	Abundant
Immature	0	Absent
	1	Scarce
	2	Common
	3	Abundant

Ratings for each tree in transects for each population were averaged to obtain an overall population health (Table 5) and reproduction score (Table 6) for the annual reporting period. Raw data has been provided in Appendix 2.

Table 5: Grimes Health Rating for *E. steedmanii* Populations

Date	Population 1	Population 2	Population 3	Population 4	Population 5	Population 7
Oct-16	12.4	11.3	12.7	7.47	5.63	12.29
Jan-17	12.0	11.5	12.5	-	-	12.25
Apr-17	12.1	10.9	12.6	-	-	11.78
Jul-17	12.0	10.8	12.6	-	-	9.89

Date	Population 1	Population 2	Population 3	Population 4	Population 5	Population 7
Oct-16	1.5	1.8	1.8	0.3	0.4	1.1
Jan-17	1.7	1.9	1.9	-	-	1.2
Apr-17	1.6	1.4	1.9	-	-	1.0
Jul-17	1.6	1.6	1.9	-	-	0.9

Table 6: Reproductive (Fruit Abundance) Rating for E. steedmanii Populaitons

4.3.1. Population 1

Since using the grimes rating method, the health of Population 1 has decreased by $^{\sim}6\%$. The reasons are due to lower ratings in tree density (-8%) and branches (-3%), which is likely due to dodder in the tree canopies (presence increased from 34 to 51 trees). Mortality of trees along transects is also recorded by WAL and 5 of the 101 trees monitored for Population 1 have died since monitoring began.




Figure 1: Health and Reproduction Graph (Population 1)

4.3.2. Population 2

Since April 2015 and using the grimes rating method, Population 2 has decreased in health by $^{\sim}$ 11% and is due to a lower score in branches and epicormic growth. It was noted in July 2015 that some of the trees in the population had snapped canopy branches or were leaning sideways which is thought to have been from strong winds as no signs of man-made disturbance was visible. Overall fruit abundance increased by 4% with majority being mature. Dodder is present in 4 of the 35 monitored trees (11%) and a total of 4 trees (11%) have been recorded as dead since monitoring began. Population 2 is considered a control population for dust deposition monitoring for the Spotted Quoll project.




Figure 2: Health and Reproduction Graph (Population 2)

4.3.3. Population 3

Population 3 is situation just south of the Spotted Quoll open pit and is the closest population to mining operations. It is protected by a fence which WAL installed in 2010 to deter personnel entering the Environmentally Sensitive Area. The grimes health rating for Population 3 has remained relatively stable and only decreased by ~2%. This is due to a lower rating in density, branches and epicormics growth for two trees, one which died between October 2016 and January 2017 and another which could not be identified during monitoring due to tagging issues (tag came loose and could not be found). Fruit abundance has increased by 19% (increasing from 43% to 62%) with the latest record showing approximately three quarters identified as mature. There are 38 trees monitored within four transects for this population.




Figure 3: Health and Reproduction Graph (Population 3)

4.3.4. Populations 4 and 5

Populations 4 and 5 are located approximately 16 km to the north-east of the project. Due to their location and distance from the Spotted Quoll mine, these populations are monitored annually.

Grimes rating health for Population 4 has remained stable (increasing by $^{\sim}2\%$). Fruit abundance is averaged at 12% consisting of both mature (8%) and immature (5%) fruit.

Grimes rating health for Population 5 has remained stable (increasing by \sim 2%). Fruit abundance is averaged at 11% consisting of both mature (9%) and immature (2%) fruit.

One of the challenges whilst monitoring trees within transects for Populations 4 and 5 was tree identification. A significant amount of trees; 54% within Population 4 transects and 37% within Population 5 transects; could not be verified due to no tags being present (come loose or disintegrated). Hence the average grimes rating - 32% for Population 4 and 43% for Population 5 – are lower than Populations 1, 2, 3 and 7.




Figure 4: Health and Reproduction Graph (Population 4)




Figure 5: Health and Reproduction Graph (Population 5)

4.3.5. Population 7

Population 7 has decreased in health by ~ 18% since using the grimes rating method. The last quarter showed a decrease of 11% and is due to 7 trees dying in transects within the last quarter. The EPA (now DWER) was notified in writing within 21 days of this decline being known to Western Areas and an investigation into the root cause of death is ongoing, however it is thought to be pathogenic. Fruit abundance has remained stable with majority being rated as mature. Population 7 is considered a control population for dust deposition monitoring for the Spotted Quoll project.




Figure 6: Health and Reproduction Graph (Population 7)

4.4. Dust Deposition Gauges

Dust deposition gauges have been installed within *E. steedmanii* populations 1, 2, 3 and 7 to monitor for dust deposition levels from mining operations that could potentially impact tree health. Monitoring was undertaken quarterly and samples analysed for dust deposition (g/m2/month) and metals (Arsenic, Cadmium, Chromium, Lead, Manganese, Nickel, Vanadium). Dust deposition results have been presented in Table 7 for the reporting period with the maximum recording being 4.4 g/m²/month which is just above the lower limit under the Western Australia Nuisance Standard (4 g/m2/month being first loss of amenity and 10 g/m2/month being unacceptable reduction in air quality). Metal results showed concentrations of chromium, manganese, nickel and vanadium. Arsenic, cadmium and lead were not recorded above the LOR during the reporting period. Metals results have been presented in Table 8 for the annual year and nickel was highest in the second quarter of 2016 for all monitoring points.

Year	Quarter	SQMP01	SQMP02	SQMP06	SQMP09	SQMP13
2016	Qtr3	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.2
	Qtr4	1.2	1.2	2.1	1	2
2017	Qtr1	1.7	2.2	1.7	0.2	1.8
	Otr2	1.6	4.4	2.6	1.8	2.4

Table 7: Dust Deposition Results

Table 8: Dust Deposition Metal	(mg/	/m2/	/month)	Results
--------------------------------	------	------	---------	---------

Dust Dep Gauge	Parameter	20	16	2017		
		Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	
	Arsenic	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	
	Cadmium	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
	Chromium	0.07	0.12	0.21	0.19	
SQMP01	Lead	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	
	Manganese	0.18	0.22	0.41	0.32	
	Nickel	0.58	1.8	3.8	2.1	
	Vanadium	0.04	0.07	0.15	0.1	
	Arsenic	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	
	Cadmium	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
	Chromium	0.17	0.13	0.78	0.46	
SQMP02	Lead	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	
	Manganese	0.24	0.2	0.28	0.23	
	Nickel	1.5	1.2	1.7	1.2	
	Vanadium	0.09	0.06	0.23	0.14	
	Arsenic	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	0.2	
	Cadmium	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
	Chromium	0.28	0.34	0.3	0.42	
SQMP06	Lead	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	
	Manganese	0.48	0.76	1.1	0.62	
	Nickel	1.8	4.2	3.2	11.3	
	Vanadium	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.16	

WESTERN AREAS ENVITEE

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Dust Dep Gauge	Parameter	2016		20	17
		Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2
	Arsenic	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16
	Cadmium	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
	Chromium	0.13	0.06	0.07	0.15
SQMP09	Lead	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16
	Manganese	0.18	0.2	0.21	0.29
	Nickel	1	0.68	0.76	4.3
	Vanadium	0.07	<0.02	<0.02	0.06
	Arsenic	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16
	Cadmium	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
	Chromium	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.08
SQMP13	Lead	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16	<0.16
	Manganese	0.2	0.26	1.4	0.27
	Nickel	0.57	1.1	0.51	0.6
	Vanadium	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02

4.5. **Dust Deposition DRF**

During quarterly monitoring of *E. steedmanii* along transects, a 1 to 5 rating (Table 9) for the quantity of dust deposition on each *E. steedmanii* that intersects transects was recorded (Table 10). All trees within transects during the annual period had no visible dust on leaves when rubbed or shaken.

Table 9: Dust Deposition Rating Descriptors

Dust Dep	Dust Dep	Definition
Leaf Rating	Descriptor	
1	Negligible	No dust obviously visible on plant
		Virtually no cloud of dust when plant is shaken
		No trace of dust when rubbing plant
2	Low	Thin layer of dust apparent on leaves / stems
		Dust may or may not come off when plant is shaken
		Only very small amount of dust can be rubbed off
		Amount of dust too little to be noticeable between fingers
3	Moderate	Plant obviously covered in dust but leaf colour plainly visible
		Dust falls off in a thin cloud when plant is shaken
		Dust can be rubbed off plant
		Grit/powder noticeable between fingers, smear thin when wet
4	High	Plant covered in dust, but leaf colour is faintly visible through dust layer
		Dust falls off in a cloud when plant is shaken
		Dust can be rubbed off plant
		Grit/powder noticeable between fingers, smear opaque when wet
5	Extreme	Dust is caking the plant thickly, leaf/stems take on colour of dust
		Dust falls off in a thick cloud when plant is shaken
		Dust can be rubbed off leaves or stems
		Dust feels powdery/gritty between fingers, smear clayey when wet

TV LOT LINE / NICE / NO LINE I LE

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Table 10: E.steedmanii Dust Deposition Rating

Date	Population 1	Population 2	Population 3	Population 4	Population 5	Population 7
Oct-16	1	1	1	1	1	1
Jan-17	1	1	1	1	1	1
Apr-17	1	1	1	1	1	1
Jul-17	1	1	1	1	1	1

4.6. Fuel Loading

Annual fuel-loading assessments were undertaken in the areas surrounding the Spotted Quoll operations (Table 11) and Figure 7. WSA have also consulted with DPaW and DFES to consider appropriate management options. The Fire Management Plan for Forrestania was revised and update in 2014 and is due for revision in 2018.

Table 11: Spotted Quoll Fire Fuel Load Monitoring

Location			SQFL05	SQFL06	SQFL07	SQFL08
Date			26/09/2016	26/09/2016	26/09/2016	26/09/2016
Ground Litter	Fuel Moistu	re	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
	% litter cove	er in 2m Radius	80	90	30	90
	Mean litter	depth in 2m radius	5	15	10	10
	Calculate d	fuel tonnage t/ha	2.0	6.8	1.5	4.5
Scrub Fuels	0.0 - 0.5m	Fuel Moisture	B/line	B/line	B/line	B/line
		% Cover	40	20	50	10
	Calculate d	fuel tonnage t/ha	2.0	1.0	2.5	0.5
	0.5-1.0m	Fuel Moisture	B/line	B/line	B/line	B/line
		% Cover	20	5	15	1
	Calculate d	fuel tonnage t/ha	1.0	0.3	0.5	0.1
	1.0-1.5m	Fuel Moisture	B/line	B/line	B/line	B/line
		% Cover	10	2	10	1
	Calculate d	fuel tonnage t/ha	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.1
	1.5-2.0m	Fuel Moisture	B/line	B/line	B/line	B/line
		% Cover	1	2	2	1
	Calculate d	fuel tonnage t/ha	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	>2.0m	Fuel Moisture	B/line	B/line	B/line	B/line
		% Cover	1	1	2	1
		Max Height	5.5	5	2.5	4
	Calculated f	uel tonnage t/ha	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1



Figure 7: Fuel Load Monitoring Point SQFL06

4.7. Miscellaneous Potential Threats

Whilst undertaking monitoring; WAL recorded the location and extent of any unintentional clearing, saline water spillage, fire or fire management activity or uncontrolled vehicle access where *E. steedmanii* is present within the Spotted Quoll tenements. Such incidences are also noted during general surveillance by WAL environmental personnel or via reports from other WAL staff. These records enable any impacts on *E. steedmanii* from these incidences to be assessed over time.

During the reporting period there were no incidences of unintentional clearing, saline water spillage, fire or fire management activity or uncontrolled vehicle access where *E. steedmanii* is present.

5. Conclusion

The monitoring for *E. steedmanii* has continued with no evidence suggesting a decline in population health from identified potential threats (vegetation or unintentional clearing, mining activities, saline water use and spillage, and fire management) during the operation of the Spotted Quoll mine. However; WAL environmental staff noted a decline in population health during the last quarter which is thought to be from a pathogenic infection. WAL are investigating this matter to identify the root cause and have notified the EPA in writing. A report on the findings will be provided to the EPA and made publically available. If it is determined that the decline is a result of activities undertaken in implementing the Spotted Quoll proposal, WAL will submit actions to be undertaken to remediate the decline in *E. steedmanii* population health.

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

6. Appendices

6.1. Appendix 1 - Photo Monitoring

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

















Annual Compliance Assessment Report

















Annual Compliance Assessment Report



Annual Compliance Assessment Report

















Annual Compliance Assessment Report


















Annual Compliance Assessment Report



Annual Compliance Assessment Report

















Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

















Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

















Annual Compliance Assessment Report













Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

6.2. Appendix 2 - Raw Data

6.2.1. October 2016 Field Sheets

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

5.10.16 Date: Population 1 Name/s: D. Bynes Transect 1 Dead Branches **Crown Density Dust Rating** Epicormic Growth mmature ree No. Transect Mature Fruit Corwn (Terminal Only) Crown (Small Only) Average T1-1 3 3 0 **X** 2 Dodder 3 9.6 (1) 1 2 Dodder 1 2 9.6 (2) **X** 1 2 Dodder 9.6 (3) **⋞** 1 2 4 1.5 2 2.5 Dodder **%** 1 2 10.5 2 1.5 2.5 14.8 **X** 1 2 1.5 2.5 Dodder 19.7 1 **7X** 1 2 1 4 2 1.5 2.5 21.5 0 🗶 **Q** 1 2 3 0 🗶 2 3 4 X 1.5 2 2.5 1 2 24.8 (1) 2.5 Dodder 1.5 24.8 (2) 24.8 (3) Dead **V** 2 3 4 5 **X** 1 2 3 1 3 🕏 0 🗶 2 3 0 1 2 3 24.8 (4) 1.5 2.5 4 5 0 1 🗴 3 0 🔏 2 3 24.8 (5) **ý** 1 2 3 2.5 2 3 4 5 🗶 1 2 3 **X** 1 2 3 **X** 1 2 3 13579 26.3 (1) 2.5 Dodder 3 4 5 🗶 1 2 3 🕱 1 2 3 🕱 1 2 3 3 5 5 5 26.3 (2) 2.5 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 3 \chi 1 2 3 27.6 2 2.5 33.1(1) 0 🗶 2 3 1 3 🗷 7 2.5 3 1.5 Dodder 33.1,(2) 1 3 7 7 1.5 2.5 33.1 (3) 1.5 2.5 33.1 (4) X 2 2.5 1.5 Loose Tag 2.5 1.5 Dodder 3 4 5 0 1 2 😿 🗴 1 2 3 0 1.5 2.5 Dodder 3 4 5 0 1 🗶 3 🗶 1 2 3 2.5 2 3 4 5 0 🕊 2 40.4 (3) 3 🗶 1 2 3 0 2.5 40.4 (4) 1 2 3 4 5 1 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 1 2 1 😿 5 7 3 4 😿 2.5 2 2.5 Dodder 2 2.5 48.7 **y** -2 3 4 5 0 **x** 2 3 0 **x** 2 3 **x** 1 2 3 1 **y** 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 💢 1.5 2 2.5

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Date:	5·10 e/s: 0.6	. 16 Syrnes						Population 1 Transect 2
Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Fruit Mature	Immature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
			A Absent A Absent A Absent A Scarce A Absent A Scarce	o Absent K Scarce Common None Common None Common	Very Sparse Sparse Average Dense Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Small Only) Part of Corwn (Terminal Only) No Dead Branches	Severe Moderate Slight Nil	
T1-2	5.6 (1)	2 3 4	5 0 1 X 3 0 X 3	2 3 0 🕦 2 3	1 3 🗴 7 9	1 2 3 4 🕏	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder
1 1	5.6 (2)					1 2 3 4 😿	1.5 2 2.5 🗴	
	8.8		5 0 🗶 2 3 0 🗶 .	2 3 0 1 2 3		1 2 3 4 🗞	1.5 2 2.5 %	Dodder
	14.2 (1)		5 0 1 2 😿 0 1	X 3 0 X 2 3 2 3 0 1 2 3		1 2 3 4 🌠	1.5 2 2.5	
	14.2 (2)		5 0 1 2 💋 0 🕺 .	2 3 0 1 x 3		1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	
	17.8			X 3 0 X 2 3		1 2 3 4 💢	1.5 2 2.5	
	24.5 (1)		5 0 1 2 2 0 0 1	t 3 0 x 2 3		1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	
	24.5 (2)		5 0 1 🗶 3 0 🔏 :	2 3 0 2 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗶	1.5 2 2.5 3	
	24.5 (3)	X t 2 3 4	5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2	4 3 0 1 2 3	1 3 🕱 7 9	1 2 3 4 🔨	1.5 2 2.5	
				+				
	_							
		\vdash						
				++++				
		++++	 	 -	╂┼┼┼			
				++++				
			++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	 	$\blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare$			
		+++	╂┾┼┼		$\blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare$			
-		H + H + H	++++++	++++	$\blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare$	H + H + H		
			╉┼┼╂┼┼	++++	\blacksquare			
			╂┼┼╂┼┼	+	$\blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare$	$- \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot$		
		 	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+				
1 }		++++	╂┾┼╂┼		╂┼┼┼┼		- - - - - - - - - - 	
1		 	1 	++++	$\blacksquare + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +$	┠┼┼┼┤		
		 	╂┼┼┼╂┼┼		H + H + H			
1		 	╂┼┼╂┼┼	+	H + H + H			
			╉┼┼╂╂┼┼					
			╂┼┼╂┼┼	+				
\Box								

Please tick to show which value best represents each category for each tree
= Previous Quarters Result

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date: 5.10.6 Population 1
Name/s: P. Byrnes Transect 3

		<u> </u>							
Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Fruit	Mature	Immature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
		Negligible Low Moderate High	Extreme Absent Scarce Common	Absent Asent Scarce Common Abundant	Absent Scarce Common	Very Sparse Sparse Average Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Small Only) Part of Crown (Terminal Only) Part of Corwn (Terminal Only) No Dead Branches	Severe Moderate Slight Nil	
T1-3	1.4	x 2 3 4	5 0 X X	3 0 \chi 2 3	2 3	1 3 5 🕱 9	1 2 3 4 🗴	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder
	24	X 2 3 4	5 0 1 2		0 1 🗶 3	13579	1 2 3 4 🕱	1.5 2 2.5 3	Dodder
	26.1 (1)	2 3 4	5 0 1 💢 3			1 3 😿 7 9	1 2 3 4 😿	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder
	26.1 (2)	% 2 3 4	5 0 1 💢 :	3 0 📢 2 3	0 🗶 2 3	1 3 X 7 9	1 2 3 X 5	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder
1.	26.1 (3)								Dead
	27.7 (1)		5 💢 1 2 3		¥ 1 2 3	1 🗙 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 🏋	1.5 2 2.5 🕱	Dodder
	27.7 (2)		5 0 🗶 2 3			1 3 🗶 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 X	Dodder
	32.7 (1)	2 3 4	5 0 🗶 2 3		0 🗶 2 3	1 3 X 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 🖠	Dodder
	32.7 (2)		5 0 😿 2 3		0 🗶 2 3	1 3 😿 7 9	1 2 3 4 🕏		
	34.4 (1)		5 0 1 🗶 3		0 14 2 3	1 3 X 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗴	1.5 2 2.5 g	
	34.4 (2)		5 0 1 2 3	3 0 X 2 3		1 3 X 7 9	1 2 3 4 🛣	1.5 2 2.5 X §	
	35.1	-	5 🕺 1 2 3		1 2 3	1 % 5 7 9	1 2 3 💥 5	1.5 2 2.5 🔉	
	38.7		5 0 1 X 3		0 🔏 2 3	1 3 🗶 7 9	1 2 3 4 🕏	1.5 2 2.5 3	\
	47.3 (1)		5 0 🗶 2 3			1 3 % 7 9		1.5 2 2.5	
	47.3 (2)		5 0 2 2 3		0 🗶 2 3	1 3 🜠 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	
	47.3 (3)	2 3 4	5 0 🗶 2 3	1 2 3	0 🗶 2 3	1 3 🗴 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗶	1.5 2 2.5	
		+++		\blacksquare					
			+++						
			1	+					
			\blacksquare	 		$\sqcup \sqcup \sqcup$			
		- - -	+++			\vdash			
			+++	+++					
		\vdash		+++	H + H - H	\vdash			
		H + H	+++						
		\square					\square		
		\square	1			\vdash			

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date Nam	: 5·10 e/s: D .6	<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	<u>,</u>	<u>.</u>									_	_																							Population 1 Transect 4		
Transect	Tree No.				Dust Rating	0				:	- Lunt				Mature					Immature					Crown Dencity	Clown Cellsity					Dead Branches					Epicormic		Comment		
		Modiaiblo	Negligible	Low	ω Moderate	High	, A. C.	באוופווופ	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Corro	Stalte	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Scal ce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dong	asilac Vallaci	very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight				
T1-4	2.3	ý	1	2			. !	5	0	¥	2	3	C	j	y	2	В	V	1	. :		3	1	3	×	7	7 9		1	2	3	4	×	1.5	2	2.5		3		
	16 (1)	K	¥L	2	3	4			0	X	2					2	3	0				3	1	3	X	(]	-		1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5		3		
	16 (2)	ý	1	2	3	4	-		0	X	2	_				2	3	0	7			3	1	3	2	1			1	2	3	4			2	2.5		3		
	16 (3)	Ŋ		2	3	4	-	_	0	1	Ŷ	(3	С)		2	3	0	7	(2	3	1	3	3	₫	7 9		1	2	3	4		1.5	2	2.5		3		
	16 (4)	N		2	3	4	+-	-	0	1	2	(3	_			2	3	0	3	4	-	3	1	X	(5	-	7 9		1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5		3		
	16 (5)	X		2	3	4	-	-	0	1	×	4		-		2	3	0	1			3	1	3	X	7	7 9	€	1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5		3		
	16 (6)	2		2	3	4	_	-	0	1	2	-				2	3	0	1			3	1	3	_	1	7 9	€	1	2	3	4	√X	1.5	2	2.5		3		
	16 (7))			3	4		1	0	1	Ŷ					2	3	0	X			3	1	3			_		1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5	3	3		1
	18.6	X	1	2	3	4	Ŀ	_	0	1	X		_			2	3	0	2	4		3	1	3	8	17	7 9	1	1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	· 2.5		3		/
	21	9		2	3	4		-	Q	1	2					2	3	X	1	_		3	1	3	X	7	7 9	€	1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5		3		1
	21.7	Ŋ	-	2	3	4	+-	-	0	1	X	(3	_	-	_	2	3	0	¥			3	1	3		_	7 9	9	1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5		3	_ /	
	22.9	X		2	3	4	15	5	0	1	2			1/2		2	3	0)	42	2	3	1	3	X	17	7 9	9	1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5		3		
	24.1			2	3	4	_		0	¥	2			-		2		X	1			3	1	3	-	_	_		1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5		3		
	34 (1)	X		2	3	4	-	-	_	1	2					X	3	0	X			3	1	3	5)	<u> </u>	9	1	2	3	4	¥	1.5	2	2.5	. [3	3	J	
	34 (2)	ķ			3	4	-	_		1	À						3	0	2	(2	2	3	1	3	X	17	7 9	9	1	2	3	4	F	1.5	2	2.5		<u>;</u> `	<u> </u>	
	37.3 (1)	×		2	3	4	+-	2	Ø	1	2			1		2	3	X	1			3	1	3	×		_	_	1	2	3	4	Ē	1.5	2	2.5	_	3		
	37.3 (2)	X		2	3	4	-			1	2	3	X			2	3	Ŋ	1			3	1	3	-		_		1	2	3	4	Ž	1.5	2	2.5	_			
	43.4	×			3	4	+-			1	2		0			⇃	3	0	1		(1	3			2 9		1	2	_	4	15	1.5	2	2.5	3	3		
	44.8	Ą	1	2	3	4	5	4	0	1	2	X	0	1	1	X	3	0	×	1	2	3	1	3	5	Ñ	ď s	1	1	2	3	4	Š	1.5	2	2.5	3	3		
		L	1	4		L	L	1	1		L	L	L	Ļ	1	1				_	1	_		L	L	L	┸	1	4	_			Ш				╧			
		L	1	4			L	1	4			L	L	L	1	_			L	L	1	╛		L	L	L	\perp	1		_	_		Ц				L			
		L	1	1		L	L	1	\downarrow		L	L	L	L	L	4			L	L	1	┛			L	L	ļ	1	\downarrow			Ц	Ц				L			
		L	1	4		L	Ļ	1	\downarrow	_	L	L	L	ļ	1	1			L	L	1	_			L	\perp	\downarrow	1	4	_	_		Ш		Ш		\downarrow			
		L	1	4	_	L	L	1	4	_		L	L	Ļ	1	4	_		L	L	1	4			L	L	\downarrow	1		_	_	Ц	Ц		Ш		1			
		L	1	4		L	L	1	4		Ĺ.,	L	L	L	1	4	_		L	L	\downarrow	1		L	L	L	L	1	_	_	_		Ц		Ш		1	-1-		
		L	1	4			L	1	4	4		L	L	Ļ	ļ	4	_		L	L	1	4		L	L	L	Ļ	1	4	_	_	Ц	Ц				1			
		L	╀	4	_	L	\perp	1	4	_		_	L	Ļ	1	4	4		L	╀	1	4	_		L	L	ļ	1	\downarrow	_	_		Ц	L.,	Ш		\downarrow			
$ldsymbol{ld}}}}}}}}}$		L	L				L	1					L	L	L							1			L		1	1						l			1			

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

T1-5 24.2	Date:		0 2	<u>. 1</u> <u>yc'</u>	<u>ام</u>	es	_							<u>-</u>																					Population Transect			e.	
30.1 X 2 3 4 5 0 1 X 3 X X 2 3 0 1 X 3 1 3 X 7 9 1 2 3 4 X 1.5 2 2.5 X Dodder	Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit				- Mature				Immatiire					Crown Density	<u> </u>				Dead Branches			91103	Clowii	Growth			Comment			
30.1 X 2 3 4 5 0 1 X 3 X X 2 3 0 1 X 3 1 3 X 7 9 1 2 3 4 X 1.5 2 2.5 X Dodder				Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Ahundant	Ahsent	Scarco	Common	VOILING!!	Abundanı	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight	Nil					
30.1 X 2 3 4 5 0 1 X 3 X 2 3 0 1 X 3 X 2 1 3 0 1 X 3 1 3 X 7 9 1 2 3 4 X 1.5 2 2.5 X Dodder 44.1 X 2 3 4 5 X 1 2 3 X 1 2 3 X 1 2 3 X 1 2 3 X 1 2 3 X 1 2 3 X 1 2 3 X 1 3 5 X 9 1 2 3 4 X 1.5 2 2.5 X Dodder	T1-5	24.2	X	2		4	5	X	1	.2	3	0		1 2	2 3		4	1	2				X							X			2.5	X	Dodder				
44.1						_				X	3	1	Ψ	(2	2 3				X				X				2	3	_	Ÿ				X					
		44.1	X	2	3	4	5	X	1	2	3	У	1 1	. 2	2 3	4	4	1	2	3	1	3	5	Į.X	9	1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5	X	Dodder				
			\dashv	\dashv	_	_	H	-	┢	╁	┝	╀	╀	+	+	╂	+	+	\dashv	-	-	H		┝	H	┝	_	Н	Н	-		Н		_					
	l 1		\dashv	┥	-	Н	H	_	├	╁	╀	H	H	+	╀	╁	+	+	\dashv	_			_	┞		┡	_	H	Н	\dashv		Н		H					
	-		-	\dashv	-	Н	Н	_	⊢	╁	╁	╂	+	+	+	╁	+	\dashv	\dashv					H	_	⊢	_	H	Н	\dashv		\vdash		H					
	i		-	+		Н	Н	-	┝	╁	╁	╀	t	+	╁	+	+	+	+	-		Н	_	┢	Н	Н	H	Н	\dashv	\dashv		Н		 					_
			+	Ŧ	-	Н	Н	Н	\vdash	+	╁	H	۲	+	+	t	+	┪	-	-	H	Н	H	┢	-	H	\vdash	H	Н	-		Н		\vdash					
		t t	7	+			Н		┢	╁	H	t	t	十	+	t	+	+	+		Н	Н	_	H	H	H	H	Н	Н	\dashv		Н		Η.					_
			\dashv	1	_	H	Н	Н	H	t	┢	t	+	$^{+}$	t	t	+	+	i		_	Н		┢	_	Н	\vdash	Н	\dashv	┪		Н		Н					
	F		\dashv				H	┢	┢	╁	H	t	t	t	t	t	\dagger	+	\dashv	-	_	Н	_	\vdash	Н	Н	-	Н	\dashv	\dashv		Н		H					
	 -		\dashv	\dashv	\dashv			Н	H	t	┢	t	t	$^{+}$	+	\dagger	+	+	\dashv				_	┢	Н	H	⊢	Н		┪		Н		-					\dashv
	l t		_	1	\dashv		-	-	┢	+	H	t	t	t	$^{+}$	t	Ť	+	┪	-	_			H	H	\vdash	┝	Н	\exists	-		Н		Н					
			1	\dashv	7		Н		H	╁	t	H	t	t	╁	t	+	+	\dashv	1		-	_	┢	H	H		Н	\dashv	┪		Н		_					\dashv
			7	7	1		_		H	t	H	t	t	t	$^{+}$	t	t	†	┪	7				H	_	Н		Н	\dashv	┪	_	Н		H					
			7	7	7			Т	┢	T	T	t	t	\dagger	+	t	t	†	1	1							Т	H	\dashv	┪		H						-	
	i i		7	T	T		_	Н	Г		T	t	t	t	t	t	†	7		7				_		Н		Н	\dashv	+		H					-		_
			寸	7				П	┪	t	T	T	T	T	t	t	\dagger	\dagger	1	٦	П	\forall				Н	_	H	\dashv	1		\forall							
			\dashv	7		\dashv		П	Γ	Ħ	T	t	t	t	t	t	†	†	7	1	H	\dashv			H	Н	Т	H	\dashv	1		H		-					-
		. 1	7	7	\dashv	\dashv	T	П		T	T	t	t	T	t	t	\dagger	\dagger	+	7	۲	\dashv		H	Н	Н		Н	Ħ	1		\dashv		H					
			1	7	7	\neg			l	T	T	t	t	t	\dagger	t	\dagger	+	7	1				Н	H	H		\vdash	T	1		H		H					\dashv
		1	7	7	\dashv	ᅦ			Г	T	Τ	T	T	t	t	t	Ť	†	7	7						H		H	\forall	7		\dashv		Н					
			T	7	7			П		Γ		T	T	T	†	t	Ť	†	7	1	П			П	Н	H	_	Н	\dashv	7		\forall							\dashv
				7	1	7		П	Т	T	T	T	T	T	t	t	†	7	7	1				\vdash				H	7	7		\forall		Н	_				\dashv
			7	7	Ī	\exists		П		T	T	T	T	T	Ť	t	†	†	\dagger	7	٦	\dashv		П		Н		H	\dashv	1		\dashv		H					\dashv
			7	7	7	\exists		П	T	H	T	Г	T	t	t	t	†	†	7	7		\dashv	_	\vdash		Н		H	7	1				\vdash					
		-	+	+		┪		\dashv	\vdash	t	Н	t	t	t	t	t	t	\dagger	+	1	\dashv	\dashv		Н	-	Н		H	┪	┪		\dashv		\vdash					

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

	ate: lame		<u>ે</u>	<u>1</u>	o {	ب	S							-					*. *	,	* :* 4	4							6.	4				Population 1 Transect 6
	Transect	Tree No.		_	Dust Rating					Fruit			_	Mature				Immature				Crown Density	`				Dead Branches				Enicormic	Growth		Comment
			Negligible	Low	ω Moderate	High 4	ъ Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	ω Abundant	O Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	о Average	2 Dense	o Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Nant of Crown (Main & Small)	ω Part of Crown (Small Only)	₽ Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	ত No Dead Branches	Severe	○ Moderate	Slight	190	
٦	1-6	4.8 11.7 (1) 11.7 (2)	1 Y	2 2	3 3	4 4	5 5 5	0 % 0	1	2	3	0 98 0	1	2	3 3	0 X	1 1 1	2	3 3	1	3	5	7	9 9	1 1	2 2	3 3	4	5 _X	1.5 1.5 1.5	2 2	2.5 2.5 2.5	3	Dead / Dodder
		13.1 19.4 (1) 19.4 (2)	XX	2	ვ ფ	4 4 4	5 5	0 0 0	1	2	X X		1	¥ X		0 0 0	* * 1	2 2 3 /	3 3	1 1 1	3	X 5	7 又 7	9	1 1	2 2	3	4 ,	Ž Ž	1.5 1.5 1.5	2 2 2	2.5 2.5 2.5	X	Dodder Dodder
		21.6 (1) 21.6 (2)	% >r	2	3	4	5 5	0 X	1	2	3	0 %	¥	2	3	X X	1	2 2	3 3	1	3	X X X	7	9	1	2	3	4	X X	1.5 1.5	2	2.5 2.5))))	Dodder Dodder
-		23.1 34.5	AK	2	3	4	5	×		2	3	X Q		2	3	X X	1	2	3	1	3 X	5	7	9	1	2	3			1.5	2	2.5	3	
		-																											1					
																													+					
																													1					
	}											F																 	1				L	
												E																	1					
																_													1					

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date:	: 5.10 e/s: D.B	yrnes							Population 1 Transect 7
Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Fruit	Mature	Immature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
		Negligible Low Moderate High Extreme	Absent Scarce Common Abundant	Absent Scarce Common Abundant	Absent Scarce Common Abundant	Very Sparse Sparse Average Dense Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Small Only) Part of Crown (Terminal Only) Part of Corwn (Terminal Only) Allo Dead Branches	Severe Moderate Slight	
T1-7	13.5 15.5 23.6 28.5 (1) 28.5 (2) 31.4 33.7 (1)	X 2 3 4 5 X 2 3 4 5 X 2 3 4 5 X 2 3 4 5 X 2 3 4 5 X 2 3 4 5 X 2 3 4 5 X 2 3 4 5 X 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 X 0 0 X 0 0 X 1 2 X 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 X 3 0 X 2 3 0 1 X 3 0 1 2 3 0 X 2 3 0 X 2 3	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 3 X 7 9	2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 2 3 4 X 1 3 3 4 X 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3	
	33.7 (2) 36 (1) 36 (2) 38 46.4 (1) 46.4 (2)	½ 2 3 4 5 ½ 2 3 4 5 ½ 2 3 4 5 ½ 2 3 4 5 ½ 2 3 4 5 ½ 2 3 4 5	0	0	0	1	1 2 3 4 % 1 2 3 4 % 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 % 5 1 2 3 4 %	1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3	Dodder Dodder Dodder
	46.4 (3) 46.4 (4) 46.4 (5) 47.9 49.4	x 2 3 4 5 x 2 3 4 5 x 2 3 4 5 x 2 3 4 5 x 2 3 4 5 x 2 3 4 5 x 2 3 4 5 x 3 4 5 x 4 5 4 x 4 5 4 x 4 5 4 x 4 5 4 x 5 4 5 x 6 6 6 x 6 6 6 x 6 6 6 x 6 6 6 x 6 6 6 x 6 6 6 x 6 6 6 x 6 6 6 x 6 6 6 x 6 6 6 x 6 6 6 x 6 6 6 x 6 6 6 <td>0 1 X 3 0 0 1 2 X 0 0 1 X 3 0 0 1 X 3 0 0 1 X 3 0</td> <td>0 1 ½ 3 0 ½ 2 3 0 ½ 2 3</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1 2 3 4 ½ 1 2 3 4 ½ 1 2 3 4 ½ 1 2 3 4 ½ 1 2 3 4 ½</td> <td>1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3</td> <td></td>	0 1 X 3 0 0 1 2 X 0 0 1 X 3 0 0 1 X 3 0 0 1 X 3 0	0 1 ½ 3 0 ½ 2 3 0 ½ 2 3	0	1	1 2 3 4 ½ 1 2 3 4 ½ 1 2 3 4 ½ 1 2 3 4 ½ 1 2 3 4 ½	1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3	

Please tick to show which value best represents each category for each tree

= Previous Quarters Result

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

T1-8 1.3 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9	Date:		9.0	<u>. L</u>	<u>د</u> ۳	ع	2			-				_																					Population 1 Transect 8
T1-8	Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit				Mature				Immature					Crown Density					Dead Branches			Crown	Enicormic	Growth		Comment
T1-8			Negligible	Low	Moderate	High		Absent	Scarce		Abiindont	Abuildani	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abuildant	Absent	Scal ce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)			Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches			Slight	Nil	
22.7	T1-8	1.3	X		3	4	_				2 3	3	×	1	2 3			1 :	2	3		X		7	9	1				_	1.5		2.5		Dodder
34.2 (1)			K			_	-											K :	2			3		X	_					Ŋ				-	
34.2 (2)				-		-	-	-	_	_	-	-						<u> </u>								-				X				14	
38						_	_	_	_			_		_	_								-			_	_	\rightarrow						٠,	One dead branch, Dodder
38			_								_	_	_						2										$\overline{}$	-				Ю.	Dodder
40.8 N. 2 3 4 5 0 X 2 3 8 1 2 3 0 X 1 2 3 0 X 1 2 3 1 3 X 7 9 1 2 3 4 X 1.5 2 2.5 X												ψ		1	2 3	3 () X	4	2	3	1	3	X				2			×				X	
		40.8	M	2	3	4	5	0	17	4 2	2 3	3	94	1	2 3	3 (<u> </u>	4	2	3	1	3	X	7	9	1	2	3	4	٤Į	1.5	2	2.5	¥	
			╀	L			L	┞	╀	+	+	+	4	+	+	+	+	4	4	4	_			Ш	_		4	4	4	4		Ц		L	
			╀	L			L	┡	╀	╀	+	+	+	4	+	╀	+	+	4	4	_					_	4	4	4	4				L	
			╀	L		L	L	┞	╀	╀	+	+	4	4	+	╀	+	+	+	4	4				_	_	\dashv	\dashv	4	4				┡	
			╀	┡		L	L	┞	╀	╀	+	+	+	+	+	╀	+	+	+	4	4		_	Н	_	_	4	4	4	4				L	
			╀	┡	_	_	<u> </u>	┞	╀	+	+	+	+	+	+	╀	+	+	4	4	4	4					4	4	4	4				<u> </u>	
			╀	╀			L	┞	╀	+	+	+	+	+	+	╀	+	+	4	4	-		_	-	_	\dashv	4	4	4	4				H	
			╀	┞		H	H	┞	╀	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	4	4	4		H	_	Н	4	4	+	4		_		┡	
			╀	⊢		Н	-	┞	╀	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	4	4	4			4	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	4				\vdash	
			╀	\vdash	H	H	\vdash	╀	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	\dashv	\dashv	_	-	-	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	+	4				⊢	
			╁	\vdash	H	Н	-	┝	╁	+	+	+	+	╅	+	+	+	+	+	-	\dashv	-		H	-	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	+	┨		\vdash		⊢	
			╁	\vdash	H	H	H	H	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	\dagger	+	+	+	+	\dashv	\dashv	_		\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	┨		\vdash		\vdash	
			╁	\vdash		H	H	H	+	+	+	\dagger	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	┨	\dashv	\dashv		\vdash	-	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	┨		-		一	
			╁	\vdash	Н	Н	H	┢	╁	+	+	\dagger	+	+	+	\dagger	╁	+	+	┪	+	\dashv		\vdash	-	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	+	┨		\vdash		\vdash	
			╁	\vdash	\vdash		\vdash	H	+	+	+	\dagger	+	$^{+}$	+	\dagger	+	+	+	+	\dashv	\dashv	_	\vdash	-	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	+	┨		\dashv		\vdash	
			t	H	H	Н	\vdash	H	t	╁	+	†	+	+	+	†	+	+	+	+	\dashv	\dashv		H	-	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	+	┨				\vdash	
			l	H	H	Н		H	t	\dagger	\dagger	\dagger	+	\dagger	\dagger	†	+	+	+	+	+	-	_	\vdash	-	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	┨				\vdash	
			t	\vdash	Н	\vdash	\vdash	t	\dagger	\dagger	+	\dagger	+	$^{+}$	+	\dagger	+	\dagger	\dagger	1	\dashv	\dashv				\dashv	7	\dashv	\dashv	┪		-		┢	
		_	t	t	Н	H		H	t	T	t	t	+	+	+	†	+	+	\dagger	+	7	-		H	-	\forall	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	┪				\vdash	***
			t	T	\vdash	Н		t	t	$^{+}$	\dagger	†	\dagger	\dagger	†	†	\dagger	\dagger	+	1	\dashv	\dashv	-		-	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	1				\vdash	
		_	t	T	Н		T	T	t	╁	\dagger	\dagger	\dagger	+	\dagger	†	十	+	+	1	1	\dashv				\dashv	\dashv	1	+	┪				H	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date:	8- e/s: A.F	- I	2 (χ 5.	<u>}</u>	, t	<u>S</u>	20	7			_		_	_																					Population 2 Transect 1
Transect	Tree No.				Dust Rating						ınıı				Mature				Immature					Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Epicormic Growth		Comment
					Moderate	High				Scarce		Abundant	Absent		Common		Abros+				Abundant	Very Sparse	-		_		-	_		Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight	I!N	
T2-1	4.1 (1) 4.1 (2) 4.1 (3) 8.9 (1) 8.9 (2)		1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	5	; ;	0 0 0	1 1 1	2 2 2	13 8 3 3	0000	1 1	2	2 2		3	1 1	2 2 2 2	თ თ თ	1 1 1 1	3 3 3	5 5 8	+	9 9 9	1 1	2 2	3 3	4 4 4	5 5 5 5	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	2 2 2	2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	3	
	14.3 19 22.6	ľ	1	2 2 2	3	4	5	; i	0 0 0	1	2	<u>ო</u> ო ო	0	1 1	2	3	(1	1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3 3	1 1 1	3	5 8	7	9	1 1	2	3 3		,5 5 5	1.5 1.5 1.5	2 2	2.5 2.5 2.5	3	Dodder Dodder
	26 (1) 26 (2) 30.5 (1) 30.5 (2)		1	2	3 3 3	4 4 4	5		0	1 1	2 2 2	3 3 X	0 0 0	1	2	3	9	1	1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3	1 1 1	3 3 3	\$ 5 5	7 7 7	9 9	1 1 1	2	3	4	5 5 5	1.5 1.5 1.5	2 2 2	2.5 2.5 2.5	_	Dodder
	30.5 (3) 35.4 46.8 (1)		1	2	3	<u> </u>	5			1	2	3			2	3			1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	1	7/1/2/S	1.5	2	2.5	8	Dead
	46.8 (2) 46.8 (3) 50					4	5		0		2	3	0		2					2	3	1	3		-	9				4 ⁻		1.5 1.5	2	2.5 2.5	3	V
			+	+				-										+			_						- -									
			ŀ	1				ł										$\frac{1}{1}$																		

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Name/s: A. Hefferon Transect 2

	c/3. F.	Ì		+	7		_	~	>	Ð	_		_		-																							Transect 2
Transect	Tree No.			***************************************	Dust Rating					Fruit					Mature				Immature					Crown Density						Dead Branches				Crown	Epicormic	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	200 8 10 NO	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Moderate	High	Extreme		Cosco	Scarce	Common	∞ Abundant	○ Absent	Scarce	Common	ω Abundant	Absent	JUN COLUMN	Scarce	2 Common	ω Abundant	Very Sparse	w Sparse	Average	2 Dense	Wery Dense	I Most of Crown (Main & Small)	MUSE OF CLOWIN (INIAIN)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)		Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	2 Moderate		Slight	li N	
T2-2	15.6 (1) 15.6 (2) 20.8 (1)	1	2	3	3	4 4 4	5 5	C C	1 1	1	2	(ფ ფ	0 0	1/1/2	2	3		1	1	2 2 2	3	1	3 3	5 5	7 7		1		2	3	4	5	1.5 1.5 1.5	2	2	.5	8 3	
	20.8 (2) 26.7 30.5	1	_	-		4	5	0				3	000	1						2 2	3	1 1	3	8	-	9				_	_	5 5	1.5 1.5	2		.5 .5	3	Dead
	36 37.8 (1) 37.8 (2)	1	2	. [3	4	5	С	1	1	Ž,	3	0		2	3		1	1		3	1	3	8	7	9	1	. /	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2	.5	3	
	50	4	2	1/2	2/2	4	5	0	1	1	2/	3	0	1	1	3	G	7	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1		2 :	99 3 ·	4	5	1.5	2	2	.5	E	Dead
										\ \ \																		1	+									
					+														<u> </u>																			
			L		+					1	+							<u> </u>										<u> </u>		1								
					+					1	1							+	+								-			1					_			
					‡		_			+								ļ	#								t	†	#									
					1					1				_														<u> </u>										

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date	: <u>8</u> -	- ;	<u>⇔</u>	-	E G	<u>.</u>		_	ر ا	<i></i>				-																					Population 2 Transect 3
Transect	Tree No.			Duist Dating	Dust rating				i+	JIN L				Mature				Immature					Crown Density					Dead Branches			(Crown	Growth		Comment
		oldinital o	OW		Niouerate 7 High	11811	c extreme		Scarce	Common Co	Abundant	Absent		domado	A Abundant	S Absent	Scarce	27.000			Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense		Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	S Slight	I.Z	
T2-3	8.2 28.8	1				1 !	5		1	2	3	0	1	2						3	1	3	8	7 7	9 9	1 1	2	3	4	5 8	1.5 1.5	2	2.5 2.5	3	·
	36.5	ľ	2						1	2	3	0	1	3						3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2				1.5	2	2.5	3	
	38.6	2							1	2		0	1	2						3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	1	_	1.5	2	2.5	Z	
	42.7 (1)	1	2						1	2	3	0	1	2	3					3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
	42.7 (2)	1	2	3			5	0	1	7	3	0	1	2						3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
	46.5	1	1/2	(1)	3 4		5		1	2	3	0	1	12	3	0	1	7	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	8	
		L			Ι	I											I	I																	
		L	L				1						L	L												L								L	
		L	\perp	L	┸	\perp	1	\downarrow	\rfloor	_				L	L	L	L	L	1							L	L			Ш		L			
		L		L	\perp	┸	1	1	_				L	L	L	L	L	퇶	1	┛						L		L				L		L	
		L		퇶	┸	1	1	_		_				L	┖	L	L	Ļ	1	_						L		L				L			
		L	\bot	Ļ	1	1	1	4	_			L	L	L	╀	L	Ļ	\downarrow	4	4			_	L	_	_									
		L	1	╀	1	1	+	4	4	_		L	L	L	╀	L	Ł	\downarrow	4	_							_	L				L		L	
		L	╀	╀	╀	+	+	+	4	_	_	_	L	L	1	L	L	1	+	_	_			Ц				L				L			
		H	╀	╀	+	╀	+	+	_	_		_	L	H	╀	┞	╀	╀	+	4	_				Ц	_						L		L	
		┞	╁	╁	╁	╀	╁	+	-	-	_	_	┞	┝	╁	Ͱ	╀	+	+	┨	-	-		\vdash		H		H				H		┝	
		Ͱ	+	╁	+	+	+	+	1	\dashv		┝	H	┝	╁	╁	H	+	$^{+}$	+	\dashv	\dashv	_	-	Н	-		⊢	Н			H		┝	
		H	+	t	\dagger	\dagger	\dagger	+	1	-	_	H	\vdash	+	t	H	+	+	+	┨	\dashv	\dashv		\vdash	H	H	H		\vdash	Н		\vdash		\vdash	
		H	t	t	t	\dagger	t	+	\dashv	\dashv		Н	-	+	t	H	t	t	$^{+}$	1	\dashv	\dashv		-	H	Н	H	\vdash	Н	H		Н		H	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		H	t	t	t	t	†	†	7	-		H		H	t	H	t	\dagger	+	+	\dashv			H	H	Н	Н	-	H			H		H	
		T	T	t	T	\dagger	t	\dagger	1			┢	<u> </u>	t	t	t	t	t	†	1	\dashv	\dashv		H			H	H	H			H		H	
		T	T	T	T	T	Ť	T	7					T	T	T	T	t	†	1	\exists	\exists		П	П		Г	Т	Н	П		П		T	
		Γ	T	T	Τ	T	Ť	1	1			Г	Г	Γ	T	Γ	T	T	Ť	1		\exists		П			П	П	П			Г		Γ	
			Τ	T	Ī	T	T	T	1				Γ	Γ	T	T	T	T	Ť	1							П	П	П			П		Г	
					I	Ι	I											I	1								П		П						
							Ι	I	I	\Box									T																

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date: Name	5·10 :/s: 0 v	5.10.16 /s: Drane B																															Population 3 Transect 4	
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating				Fruit				Mature				Immature						Crown Density			Dead Branches						-Clowil	Growth		Comment
		Negligible		Moderate		Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Ahsent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	1			Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	الله No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight	i.v	
T3-4	3.3	X	2	3	4	5	0	1							1)	18	2	2 :	3		3	Χĺ	7	9	1	2	3	4	3	1.5	2	2.5	X	
	13.3 (1)	X	2	3	4	5	0	1			0			(3	Ŕ					1	¥	5	7	9	1	2	3		X	1.5	2	2.5	3	
	13.3 (2)	X	2	3	4	5	0	1			0			3			2	1		1	3	X	7	9	1	2	3		¥	1.5	2	2.5	Ŋ	
	13.3 (3)	×	2	3	4	5	0	1					Ŕ	/ 3					_	_		Ø	7	9	1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5	Ŷ	
	19.8	1	2	3	4	5	0	1			0	1									3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	-	-
	37.9	Χ	2	3	4	5	0	1		3	0	X	2	3	0	×	2	+			3	5	Ž,	9	1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5	X	
	48.4	x	2	3	4	5	0	1	×	3	0	1	2	. 3	0	Ź	2	1	3	1	3	Æ,	7	9	1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5	Ŋ	
		-	Н	Н	Н	Н	_	L	╀	Ͱ	Ͱ	╀	╀	╁	┡	╀	╀	+	+	+	\dashv	_	4		H	_	H	\dashv	4		Н		-	
		Н	Н	Н			_	\vdash	╀	H	┢	╀	╀	╁	Ͱ	╀	╀	+	+	\dashv	-	-	-	-	Н		H	-	4		Н		-	
1 1		-						├	╀	┝	H	+	╀	╀	⊦	╁	╀	+	+	+	\dashv	+	\dashv		H	Н	Н	\dashv	\dashv		Н		H	
		-	Н	-			H	H	╁	⊢	┞	╁	╀	╁	Ͱ	╀	╁	+	╁	+	\dashv	+	\dashv		H	_	-	\dashv	4		Н		┞	
		-			Η	-	┝	┝	╀	┝	Ͱ	+	╀	╁	Ͱ	╀	╀	ł	+	+	+	+	┥	-			Н	\dashv	-		Н		┝	
		Н	Н	\dashv	\dashv	Н	H	H	╁	┝	┞	╁	╀	╁	Ͱ	╁	╁	╀	+	+	+	+	\dashv		H	_	Н	\dashv	-		Н		┝	
		Н	H	\vdash	\dashv	-	 	\vdash		\vdash	\vdash	╁	╀	+	┞	╁	+	+	+	\dashv	+	+	\dashv	-	Н	H	Н	\dashv	-		Н		┝	
		Н	Н	٦	\dashv		\vdash	\vdash	╁	+	┢	+	H	+	┢	+	╁	$^{+}$	+	+	\dashv	\dashv	┪	-	\vdash	\dashv	Н	\dashv	┨		\vdash		\vdash	
	,	H	Н	H	\dashv	\vdash	Н	\vdash	H	\vdash	H	十	H	H	H	t	t	t	\dagger	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	\dashv	H	\dashv	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv		\vdash		\vdash	
		Н	H		\dashv	\vdash	Н	\vdash	T	\vdash		t	t	t	t	+	\dagger	t	\dagger	+	\dashv	+	\dashv	-	Н		H		┪		H		\vdash	
		Н		\dashv	\neg			H	T		H	\dagger	t	t	t	t	t	\dagger	\dagger	+	\dashv	7	7	-	H		\dashv	1	┪			-		
		П	\dashv	\dashv			Н	Т	T	\vdash	T	T	t	T	T	t	t	t	†	\dagger	7	+	7	_	H	H	\vdash	7	1		H		\vdash	
			\exists				Г		T	Τ	T	T	T	t	T	T	t	t	t	7	+	1	1	1	Н	\exists			1		H		T	
		П	П	\exists			П	Т	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	İ	T	t	†	7	+	\dashv	7		П	П		7	T		Н		\vdash	
					\neg		П	Г	Γ	T	Г	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	t	7	1	\dashv	7				Ħ	7	1		H		Γ	
	1	П		7	\neg				İ		Г	Τ	Γ	T	Г	Ī	Ť	T	T	Ť	1	7	1				\dashv	\dashv	T		П			
											Γ	T	Γ	T	Γ	Г	1	T	T	T	T	7	7	٦					T		П		Г	
																	I	T	1	1	1	⇉	1											
		Ц	4	4	4	_			L	L	L	\perp	L	_	L	_	L	L	1	1	4	4	_	4		_]	Ц	4	4					
										L_	L				L	L	_	L			_								_		L			

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date:	5-10. e/s: DUC	<u>u</u>	\ \P	٠.	B								-																				Population 3 Transect 3
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit			Mature				Immature					Crown Density				Dead Branches				0.0	Epicormic Growth			Comment
		Negligible	MO7 2	ω Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	K Common	Abundant	O Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	ω Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	2 Dense	v Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight	TZ	
T3-3	5.5	X	2		_	5	0	1		3		X	2	3	0	γ	2	/ m	1	3	Δ	Ľ.	9	1	2	3	4 7	X	1.5	2	2.5	Σ X	
	6.9 (1)	X	2	3	4	5	0	X	2	3	X	1	2	3	0	Ž	2	n	1	3	X	7	9	1	2		4 y		1.5	2	2.5	X	
	6.9 (2) 7.4	X	2	3	4	5	0	-	2	3	Ŕ	1	2	3	0	ÿ	2	3	1	3	Ŷ	7	9	1	2	3	4)		1.5	2	2.5	X	
	8.4	X	2	3	4	5 5	0	1	3X 3X	3	0 0	X	2	3 3	0	X	2	3	1	3	X	7 7	9	1	2	3	4 y		1.5	2	2.5	K	
	10.4	X	2	3	4	5	0			3	%	9 \	2	3	0	X	2	3	1	X	X 5	7	9	1	2	3	4) 4)		1.5	2	2.5	X	
	23.3	×		3	4	5	0	1	-	3	0	1	X	3	0	X	2	n M	1			√ X	9	1	2		4 \		1.5	2	2.5	X	
	44.8	Ý	2	3	4	5	0		-	X	0	1	×	3	0	X	2	3			5	X.	9	1			4	#	1.5	2	2.5	8	
		Ť	F	-	Ť	٦	Ľ	Ī	Ť	┢	Ť	_	٦		Ť				Ē	_	٦	Λ		Ť		Ť	-11	4	1.5	Ť			
						Г	H	T	T	T			Н			\neg				П	H	Н		7		1	Ť	†		1		H	
		T		Г		Г	T	T	T	Τ			П						_		Г			┪	\neg	\neg	1	†		1			
																\neg									T	T		Ť					
																												1		\neg			
		L																										I					
		L	L					L	L		L	L												\Box									
		L	L	L		L		_				L	Ц			_			L				_				\perp	1				L	
		L	_	L	H	L	L	L	\vdash	L	L	L	Ц	_				Ц	L	Ц	L		4	4	_	4	4	4		_		L	
		L	L	H	L	L	L	_	\vdash	L		L	\sqcup	4				Ц	L	Н	L	Ш	4	4	\dashv	\dashv	4	4	_	4			
1 }		\vdash	_	H	Н	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	1	_	_	\vdash	Н	4		4	_	Щ	L	Н			4	4	4	4	+	4	\dashv	4		L	
		⊢	-	Н	H	H	\vdash	\vdash	┝	\vdash	-	<u> </u>	\vdash	-	4	4	_	Ц	H	Н	<u> </u>	-	4	-	4	4	+	+		4		Щ	
		\vdash	H	Н		\vdash	┞	-	1	H		H	Н	4	4	\dashv	4	H	H	Н	H	\vdash	4	4	+	\dashv	+	+		+		Н	
		\vdash	<u> </u>	-	_	H	┞	H		L	⊢	H	$\vdash \vdash$	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	4	Н	H	Н	H	Н	4	4	4	\dashv	+	+	-	\dashv		H	
						H	\vdash	┝	\vdash	H	H	Н	Н	\dashv	-	\dashv	-	Н	Н	H	Н	\dashv	-	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	-	+		H	
1 }		H	-	-	\vdash	H	\vdash	\vdash	+	\vdash	Н	H	Н	-	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	4	Н	Н	Н	Н	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	\dashv	+		\vdash	
		H	H	H	-	Н	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	-	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	-	H	Н	H	-	\dashv	\dashv	+	\dashv	\dashv	+	+		\dashv		\vdash	
		H		Н	H	H	┢	┝	\vdash	H	Н	Н	\forall	\dashv	\dashv	ᅱ	4	\dashv	Н	H	H	\dashv	-	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	+	+		ᆉ		H	
1 -		Ц.	\perp			\perp		_		\perp	oxdot	ш		_		_			L									┸				L	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date: Name	5.10 /s: D MO	W	1 E	.B									-																				Population 3 Transect 2
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating)				Fruit				wature				Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches			amou)	Epicormic	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	о Extreme	O Absent	Scarce	Common	& Abundant	Absent	Scarce	₹ Common	ω Abundant	O Absent	Scarce	Common	ω Abundant	Very Sparse		Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight	Nil	
T3-2	2.4 (1)	X	2	3	4				2			1	X				2	_	1	3	5	X	9	1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5	X	
•	2.4 (2)	X	2	3	4	5	0	1		3	0		2	3	0	Х		3		3	38		9	1	2			%	1.5	2	2.5	X	
	5 7.2	X	2	3	4	5 5	√	1		3	Ŕ	1 X	2	3	X	1	2	3	1	X	5 ••	7	9	1	2			Š	1.5	2	2.5	X	Leaves dead all over
1	36.9	X	2	3	4	5	0		<u>X</u>	3 X	0	1	之	3	0		2	3		3	X		9	1	2			X X	1.5	2	2.5 2.5	X	
1	40.2	X X	2	3	4	5	0		2		0		2	3 X	DX	X	2	3		3	X 5	7	9		2			Δ.	1.5	2	2.5	A V	
	42.9	¥	2	3	4	5	0	1	12	7	0	1	∠ %	3 3	× ×	X	2	3		3	5	<u>√</u>	9	1	2		4 1	4	1.5	2	2.5	X 3v	-
	42.3	۲×	_	5	"	٦	0	H	12	/\$	_	1	^	_	_	Δ	_	3	1	3	3	×	9	\perp		3	4)	4	1.5		2.5	X	
		Н	Н	Н	H	\vdash	_	t		H	Н		T	Н	Н		\vdash	H	┢	\vdash	\vdash			Н	Н	\dashv	+	┪		\forall			
		П	Н			П		r	T	T		П		П			\vdash		Н	H		П		Н		H	\forall	†		Н		Н	
						П		T	T		Г	П		П	П		\vdash	l "	r			П		Т	П		7	1		Н		Н	
		П	П			П	Г	Г	T			П			Г				r			П			П	T	7	1		Ħ			
														П				Γ				П			П	T	T	†				Г	
									Γ	Γ												П			П		1	1					
																												T		П		П	
								L	L																								
							_				Ш	Ц			Ш			L		Ц								1					
									L		Ш	Ц			Ш					Ц		Ц				\Box	_	1				L	
			Ц	Ц	_	Ц	L	L	L	L	L	Н		Ц	Ц	Ц	L	L	L	Ц	Ц	Ц	_	Ц		Ц	\perp	1		Ц		L	
			4	Ц	Ш	Н	Ш	L	<u> </u>	L		Ц	Ц		Ц	Ц			L	Ц	_	Ц		Ш			\perp	1				L	
			_	_			L	L	-		Ш	Н	\dashv		Ш	_	_	L	L	Н				Ц	Ц	_	4	4		4		Щ	
		Н	\sqcup		\vdash	Щ	L	_	-	H	H	Н	_			4		-	L	Н		Н		Н		\dashv	+	4		4		Н	
		H	\dashv				H	L	1	\vdash	Н	Н	4	Ц	Н	_		H	\vdash	Н	_	Н	_	H		4	+	4		4		Н	
-		Н	\dashv	_		-	H	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	H	Н	4		Н	4	_	\vdash	\vdash	Н		Н	_	Н	_	\dashv	+	+		\dashv		Н	
-		Н	\dashv	\dashv	\vdash		\vdash	\vdash		H	Н	Н		-	Н	\dashv		H	\vdash	\vdash		$\vdash \mid$	-	H	_	\dashv	+	+	\dashv			Н	
-		Н	\dashv	\dashv	Н		Н	 -	H	H	H	H	-	-	\dashv	\dashv		\vdash	H	Н		\vdash		H	-	\dashv	+	+	\dashv	+		Н	
																				П						1		t		_			

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date: 5.10.16

Name/s: DMCNe B.

Transect 1

					-								-																					
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating	•				Fruit	1			Mature				Immature				Crown Density		1			Dead Branches				Crown	Epicormic			Comment
		Negligible		Moderate	High		Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	ω Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	1 Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Dart of Crown (Main & Small)	ω Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight	o .	Nil	
T3-1	1.9	y	2	3	4	5	Ŕ	1		3	Ŕ	1	2	3	Ŷ	1	2	3	1	Ŕ	1 5	7	9	1	2	3	4	Ŋ	1.5	2	2.	5	ž X	
	3.8	XX	2	3	4	5	0	Ý			Q	1	2		0	X					_		9	1			4	X	1.5	2	2.5	5	Ź	
	5.3 (1)		2	3	4	5	0	+-	_		0	1	Ą		0	X	2	3		_			9		_		4	X	1.5	2	2.!		Ã	
	5.3 (2)	¥	2	3	4	-	0	+-	-		0	X	2		0	_	2						9	1			4	X	1.5	2	2.!		Ŕ	
	9.2	X	2	3	4	5	Ã	-	-		Ŷ		2	3	X	1	2						9	-	-		4	Ă	1.5	2	2.5		X	
	17	X	2	3	4	5	0				0	X	2	3	X	1	2						9	-	-		4	X	1.5	2	2.5	_	Á	
	18.5	X	2	3	4	5	0				0	1	X		Ŷ		2						9	-	_		4	¥	1.5	2	2.!	-	Ã.	
	19.2	X	2	3	4		Ŕ			3	ĸ	1	2				2	3		-	-	+	9	-	-		1	5	1.5	2	2.5	_	Ŕ	
	42.7	×	2	3	4	5	0			3	¥	1	2	3	0	1	X	3				+	9	-			4	*\$	1.5	2	2.5	-	Ã	
	47.7 (1)	X	2	3	4	5	0				Ŕ	1	2	3	0	X	2			—		17	9	-	2	_	4	Ж,	1.5	2	2.!	-	2	
	47.7 (2)	X	2	3	4	5	0		ß	3	0	X	2		0	X.	2			-	_	₩.	9	-	2	_	4	Ŕ	1.5	2	2.5	_	ŽĮ.	
1 1	50 (1)	X	2	3	4	5	0	_	3		0	X	2	3	0	X	2	3		-		4	9	1	2		4	Ž	1.5	2	2.5		ă	
	50 (2)	4	2	3	4	5	0	+		X	0	火		3	0	1	×	3		-	-	7	9	1	2		4	×	1.5	2	2.5	_	A	
	50 (3)	X	2	3	4	5	0	1	3		0	1	X	3	X	1	2	3		3		7	9	1	2		4	Ž.	1.5	2	2.5		Ž,	
	50 (4)	\times	2	3	4	5	0	-	-				X		0	X	2	3		-		7	9	-	-	_	4	X	1.5	2	2.5		4	
\vdash	50 (5)	X	2	3	4	5	0	3	2	3	ß	1	2	3	0	¥	2	3	1	3	ź	17	9	1	2	3	4	Ř	1.5	2	2.5	5	Ø	
1 1		_	⊢		-		H	┝	-	┝	┝	-	┝	H	_	_	_	H	L	₽	┝	┝	┞	┞	╀	+	L	Н		L		+	+	- ,
		_	 			┝	H	┝	╁	┝	┡	\vdash	┝	H	_	H	H	┝	╀	╀	┞	╀	L	┞	┞			H		-	<u> </u>	+	+	
-		┝	-	_	-	H	H	┝	╁	-	-	H	_	H	_	┞	\vdash	┞	Ͱ	⊢	┝	┝	L	┢	-		L	Н		┞	_	+		
1 }		-			\vdash	-	┞	\vdash	╁	-	_	-		Н	_	\vdash	\vdash	H	Ͱ	╀	╀	┝	ļ.	┝	╁	┝	H			├-	_	+	4	
}		H	H		\vdash		H	\vdash	╀	┢	-	\vdash	H	Н	<u> </u>	-	\vdash	Ĺ	Ͱ	╀	\vdash	\vdash	-	H	H	-	-	-		H	-	+	+	
1 }		\vdash	H		-	-	H	H	╁	-	-	\vdash	\vdash	Н	L	\vdash	-	H	╀	\vdash	-	\vdash	L	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	\vdash	-	┡		+	+	
		-	H		\vdash	H	┝	┝	╁	\vdash	H	H	\vdash	Н	-	-	H	H	⊢	┝	┝	┝	H	\vdash	╀	╁	_	H		<u> </u>		+	+	
			Н	-	-	H	H	H	+	⊢	H			H	-	١	\vdash	\vdash	⊢	\vdash	H	┝	-	\vdash	┝	╁	H	Н		╁	H	+	+	
		\vdash	Н		\vdash	Н	┝	┢	╁	\vdash		H	Н	\vdash	H	-	-	\vdash	H	┢	H	┢	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	╀	\vdash	\vdash		\vdash	 -	+	+	
		 	\vdash		-	Н	H	H	H	╁	-	H	\vdash	H	H	_	-	\vdash	Ͱ	H	╁	┝	-	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	-		\vdash		+	╁	
1		H	Н		H	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	1	H	H	\vdash	\vdash	H	H	\vdash	H	\vdash	H	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	⊢	╁	╁	\vdash	Н		\vdash		+	+	
1 L		L.,	Ц.			Ш	ட	<u> </u>	<u>L</u>	ட		Ш		Ш	Щ	L	L	L	L	<u>L</u>	L	L		<u>L</u>	_									

PI	lease tick to show which value best represents each category for each tree
	= Previous Quarters Result

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Date:	31	-10	~(6			
Name	/s:	Ан	+	C T		

Population 4
Transect 1

ect	No.	Ratir		ıre	Immature	Crown De	Dead Brar	rown picormi Growth	Comment
Transect	Tree No.	Dust Ratii	Fruit	Mature	Immi	Crow	Deac	Crown Epicormi c Growth	Comi
		Negligible Low Moderate High Extreme	Absent Scarce Common Abundant	Absent Scarce Common Abundant	Absent Scarce Common Abundant	Very Sparse Sparse Average Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Small Only) Part of Corwn (Terminal Only) No Dead Branches	Severe Moderate Slight	
							Par Par No		
T4-1	3.1	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3	
	3.5 (1)	2 3 4 5	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5	
	3.5 (2)	2 3 4 5	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3	
	3.5 (3)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3	
	3.5 (4)	1 2 3 4 5	Q 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	- 	1.5 1 2.5 3	
	6.7	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3	
	8.9 (1)	2 3 4 5	1 2 3	9 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 8 8 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3	,
	8.9 (2)	2 3 4 5	1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0/1 2 3	1 3 9 7 9		1.5 1 2.5 2	
	8.9 (3)	2 3 4 5	1 2 3	9 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 7 5 7 9		1.5 1 2.5 8	* C44 C
	8.9 (4)	1 2 3 4 5	0 2 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 9 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3	
	8.9 (5)	<i>(1) (1) (1) (1) (1)</i>	09/09/09/09						TOG REATTACHED
	8.9 (6)	1 2 3 4 5	2 3	1 2 3		1 3 6 7 9		1.5 2 2.5	, "IOG KE ATTACHED
	8.9 (7)	2 3 4 5	1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 2 3	1 6 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	\$= -/ 0- 1-5
	8.9 (8)	2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1/2 3	9 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	"TOG' REATTACHED
	8.9 (9)	2 3 4 5	9 1 2 3	9 1 2 3	1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	,
	8.9 (10)	2 3 4 5	8 1 2 3	9 1 2 3	9 1 2 3	1 3 3 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	
	8.9 (11)	2 3 4 5	0 1/2 3	1 2 3	0 1/2 3	1 2 5 7 9		 	
	8.9 (12)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	
	8.9 (13)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	
	8.9 (14)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	
	8.9 (15)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	
	8.9 (16)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	
	8.9 (17)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	
	8.9 (18)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3		1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	
	9.6	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	
	10.9 (1)	2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		,1.5 2 2.5 8	
	10.9 (2)	2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3		1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 % 1.5 2 2.5 3	
	10.9 (3)	1 2 3 4 5							
	10.9 (4)	1 2 3 4 5	1 	0 1 2 3			- - - - - - - - - - 		-
	22.1	1 2 3 4 5		0 1 2 3					
	23.7 (1)	2 3 4 5 2 3 4 5							
	23.7 (2)	2 2 3 4 5 2 3 4 5							
	23.7 (3)	2 2 3 4 5 2 2 3 4 5							
	23.7 (4)								
1.	23.7 (5)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	<u> </u>

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

_																															
	24.8	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2 3	3 0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9 :	1	2 3	3 4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
Γ	25.4	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2 3	3 0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7 9	9	1 :	2 3	3 4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
ı	25.9	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2 3	3 0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7 9	9 :	1 :	2 3	3 4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
ı	28.1 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	-	-	1	2	3	1	-	5	-+-	╅	1 :	2 3	3 4	+-	1.5	2	2.5	3	
ŀ	28.1 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	_	+	⊢	-	-	-	_	-	1	-	-	_	-	\rightarrow	-	+	1 :	2 3	+	-	1.5	-	2.5	-	
ŀ	28.1 (3)	1	-	_	4	-	-	1	-	-		$\overline{}$	2 3	-	+	-	-	-	\vdash	5		-	-	2 3	—	5	1.5	-	2.5	-	
ŀ	28.1 (4)	1	-	3	4	5	,	+	_	3		$\overline{}$	2 3	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	+	-	2 3	-	5	1.5	-	2.5		
ŀ		н	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	\rightarrow	_	-	+	-	-	-	\rightarrow	-	_	_	-	-	-	-		-		_	
ŀ		1	$\overline{}$		-	_	_	_	_	_	-	$\overline{}$	2 3	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	+	2 3	_	5	_	-			
-		1	$\overline{}$	3	4	5	0	1				_	2 3	_	-	-	_		_	_	_	+	-		_	-	1.5	-			
ŀ	` '	1		3	4	5	0	1	2		0		2 3								_	-	-	2 3	_	5	1.5		2.5		
ŀ		V	$\overline{}$	_	_		_						2 :									-		2 3	_	5					y
L	33.1 (2)	1	_	3	4	5	_	1					2									9 ∶	-	2 3	3 4	3	1.5	_	2.5		
	33.1 (3)	¥	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0/	1	2 3	3 0	Y	2	3	1	3	5	7 9	9 ∶	1	2 3	3 4	3	1.5	2	2.5	3/	
	33.1 (4)	1	2	3	4	5	8	1	2	3	S	1	2	8	1	2	3	1	3	′ 5	7 9	9 :	1 :	2 3	3 4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3⁄	
	33.1 (5)	7	2	3	¥	5	6	V	2	3	9	J	2	9	1	, 2	3	¥	3	5	7	2	1 :	2 3	3 4	5	,1.5	N	2.5	.3	DEAD
Ī	33.1 (6)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	2 3	3 0	7	2	3	1	3	5	7	9 :	1 :	2 3	3 4				2.5	3	
ľ	33.1 (7)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3 0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7 9	9 :	1 :	2 3	3 4	5	1.5	2		3	
ı		1		-	4	-		1	-				2 3											2 3	_	5		$\overline{}$			
ŀ		1	\rightarrow	\dashv	4	-		1	-	3			2 3								_	-	-	2 3	-	5	1.5	\blacksquare			
ŀ	33.1 (10)	-	\rightarrow	_	_	-		_	_			\rightarrow	2 3	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	7 9		-	_	+	5	1.5	\vdash			
-		\rightarrow	\rightarrow	$\overline{}$	_		_	+-	_		_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	+	_		_			
╌	33.1 (11)	-	\dashv	\dashv		-	Н	_			-	$\overline{}$	2 3	_	+-	-	_	_	_	-	$\overline{}$	-	-	2 3	+	5		\blacksquare		-	
-	34.1	1	_	_		-		1	2				2 3									-	-	2 3	_	5	_	_	2.5	_	<u> </u>
-	34.7	1/	_	-	4	-	0	_					2 3								_	9 :	_	2 3	_	5			2.5		<u> </u>
Ļ	36.3	1	_	3	4	_	0	1	2	_			2 3								7 9	_		2 3	_	5	_	Ī			
L	37. 1 (1)	¥	2	3	4	5	0	1	Ź	3	_	\rightarrow	2 3		-	_	_	_	_	_	7	_	_	2 3	_	8	1.5	2	2.5	8	
L	37. 1 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2 3	3 0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7 9	9 :	1 :	2 3	3 4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	TAG ON GROUND
L	37.1 (3)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2 3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7 9	Э :	1 2	2 3	3 4	5	1.5				?
Γ	37.1 (4)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2 3	3 0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7 9	9 :	1 2	2 3	3 4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	?
	37.5	1	2	3	4	5	0	1			0	1	2 3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7 9	9 :	1 2	2 3	3 4	5	,1.5	2	2.5	3	
	38.6 (1)	4	2	3	4	5	0	1	2				2 3									9 :	_	2 3	_	3			2.5		(
F	38.6 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	N	1	-	3			2 3						3	_	_	9 :	_	_	4	_	1.5		$\overline{}$	3	
F	38.6 (3)	1	\rightarrow	3	-	Н	⊢	_		3	-	_	2 3	-	_		-	-	_	_	7	-	-	2 3	-	-	-	\rightarrow	2.5	\rightarrow	
ŀ	``	1	-	\dashv	-	Н	_	1		-	-	$\overline{}$	2 3	-	-	-	_	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	\rightarrow	-	_	_	_	4						TAG ON GROUND
ŀ	41.2	_	\rightarrow	3	4	7	-	-	-		_	$\overline{}$	2 3	-	-	-	-	_	_	5		_	-	$\overline{}$	_					2	176 070 6 600000
ŀ		1	_	-	4	ס		1	2	3	-			-	/	_	-	-	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	٠.	+	+	2 3	-	_	1.5	-	2.5	1	P
-		-	_	-	4	5	0	-	2	3		2	_	8	-		-	_	_	_	7	-	-	2 3	-	-		_		8	
F		1	\rightarrow	3		-	-	-				-	2 3	_	-	_	-	-	3	_	7 9	_	_	2 3	-	5	1.5	-	_	_	<u> </u>
ŀ		1	-	3		\vdash	-	1	2	3	-	_	2 3	_	+-	-	_	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_	_	_	2 3	-	5	1.5			_	
L	46 (1)	4	2	3	4	-	0	-		_		_	2 3	_	-	_			_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	1.5	-			
L	46 (2)		2										2 3												3 4				2.5	3	vine
L			2										2 3												_		1.5	2	2.5	3	
ſ	49.4 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	6	1	2 3	Ø	1	2	3	1	3	8	7 9	9 :	1 :	2 3	4	3	1.5	2	2.5	3	, _
Γ	49.4 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	3	2 3	8	1	2	3	1	3	3	7 9	€ :	1 2	2 3	3 4	3	1.5	2	2.5	3	
Ī		1											2 3		1	2	3	1	3	3					3 4		1.5		2.5	7	
ı		1						1	2		0	1	2 3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7 9				3 4		1.5	_	2.5	3	
t		1											2 3												4		1.5	\rightarrow	2.5	3	
				3				1	2	3	7	7	2 3	٦	1	2	٦	1	7	5	7 9			2 3		_	1.5		2.5	3	
+		1							2				2 3												4		1.5		2.5	3	
-		1		3						2	7	╣	2 3	2	1	2	٦	1	싉	귀	/ 	1	1 /	_ =	4	2					
H						2	2	1	2	3	U	4	4 5	0	1		3	1	3	2	4	1	1	4 3	4	5	1.5		2.5	3	
-		_		3				1					2 3														1.5	-	2.5	3	
	50	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2 3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7 9) [1 2	2 3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

 Date:
 I > 10 - 20 | L
 Population 4

 Name/s:
 CJ \$ AH
 Transect 2

		ting 8		5	Crown Density	Dead Branches	ic	ŧ
Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Mature	Immature	l nwc	ad Br	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
Tra		Dust	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Į Š	l - å	<u> </u>	Ō
					e a	Most of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Small Only) Part of Cown (Small Only) No Dead Branches		
		Negligible Low Moderate High Extreme Absent Scarce	Abundant Absent Scarce Common	Absent Scarce Common Abundant	Very Sparse Sparse Average Dense Very Dense	Most of Crown (Mair Part of Crown (Main Part of Crown (Small Part of Corwn (Termi No Dead Branches	Severe Moderate Slight	
T4-2	15.6	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2	3 0 1 2				1.5 1 2.5 3	
	16.2 (1)	2 3 4 5 0 1	3 0 1 2 3		 	 	1.5 1 2.5 3	J
	16.2 (2)	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2	3 0 1 2		1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3	
	19.8	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2	3 0 1 2 3		1 3 5 7 9	1 	1.5 1 2.5 3	
	20.3	1 2 3 4 5 0 1				 	1.5 1 2.5 3	
	23.4	1 2 3 4 5 0 1			 / 	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3	
	23.7	2 3 4 5 6 1 3			1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	
	25 25.7	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2					1.5 1 2.5 3 1.5 1 2.5 3	
	31.6	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2			1 3 5 7 9 1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3 1.5 1 2.5 3	
	32.9 (1)							DONN VINE
	32.9 (2)	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 :	3 0 1 2	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	Adda NIVE
	33.6	1 2 3 4 5 0 1	3 0 1 2 3		1 3 5 7 9	1 	1.5 2 2.5 3	
	34.3 (1)	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 3			 		1.5 2 2.5 3	
	34.3 (2)	1/2 3 4 5 0 1/2	3 0 1 2 3		1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
	34.3 (3)	¥ 2 3 4 5 0 ¥ 2	3 0 1/2 3	1 2 3	1 3 7 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗲	1.5 2 2.5 3	TOG re-artached
	35.4	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2		0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
	36.2 (1)	2 3 4 5 0 1 2			1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 9	rine
	36.2 (2)	2 3 4 5 0 1 1			1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	vine
	36.8	2 3 4 5 0 pm	3 0 🙌 2 3			1	1.5 2 2.5	·
	37.4 (1)	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 3	3 0 1 2 3		1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
	37.4 (2)	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 . 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 .	3 0 1 2 3		1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	
	37.4 (3) 39.2	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2	3 0 1 2 3 3 0 1 2 3	1000	 	- 	1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3	
	43.4 (1)	2 3 4 5 0 4	3 0 1 2 3		3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	,
	43.4 (2)	2 3 4 5 0 1	/ 		 		1.5 2 2.5 3	vine
	43.4 (3)	 			1 3 5 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	V(), (-
	43.4 (4)	1 2 3 4 5 0 1					7	/
	43.4 (5)		3 0 1 2		1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5		
	43.4 (6)	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 1	3 0 1 2 3	0 2 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
	43.4 (7)			0 1 2 3				
	43.4 (8)	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2	3 0 1 2 3			1 2 3 4 5		
	43.4 (9)	1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2	3 0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

43.4 (10)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
43.4 (11)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0.	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
43.4 (12)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
43.4 (13)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	З	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
45.6	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	З	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	,1.5	2	2.5	3	
46.4 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	Ø	1	2	3	8	1	2	m	B	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	ď	1.5	2	2.5	3	vine
46.4 (2)	Ŋ,	2	3	4	5	8	1	2	3	8	1	2	3	8	1	2	3	1	3	5/	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
46.4 (3)	4	2	3	4	5	ø	1	2	3	9	1	2	3	۵	1	2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1	2	3	4	\$	1.5	2	2.5	3	,
47	1	2	З	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
47.4	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
49.5	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
50 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	з	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	З	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	DEAD
50 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	8	1	2	з	8	1	2	3	8	2	2	3	1	3	3	7	9	1	2	3	4	8	1.5	2	2.5	3	vine
50 (3)	¥	2	3	4	5	S	1	2	3	\$	1	2	3	8	1	2	3	1	3	3	7	9	1	2	з	4	\$	1.5	2	2.5	3⁄	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Date	, ,,,	10-16							Population 5
Name	e/s. A	4 + C 2							Transect 1
Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Fruit	Mature	Immature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
		Negligible Low Moderate High Extreme	Absent Scarce Common Abundant	Absent Scarce Common Abundant			Most of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Small Only) Part of Corwn (Terminal Only) No Dead Branches	Severe Moderate Slight Nil	
T5-1	0.9	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9 1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3 1.5 1 2.5 3	vine
	1.8	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3	.
	2.2 (1)	2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 8 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3	/
	2.2 (2)	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9 1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3 1.5 1 2.5 3	
	3.2 (1)	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9 1 3 8 7 9	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 8	/ 	7
	3.2 (1)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 1 2.5 3/ 1.5 1 2.5 3/	,
	6.2	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3	vine
ŀ	6.7 (1)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	9 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5 3	
	6.7 (2)								Deat Every no log
	12.2	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	J J
Ì	12.8	1/2 3 4 5	0/1 2 3	2 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 8 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
l	15.2	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	,
	16.1 (1)	1 2 3 4 5	0/1 2 3	0 1 2 3	6 1 2 3	13579	1 2 3 4 8	1.5 2 2.5 🛭	
	16.1 (2)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
	16.1 (3)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
İ	16.1 (4)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
Ī	18.5	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
[19.5 (1)	2 3 4 5	Ø 1 2 3	Ø 1 2 3	9 1 2 3	1 3 8 7 9	1 2 3 4 8	1.5 2 2.5 3	
[19.5 (2)	2 2 3 4 5	0 1/2 3	0 1 2 3	2 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 8	1.5 2 2.5 3	,
	19.5 (3)	1/2345	0 1 2 3	0/1 2 3	9 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
	19.5 (4)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
	19.5 (5)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
	21.2		-0 1 2 3		0 1 2 3				
	25.5 (1)					1 3 5 7 9			
	25.5 (2)						1 2 3 4 5		
,	25.5 (3)					1 3 5 7 9			
	25.5 (4)						1 2 3 4 5		
	25.5 (5)		0 1 2 3			1 8 18 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	
		2 3 4 5							
ļ	25.5 (7)	1 2 3 4 5				1 3 5 7 9			/
}	25.5 (8)				9 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9			
L	25.5 (9)	1 2 3 4 5	6 1 2 3	0/1 2 3	U 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

																															,
25.5 (10)	¥	2 3	4	5	6	1	2	3	6	1	2	3	6	1	2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1	2	3	4	S.	1.5	2	2.5	3	vine.
25.5 (11)	1/	2 3	4	5	0	1	2	3	Ø	1	2	З	8	1	2	3	1	3	3	7	9	1	2	ω	4	Ŗ	1.5	2	2.5	3	
25.5 (12)	1	2 3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	3	1.5	2	2.5	8	vine
25.5 (13)	1/	2 3	4	5	Ø	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	ď	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	vine
25.5 (14)	1	2 3	4	5	0	1	2	3	Ø	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	3	1.5	2	2.5	8	vine
25.5 (15)	1	2 3	4	5	0	1	2	3	9	1	2	3	d	1	2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1	2	3	4	8	1.5	2	2.5	3	
25.5 (16)	\mathbf{h}		\rightarrow	_	0	-	2	-2	Ō		2	3	19	_	2	-	_	╌	.5	7	9	4	2	3	4	5	1:5	2	2.5	_	DEAD
25.5 (17)	1		4	-	8	4	2	3	-			3	,	Į	2		_		5	7	9	1	2	/ د	,	_				8	
25.5 (18)	1/	_	4	_	ď	-	-	3	0	_	2		ď	_	_	3	_	_	_	7	9				4			_	2.5	3/	
25.5 (19)	1	2 3	4		_	_	_	3	-	1		3		_	2		-	-	5	7	9				4				_	3	
25.5 (20)	1	$\overline{}$	4		0	$\overline{}$	2	3	0	_			8	_	2		_	_	5	-	9	1	-			Ī	$\overline{}$	_	2.5	3	
25.5 (21)	-	-	4	5	9	\rightarrow	2	<u>/</u> 3	þ		-	3	_	1	•	_	1	3	5	7) [6	1	2	_	1/4	14	1.5	2	2.5	3	
25.5 (22)	1	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	5	8	$\overline{}$	-	3		1	2	3	8	-	-	_	-	_	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	8	_	_	2.5	8	
25.5 (22)	_	2 3	_			_	_	3	0	-	_	3			2		_	_	-	7	9	-	2	_	4	_	1.5	_	2.5	-	
25.5 (24)		$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	_	-	_	_	3	0			3		1			-	_	-				$\overline{}$		4	_			2.5	3	1054 40EDS
		2 3				\rightarrow		3		$\boldsymbol{\vdash}$	$\overline{}$	3		1			-	-	-	7	9	_	_	_		_		_		3	
25.5 (25)	-		_	_	_			_	0	1	$\overline{}$	_		_	2		_	-	5			1	2		-		1.5	-	2.5	-	
25.5 (26) 25.5 (27)	_		$\overline{}$	_	_	\rightarrow	$\overline{}$	3				3					-	-	-	7	9				4		1.5	-	2.5	3	D H
		$\overline{}$	\rightarrow	_	-	-		3	0	-	2	3			2	_	_	_	5	7	9	-	2	-	4		1.5	_	2.5	3	
25.9	1							3	-	1	2	3		1	_	_	-	_	5	7	9	1	2		4		1.5	_	2.5	3	
26.5 (1)		2 3	_	_	\neg	_	_	3	0	1		-	_						_	7	_				4		1.5	_		3/	vine
26.5 (2)	1	\rightarrow	-	5	0/	_	_		-		_	3,	O/		-	3			_	_	-	1			4			-			vine
26.5 (3)	1	+	_	5	9	-		3	-	\vdash	_	3	9 ⁄	_	-		_		-	7	9				4		1.5	_	2.5	ď	
26.5 (4)	1 :		\rightarrow	5	0	\rightarrow	$\overline{}$	3	-	$\overline{}$	\dashv	3	0	-	_	3	_	_	5	7	9	\neg	$\overline{}$	_	4		1.5		2.5	3	
2 6.5 (5)	1 :	-	_	_	0		-	3	-	1	2	3	0		-	-	-	_	5	7	9	1			4		1.5	-		3	
26.9	1):		_	5	0	\rightarrow	_	3	_	1	2	3		1				_	5	7.	9	1			4		1.5	2	2.5	3	
27.4 (1)	1/ :		4	_	0/	_	$\overline{}$	3	$\overline{}$		$\overline{}$		Ø	_					_						4		1.5	2	2.5		vine
27.4 (2)	1 :	2 3	\rightarrow	5	0	\rightarrow	_	3	0	1	2	3	0	_	_			-	-	7	9	1			4		1.5	2	2.5	3	
27.4 (3)	1 2	2 3	4	5	0	1	\rightarrow	3	0	1	_	3	0	1	_	_		-	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
27.9	1 2	2 3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7 ر	9	1			4		1.5	2	2.5	3	
28.6 (1)	1	2 3	4	5	V	1	2	3	୪	1	2	3	Ø	1	2	3	1	3	3	Z	9	1			4		1.5	2	2.5	3	
28.6 (2)	1/2	2 3	4	5	8	1	2	3	8		2	3	ø	1	2	3	1	3	5	A	9	1	2	3	4	8	1.5	2	2.5	3	rine
28.6 (3)	1 3	2 3	4	5	0	1	2	3	9	1	2	3	6	1	2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	B	vine
28.6 (4)	1	2 3	4	5	0	1	2	3	8	1	2	3	Ø	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	vine
28.6 (5)	1			5	0	1	2	3	0	1/	2	3	0	1/	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	B	1.5	2	2.5	3	
28.6 (6)	1	2 3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
30	1 2	2 3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	_	-	3	0	1	_	-		3	5	-	9	_	-	_	4		1.5	2	2.5	3	
30.7	1 2	3	4	5	0	.1	2	3	16	4	2	3	0	T	_	3	1	_	5	7	þ	=	7	3	$\overline{}$	- 5	7.5	2	2.5	3	DEND
32.5	1 :	2 3	4	5	0	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	3	0	1	2	3			2		-	_	5	7	9	-	_	3	4		1.5	2	2.5	3	
33.3	1	$\overline{}$	4	_	-	_	-	3	-	1	_			_	_	-	1		-			_			4			-	2.5	B	vine
35.7	1 :		4		0					1		3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5		9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	_	2.5	3	· · · · ·
36.4 (1)	1	$\overline{}$	-+	_	_	_		3	- 7	-	-	3	0	_	_	-	1		Н	-	9	-	2	-	-	5	_	-	_	-	vine
36.4 (2)	1 :	+	_	-		\rightarrow	_	3	and the co	-	-		-		-	_	1	_	5			\rightarrow	-	$\overline{}$	4	-			-	3	
38.8	1 :	+-+	-	-	0	-	\rightarrow	3		\rightarrow	\rightarrow	3	_	_	_	_	1	_	Н	7	9	_	_	_	4		_	-		3	~500
39.7 (1)	1	+		_	ø	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_	-	-	_	3,	Ø	_	_	_	1	_	3	-	9	$\overline{}$			4		1.5	-		3	1600
39.7 (2)		_		_			$\overline{}$		-								1			$\overline{}$		$\overline{}$			4			_		3	
39.7 (3)		-	_	=	0			ر ا			$\overline{}$	3 B	9					3		$\overline{}$	-	-	2	_	4	_	1.5	2	2.5	ე უ	vine
40.1	1 2	+~+		-	0	-	-		\vdash	-	2		\rightarrow	1	-	_	1	\sim	-	$\overline{}$		\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_	4	-	_			ე ო	DEAD
40.7 (1)	-	-			_	_	_		0	\rightarrow	\rightarrow						1				_	-	_				1.5	2		_	
			4						_	$\overline{}$							1			-		$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	-	-		l	_		3	
40.7 (2)									Ø											_	_					-	1.5	-		3	
40.7 (3)	1	2 3	4	5	0	1	4	3	V	1	2	3	M	1		3	1	3/	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	3	1.5	2	2.5	8	Vine

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

40.7.(4)	ء ا	-		4	-		1.	Τ.	. T	ء ا	ام	1	١,	١,	~	1.	Τ̈́	T	Ta	Τ,	17	1 -	Lo		T ₂	Τ,	Τ,	1 -2			2.5	۱.	V
40.7 (4)	1/	_	3	4	5	0	١.	_	_	3	Ø 0	1	2		8	-	+	-	-	_	-	1/	9	1	-		-	-	_	2	2.5	3	
40.7 (5)	_	_	\vdash	<u> </u>	_	-	₽	+-	+	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	+-	-	+-	-	+-	-	-	-	-	-	8	_	2	2.5	3	vine
40.7 (6)	1		3	4	5	-	+	+	+	-	0	-	2	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+-	+	+	-		2	2.5	3	
40.7 (7)	1	-	3	4	5	0	+	_		_	0	-	2		-	-	_	_	-	-	_		9	1	-	-	-	_	1.5	2	2.5	3	
40.7 (8)	1	_	_	$\overline{}$	_	0	+	-	_	_	_	1	2		0	-	_		_	_	+-	-	-	1	-	_	_	-	1.5	2	2.5	3	
40.7 (9)	_	2	\rightarrow	4	-	_	-	-	-	_	0	1	2		_					-			-	1	-			5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
40.7 (10)	1	_	\rightarrow	4	5	0	╌	+	+	3	0	1	2	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	+-	+	-	1	+	+	+	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
40.9	1	_	-	4	5	_	╄	A-		_	\rightarrow	1	2			1	_		•	-	-		-	1	+	_				2	2.5	3	
41.6 (1)	1/	_	\rightarrow	4	5	_	3	-	_	-	-			3		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	1	2	_	4			_		ર્લુ	
41.6 (2)	1			4	5	_	1	L 2	_	_	0	1		3		1	-	-	-	-	5	7	-	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	709
41.6 (3)	1			4	5	0	1	_			0	1					_					_		1	2	_		_		2	2.5	3	
41.6 (4)			3	4			٠.		-			1					2				_	-	—	1			4			2	2.5	3	
41.6 (5)			_	4	_		1			3	0	1		3		1					5	7	9	1	2		4		1.5	2	2.5	3	
42.1	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1 2	2]	3	0	1	2		0	1	2	3	1	3	- 5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
42.8 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1/2	2	3	0	1	2	3	8	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3.	/
42.8 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	8	1	1 2	2,	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	, 2	3	1	3	5	17	9	1	2	3	4	3	1.5	2	2.5	3	Needs tog
42.8 (3)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1		3	0	1	2	3	_	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3		_		2	2.5	3	vine
44.8	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	. 2	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
45.6 (1)	4	2					-					1		3			2				15		-	1			4			2	2.5	3	/
45.6 (2)	1	2	_				-	_	_	_	$\overline{}$	1						3			-	-	9	1		_		8		2	2.5	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
45.6 (3)	1		3	4	5	8	3	-	_	_	\rightarrow	1	2	3	_	1					3	7	9	1	-	3		_		2	2.5	7	
45.6 (4)	7		3		-		-	_	_	_	_	1	2	3	_	1		3			-	7	9	1	-		4			2	2.5	8	
45.6 (5)	1		-	_	5	_	Η.	/ -	-	-	\rightarrow	1	\leftarrow	3	8			3				7	_	1	_	_	4	_	_	2	2.5	3	weeds rea
45.6 (6)	1		3	4	5	0	1	_	_	3	0	1	,2	3	_	1		3		_	-	7	9	1	+	3	_		1.5	2	2.5	3	70
45.6 (7)	1				5		2				0	1		3		1			1				-	1	-	_	4		,	2	2.5	3	
45.6 (8)	2				5		-	-		-	_	1		,3		1			1					1	_		4			2	2.5	3	
45.6 (9)	1		-		5		-	-		_		1	7	3	ď	1		3			-	-	+	1	_	3	_	_		2	2.5	3/	
45.6 (10)	1	_	3				_			-	-	1	2	3	0	1	2	3		-		-	-	1	_	3	-	-	1.5	2	2.5	3	
46.4	_	2	3		_		-	+	_	_	0	_	2	3	0	1	2		1		-		-	1	┿		_			2	2.5	3	
48.1 (1)	1/		\rightarrow				-		-	-		1	2	3	8	1	_		1		-	-	9	1	_	3	-		1.5	2	2.5	2	
48.1 (2)	1	$\overline{}$		_	5	-	-	+	_	-	-	2	2	3	a	1				-	-		9	1		3	4	5	$\overline{}$	2	2.5	3	
48.1 (3)	2	-		_	5	_	-	╌		_	0	1/	²	3	Ø			3			_	-	9	1	-	-	-	5		2	2.5	3	
48.1 (4)	1			4		ø	¥				N N		2	3		1		3						1	-	3		_	_	2	2.5	3	
48.1 (5)	N	\neg	₹.	╛	5	1 1/4	Ļ	2	-	-	0	\rightarrow	-	3) 		2		L-	-	5	Ļ	Ĭ	1	É	3	1	5		5	2:5	၁ (၁	D6HD
48.1 (6)		2		4	_	8	1	-		_	_	1	2	3	-	1	2		_	-	-	7	9	1	2	3	4	8	1.5	2	2.5	*	7 - 2
48.1 (7)	\rightarrow	_	3			_	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	3	0	-			1		+	-	-	1	-	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
49.2	1	2	-	_			1	+-	+	-	-	1	.2	3	0	1	2		-	_	-	_	9	1	+	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
50 (1)	1	_	-			0	Ь.	4	+	-	-	¥	2	3	V	•	_	-	1	-	_	-	9	1	+	3	4	8	1.5	2	2.5	8	
<u> </u>	1	_	_		_		_	+-		_	Ø	₹	2	3	V					-		_	9	1		3	4	5/	1.5	2	2.5	B	
ι-,	انا					<u> </u>	_	-					_		ت ا	_				<u> </u>		Ľ.		_	-	<u> </u>		ٽ				٠,٠	1

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date: Population 5
Name/s: A H & CJ

Name/s: Name/s: A H & CJ

Name/s: Name/s:

Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit			Mature				Immature				Crown Den					Dead Branc			Crown Epic	Growth			Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Unly)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight		IIV	
T5-2	1.8	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3 () [1 2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3 6	1 5	1.5	5 1	2.5		3	
	2.6	4	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	2	2	3 (<u> 1</u>	L 2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1.	2	3 6	1 5	1.5	1	2.5	5	8	
	5.8	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3 () [L 2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3 4	1 5	1.5	1	2.5	5	3	
	8.7 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2/	3 () [1/2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3 4	18	1.5	1	2.5	5	Z	
ļ	8.7 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2/	3 () [1/2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3 4	1 3	1.5	1	2.5	5 :	3	
	16.1	1	1	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3 () [L 2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3 4	1 5	1.5	1	2.5	5	3	
	16.5	3	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3 (1	L 2	3	1	3	5	7/	9	1	2	3 4	1 5	1.5	5 1	2.5	5	3	
	20.2	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3 () [1	L 2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3 4	1 5	1.5	1	2.5	5 :	3	
	21	3	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3 (1	L 2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1	2	3 4	i /5	1.5	1	2.5	5 6	8	
	32.3	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3 () [L 2	. 3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3 4	1 5	1.5	5 1	2.5	;	3	
	33.6	ý			1//		1						W	7	38									///		W		X)		76	Ø	DAP#
	41.8	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3 (1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3 4	1 6	1.5	5 2	2.5	1	3	needs tog
	42.6	Ą	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3 (J	(2	. 3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3 4	18	1.5	2	2.5	,]	3	,

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date: 8-10-16
Name/s: A. Hefferon

Population 7
Transect 1

Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating Fruit	Mature	Immature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
		Negligible Low Moderate High Extreme Absent Scarce	way Abundant Scarce R Common	 Absent Scarce Common Abundant 	Very Sparse Sparse Average Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Small Only) Part of Crown (Small Only) Part of Corwn (Terminal Only) No Dead Branches	Severe Moderate Slight Nil	
T7-1	4.8 (1) 4.8 (2) 7.8	X 2 3 4 5 0 1 X					1.5 2 2.5 X	Dead
	11.5 (1) 11.5 (2)	 ★ 2 3 4 5 0 ★ 2 2 ★ 2 3 4 5 0 ★ 2 2 ★ 2 3 4 5 0 ★ 2 2 	3 0 1 2 3 3 0 1 2 3	6 1 2 3 6 1 2 3 6 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9 1 5 5 7 9 1 5 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 6	1.5 2 2.5 % 1.5 2 2.5 % 1.5 2 2.5 %	
	14.3 (1) 14.3 (2) 14.3 (3)		3 0 1 2 3	9 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9 1 3 5 7 9 1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3	
	14.3 (4) 17.8	Y 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 . 4 2 3 4 5 X 1 2 .	3 0 1 2 3 3 0 1 2 3	9 1 2 3 6 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9 1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3	<i>i</i>
	20.7 (1) 20.7 (2) 22.3	4 2 3 4 5 0 4 2 2 4 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 2 4 5 6 1 2 2	3 9 1 2 3	1 2 31 2 31 2 32 3	1 3 5 7 9 1 3 5 7 9 1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3	
	27.9 28.7	1 2 3 4 5 2 1 2 .	3 2 1 2 3	2 1 2 3	1 8 5 7 9	1 2 3 🗸 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	Dead
	33.5 44.3	4 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 2 3 4 5 0 4 2 3 3 3 4 5 0 4 2 3 4 3 4 5 0 4 2 3		1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 1 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 1 1 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 <t< td=""><td>1 3 % 7 9 1 3 5 % 9</td><td>1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 1 2 5</td><td>1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3</td><td></td></t<>	1 3 % 7 9 1 3 5 % 9	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 1 2 5	1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date: Name	,	не Ц ег	-01						Population 7 Transect 2
Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Fruit	Mature	Immature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
T7-2	3.8 5.1 (1) 5.1 (2) 7.5 17.4 (1) 17.4 (2) 33.5 39.5 43.7	elgia in in in in in in in in in in in in in	Hosephandan The solution of the ## The state of th	tusage 1 2 3 3 6 1 2 3 3 5 6 1 2 3 3 6 1 2 3 3 6 1 2 3 3 6 1 3 2 3 3 6 1 3 3 3 6 1 3 3 3 6 1 3 3 3 3 6 1 3 3 3 3	Signature	Most of Crown (Main & Small) Most of Crown (Main & Small) Most of Crown (Main & Small) Most of Crown (Main & Small) Most of Crown (Small Only) Most of Crown (Small Only) Most of Crown (Small Only) Most of Crown (Terminal Only) Most of Corwn (Terminal Only) Most of Corwn (Most of Most of Corwn (Most of Most Second S			

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date: Name		-10-16 HEFTE	ron						Population 7 Transect 3
Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Fruit	Mature	Immature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
		Negligible No Low Moderate High Fyteme	o Absent 1 Scarce Common N Abundant	Absent Scarce Common Abundant	Absent Scarce Common Abundant	Very Sparse Sparse Average Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Small Only) Part of Crown (Terminal Only) Part of Corwn (Terminal Only) No Dead Branches	Severe Moderate Slight Nil	
T7-3	3.1			0 1 2 3	6 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 1 2.5	7
	5.5 (1)	2 3 4 5		0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 1 2.5 8	
	5.5 (2)	2 3 4 5		0 1 2 8	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 1 2.5 3	
	20.6	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	- 	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 1 2.5 8	,
	44.7 (1) 44.7 (2)				0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	1 3 8 7 9 1 3 8 7 9		1.5 1 2.5 % 1.5 1 2.5 %	
	44.7 (2)	2 3 4 5 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 8 7 9 1 8 5 7 9		1.5 1 2.5 3 1.5 1 2.5 3	/
	44.7 (3)	2 3 4 5 2 3 4 5		0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 7 7 9		1.5 1 2.5 8	
	44.7 (4)	1 2 3 4 5		0 1 2 3	6 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 1 2.5 2	,
	44.7 (6)	1 2 3 4 5		0 2 2 3	6 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9		1.5 1 2.5 2	
	44.7 (7)								Dead
	44.7 (8)	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 8	Jeau
	44.7 (9)	2 3 4 5	0 1 2/3	0 1 2 3	Ø 1 2 3	1 3 6 7 9		1.5 2 2.5 3	/
	47.1	1 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 🕏	0 1 2 🕏	G / 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 8	1.5 2 2.5 3	1
				$\bot \bot \bot$					
		$\sqcup \sqcup \sqcup$	$\blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare$	$\bot\bot\bot$	\Box		\blacksquare		
		$\sqcup \sqcup \sqcup$	$\blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare$	+++					
			$\blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare$						
			╂┼┼┼┼						
		\vdash	$\blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare$			\square			
L				111		I	.		

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

6.2.2. January 2017 Field Sheets

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Nam	: 20- e/s: A	На	m	is	,	В	-	2.	. 1	n	cC	a	11	27	,																		Population 1 Transect 1
Transect	Tree No.		Parit Dating	Dust nating				Fruit	Linit				Mature				Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches			C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C	Epicormic	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	MODEL COM.	Woderate	181	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	f Crown	Part of Crown (Main & Small)		Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight	N	<u></u>
T	3	1/2		2	9	5	0	1	1	×	0	V	×	3	0		2	3	1	3	V	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	./	Dodder
	9.6 (1)	1/2	-	3 4	1	5	4	A	2	3	V	M	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	V	5	7	9	1	2	3	/	1	1.5	2	2,5	Y	Dodder
	9.6 (2)	1/2		1	1	5	0	1	2	3	V	1	2	,3	7	1	2	3	1	V	15	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	-	Dodder
	9.6 (3)	1		1	1	5	0	4	V	3	0	1	1	3	1	4	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2"	3	4		1.5	2	2.5		Dodder
	10.5			-	1	5	9	1	2	3	•	1	7	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	1	9	1	2"	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	-	7
	14.8	1/2		2	1	5	P	V	,2	3	W.	V	, 2	3	1	1	2	3	1	100	V	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	,1.5	2	2.5	1	Dodder
	19.7	1		5	ļ	5	0	1	/2	3	8	1	2	3		1	2	3	1	1	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	,1.5	2	2.5	V	
	21.5	V	+	-	1	5	0	√	2	3	0	1	2	3	1		2	3	1	3	V.	1	9	T	2	3	4	6	1.5	2	2.5	٧	
	24.8 (1)	1/2		1		5	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	15	1	9	Ţ	2	3	4		,1.5	Z	2.5	1	Dodder
	24.8 (2)	7/1/					0	1	*	3	0	111	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	V	777	7	9	2//	2	3	1		1.5	2	2.5		1
	24.8 (3)		1/2	1/2	1	2	2	24	22	12	22	92	92	1/2	11/2	1/2	111		1/2		1/2		22		22	22	22	24				1/2	Dead
	24.8 (4)	1		-	,	9	0	1	5	3	V	Ţ	2	3	-0	V	12	3	1	3	1	17	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	
	24.8 (5)	1/		4	1	5	0	1	8	V	0	1	V	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	V	7	9	4	2	3	4		1.5	2	2.5	2	
	26.3 (1)	1/2		4	4	5	4	-	2	3			7	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	V	7	9	1	2	3	4	2	1.5	2	2.5		
	26.3 (2)	1 2	-	4	+	•	4	i	2	8	1	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	V	25	7	91		2	3	4	6	1.5	2	2,5	V	Dodeler
1	27.6	1/2		9	1			1	2	3	1	1	2	3	V	1	2	8	-	V	-	1	9	1		3.	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	V	
-	33.1 (1)	4 2	-	9			0	4		3	Ø	1	2	5	0	/	2	3	1	3	1	1	9	-	4	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	1	Dentiter Dodeler
	33.1 (2)	1		2	1		Ų,	y	V	Ž		1	1	3	P	7	Z	3	1	A	D2	1	2	-	2	3	4	1	1.5	7	2.5		
	33.1 (3)	1/2				2	0	4	4		0	J	2	3	U	1	2	5	1	V	4	1	9	The second	7	3	4		1.5	7	4.5	V	
	33.1 (4)	V -	-	1		2	4		-	7	0	-	,2	1	0	1	4	3	1	3	1	1	27	*	4	2	다.	V	1.5	2	2.5	_	Loose Tag
	36.4	1	-	+	-		0		*	13	-	4	7	5	D	V	2	3	1	3	2	1	5	-	Z	3	4	-	1.5	-	2.5	1	4
	40.4 (1)				1		Ü	-	-!	2	V	7	Z C	2	0	1	V	3		3	V	-	5	1	~	3	4	4	1.5	~	2.5	V	Dodder
	40.4 (2)	1 2	F	-	1	2	0	1	1	3	U	1	2	3	1	V	E.	3	1	0		1	9		_	3	4		100	2	2.5		A Nat
	40.4 (3)	/		-	-	2		Y	4	2 4	7	~	2	3	7		2	0	E	7	V	-/-		-	-	2	4	V	1.0	7	2.5	V	Dodder
	40.4 (4)	1	F	-	1		e e	-	-		V	4	1	6	1	1	2	10	-	6	7	1	9		-	3	-	7	1.5	2	2.5	Y	in the second
	40.4 (5)	V		1			0	1	4	2		-	1	3	0	7	1	3	1	3	V	1	2	±	~	3	4		1.5	Z	2.5		C112 H22 U15
	46	Y	1	9		Ď,	V		V	3	D)	1	2	3	U	N.	Ś	5	1	3	1	1	9	L	1	0	4	/	1.5	Z	2.5	1	Dodder

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Fruit	Mature	Immature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
	5.6 (1) 5.6 (2) 8.8 14.2 (1)	M Moderate W M Moderate W M Moderate W M Moderate W M M Moderate W M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	0 0 0 Absent 1 1 1 Scarce 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Absent A	O O O Absent	www.barse www.www.sparse www.www.sparse www.www.sparse	H H H Most of Crown (Main & Small) W W W Part of Crown (Small Only) H H H Part of Crown (Terminal Only) A R G G A G G G	2.5 2 2.5 % No. 1.5 2 2.5 %	Dodder Dodder Dodder
	14.2 (2) 17.8 24.5 (1) 24.5 (2) 24.5 (3)	2 3 4 5 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 0 1 2	0 1 2 3 0 1 3 3 0 2 3 3	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 2 3	1 3 7 9 1 3 7 9 1 1 7 9 1 7 9 1 7 9 1 3 7 9	1 2 3 4 2 1 2 3 4 2 1 2 3 4 2 1 2 3 4 2 1 2 3 4 2	1.5 2 2.5 2 1.5 2 2.5 3	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.		1	Dust Rating	I			Fruit			N. Contract	Mature				Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches			(Crown	Growth	T			Comment		
		Negligible	LOW	Moderate	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Semmon	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight						
1	1.4	1	2	3 4	5	0		1	97	9	0	12	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	1	17	9	1	2	77	4	V	1.5	2	2.5		-		١		
	24 /	1	+	3 4	5	0	1	2	3	0	-	2	3	0	1	V	3	1	3	0	7	9	1	2	3	4	8	1.5	2	2.5		Dodder /	_	+		_
	26.1 (1)	1	-	3 4	5	-	1	2	1	0	H)	1	3	0	V	2	3	1	8	V	7	9	4	2	3	1	5	1.5	2	2.5	-	1	/	ł	-	-
	26.1 (3)				1/2	1/2	1	111	1	7	77	1	1		<i>V</i>	111	1/2		1	1	1	111	1	111	1	7	7	1111	Ź	1111	V.	Dead		H		
	27.7 (1)	7	2	3 4	5	17	1	2	3	8	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5		Dodder /	4			_
	27.7 (2)	1	2 3	3 4	5	0	V	3	3	0	V	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	1	4	S	1.5	2	2.5	-		1			_
	32.7 (1)	1	2	3 4	5	0	V	3	3	0	1	2	3	O	V	2	3	1	Z	3	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1,5	2	2,5	-	Dodder	1	,		_
	32.7 (2)	1	2	3 4	5	0	V	3	3	1	7	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	6	1.5	2	2.5		1				×
	34.4 (1)	1:	2	3 4	5	0	V	2	3	1		2	3	0	Y	2	3	1	3	J	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	L	1)	V	
	34.4 (2)	V	2	3 4	5	Ó	sil	1	3	Q	V	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	,3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	2		1			
	35.1	1	2 3	3 4	5	4	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	2	77	1	100	5	7	9	1	2	3	A	2	1.5	2	2.5						
	38.7	1	2	3 4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	N	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	1	1				
	47.3 (1)	1	2 3	3 4	5	0	1	2	3	1	,1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	8	V	7	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	2.5	0.00	1	L			
	47.3 (2)	VV	+	3 4	+	0	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	0	1	12	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	2.5			L			
	47.3 (3)		2 3	4	5	0		√	3	0	<i>V</i>	2	3	0	V	2	3	1	3	3	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5						

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

20-1-17 Date: Population 1 Name/s: A. Hurris & R. McCarren Transect 4 Dead Branches Crown Density **Dust Rating** Crown Epicormic Growth Immature Tree No. Mature Fruit T(2.3 16 (1) 16 (2) 16 (3) 16 (4) 16 (5) 16 (6) 16 (7) 18.6 21 21.7 22.9 24.1 34 (1) Dodder 34 (2) 37.3 (1) 37.3 (2) 43.4 Flowenna flowering 44.8

Please tick to show which value best represents each category for each tree = Previous Quarters Result

-7

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date:	20. e/s: Ash	\	+	⊐ -	R	o-S	5	Μ					_																					Populatio Transect 5			
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating	0	1			Fruit				- Mature				Immatiire	ווווווווווווו			1	Crown Density					Dead Branches			awou	Fricormic	Growth			Comment		
		Negligible	woll 2	w Moderate	High	5 Extreme	O Absent	Scarce	nommou 2	w Abundant	About	Absent	or or or or or or or or or or or or or o	Common	Abundant	O O A Absent	Scarce	Common	ω ω Abundant	⊢ Very Sparse	ω ω Sparse	Average	2 Dense	υ Very Dense	□ Most of Crown (Main & Small)		ω Part of Crown (Small Only)	A Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	2.5 Severe	∾ Moderate	Slight 2.5	- I	Dodder √ . Dodder			
T()	24.2	1				5	0		2		C			2 :	3 .	αy	1	2	3	1	3	X	7					4	Ž	1.5		2.5	X	Dodder 🗸 -			_
	30.1	1	2		4	5	0	1			() y	4	2 3	3	0	X	2	3	1	3	X	7	9	1	2	3	4	Ď	1.5	2	2.5	X	Dodder			4
	44.1	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	X	10) 1	1 >	<u> </u>	4	0	X	2	3	1	3	X	X	9	1	2	X	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	×	Dodder			4
		ŀ	╀	┢	+	╀	╀	╀	╀	╄	╀	+	41	A.	+	+	4	4			┝	7	<u> </u>	_	L	L		H	4		H		L				4
		┞	╁	H	+	H	Ͱ	╁	+	╁	╀	╁	+	-	+	+	\dashv	_				L	H	H	H	H	H	\dashv	-		Н		H				\dashv
		┞	╁	╁	+	╁	╀	╀	+	+	╀	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		Н	⊢	-	-	Н	H	\vdash	Н	\dashv	┨		Н		\vdash			 	\dashv
		Ͱ	╁	╁	+	╁	₽	╁	+	H	╁	┿	╁	+	+	+	+	-		H	\vdash				H	H	\dashv	\dashv	┨		Н		-				┥
		H	+	H	╁	+	┢	╁	H	╁	t	+	+		+	+	+	=		H	-	-		Н	H	H	Н	\dashv	┨		Н		H			 	\dashv
		t	H	t	H	۲	H	t	H	+	t	╁	+	+	+	+	+	-		H						-	Н	\dashv	1		Н	-	-				-
		t	H	╁	╁	t	t	t	╁	t	t	t	+	t	t	+	\forall		Н		-		H		H	Н	Н	\dashv	┪		H		\vdash			 	
		t	t	t	t	H	t	t	╁	$^{+}$	t	+	+	+	t	\dagger	+			Н			H	_	┝		\dashv	\dashv	┪		H		Н				-
		t	╁	t	t	t	t	t	t	t	t	t	$^{+}$	\dagger	t	+	+		-	Н			H		H		H	Ħ	1		H		H			 	
		t	T	T	T	T	t	T	†	t	t	T	t	t	t	†	1	1					H	_	Г		Н	\forall	┪				Н				
		T	l	T	T	T	t	T	T	T	t		T	\top	Ť	1	1	٦	П						Г			\dashv	7		П					 	
					Γ	Γ	Г	T	T	T	T		T	T	Ť	T	T								Г						П		П				
			L							Γ	Γ	I			Ţ																						
		Ĺ		Ĺ											I	I																					
		L	L	L	L	L	L	L		L	Ĺ					\perp						Ĺ	Ĺ														
		L		L		L	L	L	L	L	L	\perp	\perp	1	1	\downarrow	\downarrow			Ц		L				Ц					Ц		Ц				
		L	L	L		L	L	L	L	L	L	\perp	\perp	1	1	1	\downarrow		Ц							Ц			_		Ц		Ц			 	\Box
		L	L	L		L	L	L		1	L	1	1	1	1	1	4	_	Ц		L.	_	L		Ш	Ц		Ц	_		Ц		Ц				_
		L	L	\perp	_	\vdash	L	L	L	<u> </u>	L	1	_	4	1	4	4	_	Ц	Ц		L	L		Щ	Ц			4		Ц		Ц			 	\Box
		L	L	L	_	L	L	L	1	1	L	+	_	4	╀	4	4	_	Ц	L	L	L	L		Ш	Ц			4		Ц		Ц				\dashv
		L	L	L	L	L	L	L	1	╀	L	+	+	4	4	4	4	_	Ц	Ц	\vdash	L	L		Ш				_		4		Ц				4
		L	L	L	L	L	L	L	╀	╄	₽	\downarrow	+	4	4	4	4	4	Щ	Щ	Ц	L	L		Н	Ц	_		4		\sqcup		Ц				4
		L	L	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	L	Ļ	1	+	Ļ	+	+	+	1	4	4		Ц	H	H	L	_	Ц	Ц	Ц			4		Ц		Ц				4
		L	<u>L.</u>	L	L		1_				L				L	\perp	\perp																				

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.			Dust Kating				Fruit			Maturo	Iviature			Immatire	- Internation			Crown Density					Dead Branches		2	Chicormic	Growth				Comment		
6	4.8 11.7 (1) 11.7 (2) 13.1 19.4 (1) 19.4 (2) 21.6 (1) 21.6 (2) 23.1	Negligible (Constitution)	22 3 22 3 22 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Winderste	5 5 5	0 0	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ω ω w 🖊 🔨 ω ω Abundant	A C O O O V Absent	2 Scarce	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	w w w w w Abundant	A do o o o o A Absent	1 Scarce	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	wwwwwwww	1 1 1 1 1 1	G Average	7 7 7 7 7 7 7		T T T T T T Most of Crown (Main & Small)	5 5 5 5 5 7 Part of Crown (Main & Small)	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	The part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	× 1 × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	Dead Dodde Dodde Dodde Dodde Dodde Dodde Dodde	r r r			
	34.5			3 4	5		1	2	3	8	1	2	33	/	1	2	73	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4 4	1,5	2	2.5		Dodde		all Tr	ee (2n	n)

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

15.5	13.5	Transect	Tree No.			Dust Kating	T		T	- Fruit	1			Mature			Immature	- Illiand				Crown Density					Dead Branches			T. C. C.	Epicormic	Growth			Commont		
15.5	15.5			Negligible	_	_	_	-		Common	Abundant	4-	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common		Very Sparse	$\overline{}$	Average	Dense	nse	Crown	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)		Severe		Slight					
33.7 (2)	33.7 (2)		15.5 23.6 28.5 (1) 28.5 (2)		2 3	3 4	1	5 (2	1	0	1 1 1	2 2 2	m m m	0		2 2 2 2	3	1 1 1 1	3 3	5 5 5	7 7 7	9 9 9	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3	4 4	1	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	2 2 2	2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	Y .	1			
46.4 (2)	46.4 (2)		33.7 (1) 33.7 (2) 36 (1) 36 (2) 38		2	3 4) .	1 2	3 /3	0 0	1 1 1	2	ന ന ന ന	0 0	1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	1 1 1 1	3 3 3	5 5	フ フ ブ ブ	9 9 9	1 1 1 1	2	3	4 4 4 4	1	1.5 1.5 1.5	2 2 2	2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	1 1 1 0	Dodder Dodder		>	
	47.9		46.4 (2) 46.4 (3) 46.4 (4) 46.4 (5)		2 3	3 2	1 5 1 5 1 5) :	, v	3 3 3	0	1	2	33 33 33	0	1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3	1 1 1 1	1 3	5 5 5	7 7 7 7	9 9 9	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3	4 \$	1	1.5 1.5 1.5	2 2 2	2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5				1	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					-Fruit			-	Inlature			Immature			T	Land amort	Clowii Delisity				Dead Branches			Crown	Epicormic	Growth			Comment	
3	1.3 18 22.7 34.2 (1) 34.2 (2) 38	/ C C C Negligible	MOT 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	w w w w Moderate	48jH 4 4 4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	o o o o S Absent	1 1 Scarce	2 2 Common	w w / w Abundant	O O O A Absent	1 1 1	Common Common	w w w w War w Abundant	4	1	2 2 2 2 2	3 3	1 1 1 1	w w w w Sparse	7 7 7 7	a a a a a Very Dense	- 🗀 🗀 🗀 🗀 🗀 Most of Crown (Main & Small)	N N N N Part of Crown (Main & Small)	3 3 3 3	A A A Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	1.	5 5 5 5	2 2 2	tylis 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	IN V	Dodder One dead Dodder	branch	n, Dodde
	40.8		2	33	4	5	9	1	2	3			2	m	1	1	-	3	_	3 4	1	9	1	2	-	4 2	1.	-		2.5	7			

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Company Comp	12-1	72-1	Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit				Mature				Immature				Crown Density			(Dead Branches				Crown	Growth		Comment
4.1 (1)	4.1 (1)	4.1 (1)			Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense			Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight		
4.1 (3)	4.1 (3)	4.1 (3)	2-1	4.1 (1)			$\overline{}$			_	1	2						diam'r.	1			1				9	1		-	N					V	
8.9 (1)	8.9 (1)	8.9 (1)			1	2	\neg	4	5	0	1	2	1	0	1	-	3	0.	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	3	_	2		Date	
8.9 (2)	8.9 (2)	8.9 (2)			-	2		4	5	0	1	2	4	0	1	-	1	4	1	2	3	1	3	4	7	9	1	2	3	×	5		2		-	1
14.3	14.3	14.3			1	_		4		0	1	2	V	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	V	7	_	1	_		-/	5		2	_	-	1
19	19	19			100	-	-	4	-	0	1	N	3	0	4	2	-	0	1	2	3	1	3	4	7	-	1	_		V	-		2	_	-	
22.6	22.6	22.6				2	\rightarrow	4	5	0	4	2	/3	0	1	2		8	1	2	3	1	3	¥	17	$\overline{}$	1	5-1	-	4	,5		V	-	-	-
26 (1)	26 (1)	26 (1)			4	2	~	4	5	0	1	V	3	0	1	y	3	~	1	2	3	1	3	3	7	-	1	2	3	4	5	_	2	_	-	Dodder
26 (2)	26 (2)	26 (2)			V	2		4	5	0	1	2	82	0	1	-	-	d	1	2	3	1	3	-	1	-	1	2	-	-	5	-	2			1
30.5 (1)	30.5 (1)	30.5 (1)			1	2	_	4		0	V	2	-	0	ĭ	1		ď	1	2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1	2	3	V	5		2		*	Doddel
30.5 (2)	30.5 (2)	30.5 (2)			Y	2	-	4	~	Ť	1	2	~	-	1	-	_	8	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	_	1	2	3	V	5		2	_	9	Dodder
30.5 (3) Dead 35.4	30.5 (3) Dead 35.4	30.5 (3)				-		4		0	1	2		0	1	-	1		1	2	3	1	3	5	1		1	2	3	1	V		2		٠	1
35.4	35.4	35.4			V	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	1	8	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	1111	3	
46.8 (1)	46.8 (1)	46.8 (1)					1	20	22	2	1/2	1/2/	1/2	2	2	1/2	1/1/	92	14	1/1/2				10	14	22	22	22	22	22	24				2/2	
46.8 (2) Dead 46.8 (3) 2 3 4 5 0 2 3 0 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 7	46.8 (2) Dead 46.8 (3) 2 2 3 4 5 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 7 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 7	46.8 (2)			-	,2		- 1	-	_	-	2		-	-	1	~	~	1	~		1	-	-	100	_	1	_	_	4			-			Y
46.8 (3) 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 7	46.8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 0 4 2 3 0 4 2 3 0 7 2 3 7 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 7	46.8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 0 4 2 3 0 4 2 3 0 7 2 3 7 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 7			4	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	V	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	\$	1,5	2	2.5	2	
					24	24	24	11/2		184	1//		100	2/2			1/2	22	1/2	11/2	1/2	1/2	12			1/2	1/4	2	22	22	1/4				1/2	Dead
50	50	50				_	-			-		1	-	_		-	-			-		1	-		1	_	1	2		4	-	_	2	_	1	
				50	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	12	3	0	V	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	y	7	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	2.5	V	
			1		П	1	1			Г		1														1	1		1		1		П		-	
					H	1	1																								1					
					П													П										1	1		1				†	
			1			1																						1			1		Н		T	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating			I	- Fruit	I		- Mature			-Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Growth	T		Comment	
72-2	15.6 (1) 15.6 (2) 20.8 (1) 20.8 (2) 26.7 30.5 36 37.8 (1) 37.8 (2) 50	A C Negligible	2 2 2 2 2	w w w w w w Moderate	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5				4		2 3 2 3 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 1 1	3 3 3 3	2 Average	7 7 7 7 7 7	a la la la la la la la la la la la la la	Host of Crown (Main & Small)	2	w w w w Part of Crown (Small Only)	A P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	S I S I S I S I S I S I S I S I S I S I	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	tugis 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5		Dead		

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Iransect	Tree No.			Dust Rating				:	Fruit			400	Marine				Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Clowin	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight		
	1.9	1	2	33	4	5	0	1	2	3	6	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	2.5	_	
1	3.8	Z	2	3	4	5	N	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	8	1	.2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	- 00	
	5.3 (1) 5.3 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	X	0	1	1	3	0	N.	2	3	1	3	5	V	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5		2
	9.2	1	7	n n	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	N	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	-	3
1	17	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	0 0	X	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	8	1.5	2	2.5	-	
V	18.5	1	2	2 00	4	5	0	7	1	3	0	2	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	4	7	9	1	2	3	4	×	1.5	2	2.5	- 80	1
	19.2	6	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3.	6	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	1	5	1.5	2	2.5		
	42.7	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	2.5		6
	47.7 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	8	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	1	.5	7	9	1	2	3	4	Z	1.5	2	2.5	1	
	47.7 (2)	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5		2
	50 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	33	0	6	2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5		
	50 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	Q.	ß	0	1	2	3	0	¥.	l	3	1	3	6	7	9	1	2	3	4	15	1.5	2	2.5	_	
	50 (3)	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5		3
	50 (4)	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	73	0	1	2	3	1	3	Z	7	9	1	2	3	4	6	1.5	2	2.5		
	50 (5)		2	3	4	5				3	0	¥	2	m and a second	0		2	3	1	3	9	7	9	1	2	3	4	6	1.5	2	2.5		

Please tick to show which value best represents each category for each tree

= Previous Quarters Result

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date: Name	21- /s: AF	01	3 1	20	7 n								-		_																		Population 3 Transect 2
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit				- Mature				Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches			3	Crown	Growth		Comment
ТЗ-2	2.4 (1)	/ Negligible	MOT 2	w Moderate	High	ы Extreme	O Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	O Absent		Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	W Abundant	H Very Sparse	w Sparse	un Average	Dense	very Dense	→ Most of Crown (Main & Small)	No Part of Crown (Main & Small)	□ Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	™ Moderate	Slight Slight	IN.	
	2.4 (2) 5 - 7.2 36.9 40.2 42.9	1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5	0 0 0	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 1	0 0 0	1 1 1	1 2 2 1	3 3 3 3	0 0	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 1 1	3 3 3 3	5 5 5 5	7 7 7	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2	m m m m	4 4	5 5 5	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	2 2 2 2 2	2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	 1	Leaves dead all over 1000
											1																						

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating			Fruit		-		Mature			1000	Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches			(Crown	Epicormic	Growth				Comment	
3-3	5.5 6.9 (1) 6.9 (2) 7.4 8.4 10.4 23.3 44.8	NA Negligible	mo7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	www www www Moderate	2 2 2 2 2 2 Extreme	0 0 0 0 0 Absent	2 V	3	0	SCALCE SCALCE	no.	3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0 A 0 0 Absent		u.	wwwwwAbundant	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	w w w w w w w sparse	G)	950 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	T T T T T T Most of Crown (Main & Small)	all)		A P P A P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	5 K K K K No Dead Branches	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z		G G G G G G G SUSUL	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	5		

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

3.3	3.4 3.3 (2) V 2 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 0 V 2 3 0 V 2 3 1 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 2 2.5 V 13.3 (3) V 2 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 0 V 2 3 0 V 2 3 1 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 2 2.5 V 1.5 (3.3 (3) V 2 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 0 V 2 3 0 V 2 3 1 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 0 V 2 3 1 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 0 V 2 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 0 V 2 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 2 3 3 3 4 5 0 1 V 3 3 0 V 2 3 3 1 3 3 V 7 9 1 1 2 3 3 4 V 1.5 (2 2.5 V 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	3.3	3.3	ame,	/s: Rr	N.B) 1	71	-1		1				_			4		_				_		_	_		_			7					Transect 4
3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	Transect	Tree No.			Dust Kating					Fruit				IMature			Immorting	- IIIIIIarni e				Crown Density					Dead Branches			3	Fricormic	Growth		Comment
				3-4	13.3 (1) 13.3 (2) 13.3 (3) 19.8	1 2	2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2	70 00 00	4 4 4	5 5 5	0	Scarce	2	3 3 %	0	Scarce	1 2 1	u w w w Abundant	0 0 0	1	2 2 2 2	U. U. U.	T T T T Very Sparse	3 3	5 1 5	7,7	9 9 9	T T T T Most of Crown (Main & Small)	2 2 2 2 2 Bart of Crown (Main & Small)	3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4	1	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5		2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	v	Could not be located

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

																2	Illinature				Crown Density					Dead Branches			Crown	Epicormic	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight	Nil	
7-1	4.8 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	1	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	1	
	4.8 (2)				#	1					2			%					%														Dead
	7.8	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	1	3	9	1	2	3	1	8	8	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	1	
	11.5 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	Ö	1	2	3	0	V	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	f	1.5	2	2.5	V	
	11.5 (2)	V	2	3	4	5	0	d	V	3	0	V	2	3	M	V	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	d	
-	14.3 (1)	¥	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4,	4	1.5	2	2.5	1	
-	14.3 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	
-	14.3 (3)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	1	3	~	1	2	3	1	V	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1,5	2	2.5	~	
-	14.3 (4)	~	2	3	4	5	0	,1	1	3	0	1	2	3	0	V	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	-	4	1.5	2	2.5	3	
-	17.8	Y	2	3	-	5	4	1	2	3	8	1	2	3	9	1	2	3	1	3	9	7	9	1	2	-		1	1.5	2	2.5	V	
-	20.7 (1)	1	,2	3	-	5	0	1	2	3	0/	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	3	i	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2,5	8	
-	20.7 (2)	4	2	3		5	9	1	2	3	8	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	~	9	1	2		-	4	1.5	2	2.5	1	/
-	22.3	1//	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1,5	2	2.5	3	
-	27.9	14	12	14	24	22	4	24	111	11/2	24	24		10	4	22	10	1/2	2	23	1/2	7/4	22	22	24	2		4		1/4		22	Dead
-	28.7	1	7	3		5	0	1	2	3	V	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	4	5	7	9	1	2	-	-	6	1.5	2	2.5	1	
-	33.5 44.3	1	2	37 33	-	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	W.	1	2	3	1	3	5	7	9	1	-	-	-	1	1.5	2	2,5	1	
	44.3	4	2	2	4	2	Ü	4	2	3	U	4	4	5	4	7	2	3)	T	3	5	1	9	T	2	3	4	¥	1.5	2	2.5	1	
+		H	+	+	+	\dashv	+	+	\dashv	+	\vdash	-	-	+	-		-	-		\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		\dashv		H	
+		H	+	\dashv	+	+	+	+	\dashv	+	\dashv		-	+			\dashv	-		\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		\dashv		H	
+		H	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	\dashv	\dashv	-	-	H		-	-	\dashv	-	-	-	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		-	-	H	
+		H	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-			-	+	+	-	-		-	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		\dashv			
+		H	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	H		-	+	+	-		-		\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		-			
1		H	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	H		-	+	+	+	-	\dashv	-	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	_	H	
+		H	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-		-	\dashv	+	-	\dashv	+	-	\dashv	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+		-	_	H	
+		H	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	\dashv	\dashv	+	\dashv	+	\dashv	+		\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+			_	H	

Please tick to show which value best represents each category for each tree

= Previous Quarters Result

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

3.8	7-2 3.8	Transect	Tree No.		The second second	Dust Rating					Fruit				Mature				Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Epicormic	Growth		Comment
5.1 (1)	5.1 (1)				Low					Scarce		Ahindant	_					Scarce	_		Very Sparse		Average			Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)			No Dead Branches	Severe	$\overline{}$		SHBILL	Nil	
39.5 🗸 2 3 4 5 0 🗸 2 3 0 🗸 2 3 0 🗸 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 🗸	39.5 🗸 2 3 4 5 0 🗸 2 3 0 🗸 2 3 0 🗸 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 🗸	7-2	5.1 (1) 5.1 (2) 7.5 17.4 (1) 17.4 (2)	1	2 2 2 2 2	30 30 30 30	4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5	0	1 1 1	2 2 2	CU CO CO ES	9	1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2	3 3 3	8 8 8 8	1 1 1 1	2 2 2	30 00 00 00	1 1 1 1 1	m m m m	5	7 7 7	9 9 9	1 1 1	2 2 2	100 m m m	4 4 4 4	1011	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	2 2 2 2	2. 2. 2. 2.	.5	10 10	
			39.5	1	-	3	4	5	0		2	3	C	Y	2	3	V	1	2	3	1	3	5	V	9	1	2	33	4	6	1.5	2	2	5		Growing fungus?

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Name	e/s:		21-1 AH	#	R	m		_		_																Population 7 Transect 3
Transect	Tree No.	Parisa 4 sur	Dast Natile		Fruit			Mature			Immature				Crown Density				Dead Branches			G. C. C.	Enicormic	Growth		Comment
T7-3	3.1 5.5 (1) 5.5 (2) 20.6 44.7 (1) 44.7 (2) 44.7 (3) 44.7 (4) 44.7 (5) 44.7 (6)	A A A A A A A A A A	3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Moo o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Mayo a go go a ge Absent	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 :	A STATE OF THE STA	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	5 3 3 3 5 5 5	7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	SSSSSSSSSS	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1481S 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	IN S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	
	44.7 (8) 44.7 (9) 47.1	2 3	4 5	0	1 2	3	0	1 2	3	0	1	2 3	3 1	-	1	7 9	9	1	2 3 2 3 2 3	4	3	1.5 1.5	2 2	2.52.52.5	1	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

6.2.3. April 2017 Field Sheets

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Nam	e/s: A .	4	9	¢	5	2	-	0	0				-																				Population 1 Transect 1
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit				Mature				Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight	Nil.	
T1-1	3	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	0	V	2	3	1	3	3	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	12	2.5	V	Dodder
	9.6 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	4	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	4	Dodder
	9.6 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	V	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	3.5	v	Dodder
	9.6 (3)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	V	3	(4	I	2	3	1	3	4	7	9	1	2	3	4	0	1.5	2	2.5	1	Dodder
	10.5	1	2	3	4	5	1	1	2	3	1	1	,2	3	6	,1	2	33	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1,5	Ż	2.5	1	
	14.8	1	2	3	4	5	0		2	3	0	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1,5	2	2.5	1	Dodder
	19.7	4	2	3	4	5	V		2	3	6	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	Lki	4	V	1.5	2	2.5	V	
	21.5	1	2	3	4	5	0	4	2	3	0	Y	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	33	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	v	,
	24.8 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	S	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	10	2.5	V	Dodder
	24.8 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	J	1	3	1	T	2	8	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	V	1
	24.8 (3)											0																					Dead
	24.8 (4)	1	2	3	4	5	V	1	2	3	V	1	2	3	0	1	2	ui)	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	20	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	V	
	24.8 (5)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	.2	V	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	33	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	77	4.	~	1.5	17	2.5	1	
	26.3 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	1	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	/	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	~	Dodder
	26.3 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	1	1	2	3	V	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	4	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2,5	1	
	27.6	V	2	3	4	5	1	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	177	4	4	1,5	2	2.5	1	
	33.1 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	V	2	3	0	V	,2	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1,5	2	2.5	1	Dodder
	33.1 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	N	×	3	0	V	2	3	D	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	1	
	33.1 (3)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	1	5.	7	9	1	2	3:	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	1	
	33.1 (4)	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	V	0	1	2	1	1	1	2	3	1	3	4	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1,5	2	2.5	1	
	36.4	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	V	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	J	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	4	Dodder
	40.4 (1)	1	2	3	A	5	0	1	1	3	(0)	1	2	3	0	1	12	3	1	3	V	7	9	1	2	3	4	0	1.5	2	2.5	V	Dodder
	40.4 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	4	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	2.5	8	
	40.4 (3)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	V	1	2	3	1	3	1	7.	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	/	
	40.4 (4)	4	2	3	4	5	V	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	I	1	5.	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	1	
	40.4 (5)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	1	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	/	1.5	2	2.5	/	Dodder
	46	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	1	0	Ī	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	2.5	V	
	48.7	.4	2	3	4	5	1	1	2	3	V	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	V	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	V	Dodder

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

21-4-17 Date: Population 1 A. Hefferon Name/s: Transect 2 Crown Density Dead Branches **Dust Rating** mmature Crown Epicormic Growth Tree No. Fruit T1-2 5.6 (1) Dodder 5.6 (2) Dodder 8.8 Dodder 14.2 (1) 14.2 (2) 17.8 24.5 (1) 24.5 (2) 24.5 (3)

. 6.

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit			1	Mature			Cantramal	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		T	1	Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common		Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense		Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight		
1-3	1.4	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	-	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3.	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	4	
	24	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	1	Ø	1	2	3	0	1	1	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	33	4	V	1.5	2	2.5	-	Dodder
	26.1 (1)	V	2	3	41	5	0	Ш	V	13	Q.	1	2	3	D	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	-	-	V	1.5	2	2.5	-	
	26.1 (2)		2	3	4	5	0		2	1	0	1	1	3	0	V	2	3	1		1	7	9	1	2	3	V	5	1.5	2	2.5	1	Dodder
	26.1 (3)	4	1/2	1/4	22	22	22	1/4	1/2	1/1	24	20	11	12	4	24	14	12	14	24	74	14	4	4	24		24	4		1/4		1/2	Dead
	27.7 (1)	4	2	3	4	5	V	1	2	3	V	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	_	3	4	4	1,5	2	2.5	-	
	27.7 (2)	V	2	3	4	5	V	V	2	3	V	1	2	3	1	4	,2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	1	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	_	Dodder
	32.7 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	1	3	2	3.	٧,	1	2	3	V	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	I	2	3.	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	Dodder
	32.7 (2)	V	2	3	4	5	Q.	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	D.	1	2	3.	1	/	9	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	V	
	34.4 (1)	1	Z.	3	.4	5	0	V	12	3	1	1	2	3	0	V	2	3	1	-	\	7	9	I.	2.	3	4	1	1.5	12	2.5	-	
	34.4 (2)	1	12	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	0	/	2	3	1	-	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1,5	2	2,5	-	
	35.1	1	2	3	4	5	V	1	2	3	V	1	2	3	~	1	2	3	1	v	5	-	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	-	
	38.7	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	V	3.	0.	1	2	3	0	V	2	3	1	-	1	-	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	+	
	47.3 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	V	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	-	4	7		1	2	3:	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	_	
	47.3 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	Q	V	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	-	1	7	9	1 .	2	Les.	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	1	
	47.3 (3)	1	4	3	4	5	0	1	1	37	0	1	2	3	Q	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1,5	2	2.5	v	1
+			+			-	H	-	-	H	H			+	+	+	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+		H		+	<u></u>
			+	+	-					H	H	-	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		Н	-	+	
1			+	+	+							+		+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	H		+	
			+	+	+	-						+	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	H	-	+	
	-		+	+	1						H	\forall	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		H		+	
ŀ		\forall	+	+	1							\forall	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	t	+	+		+	+		H		+	
ŀ		H	+	+	+	-	H					1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		H		+	
-			1	1	1									1	+	+	+	1	+	+	+	1	+	+	+		+	1				+	
			+	+	1							\dashv	1	+	+	+	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		H		+	
1			+	+	+	-		H		Н		1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	t	+	+	+		+		Н		+	
- 1			1	1	1						\forall	\dashv		1	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		\vdash	-	+	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating								Caritte PA	Mature			The same of the sa	Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches			23.02	Criown	Growth	T down		Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight			
1-4	2.3	1	2	70	4	5	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	300	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	4	9	1	2	3	4	3	1.5	2	2,5	5	1	
-	16 (1)	1	2	23	4	5	0	~	2	3	0	1	2	3	V	1	2	3	1	3	~	7	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	2.5	-	1	
-	16 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	O	1	1	3.	0	1	/	3	~	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	-	1	
-	16 (3)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	1	0,	1	×	3	0	4	.2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	_	1	
-	16 (4)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	1	3	V	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	-	1	
-	16 (5)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	1	0.	1	1	3	0	~	2	3	1	3	~	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	-	1	
	16 (6)	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	1	Y	,1	2	3	1	3	V	7	9	1	2	3	4	~	1.5	2	2.5	-	The state of the s	+0 chie 180.1
-	16 (7)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	V	2	3	ø	1	2	3	1	3	4	17.	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	\rightarrow	prou	n chie, read
-	18.6	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	/	2	3	0	/	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	_	1	
-	21	1	2	3	4	5	1	1	2	3	V	1	2	3	V	1	2	3	1	6	5	7	9	1	2	3	4		1,5	2	2,1	-	1	
	21.7	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	V	0	1	á	N	0	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	-	1	
	22.9	/	7	3	4	5	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	I	3	5	V	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	-	1	
1	24.1	V	2	3	4	5	1	(E	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	(A)	V	2	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	-		
-	34 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	I.	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	-	1	
1	34 (2)	V	2	3	4	5	O	1	V	B	0.	1	1	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4		1.5	2	2.5	-	1	
-	37.3 (1)	/	12	100	4	5	V	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	+	1	
-	37.3 (2)	1	2	3.	4	5	V	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	ď	1	12	3	I	3	V	10	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2,5	-		
-	43.4		2	3	4	5	0	1	2	V	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	I	3	5	1	9	1	2.	3	4	5	1.5	2	2,5	-		
-	44.8	/	2	3	4	1,19	Q	1	2	V	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2,5	5	1	
-		_	-	-	-	_	H	-	-3	_	Н	-			H		H	H	H		_	H	-	Н		H	+	-		Н		+		
-		-			-		-	-			-			-	-	-	-	H	-	-	-	H	-	Н		+	+	-		Н		+		
t																											+	1		H		1		
1																			T								+	1		П		1		
																											1					1		

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Fransect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					1				Mature				Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	cormic	Growth		Comment	
Ira	Tre	ole				01			n Fruit	nt				nt				nt	arse				nse	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)		Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches				Gro		Con	
1-5	24.2	Negligible	MOT 2	w Moderate	High 4	a w Extreme	O Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	a Absent	Scarce	Common Z	w Abundant	Absent	< - Scarce	Common	Abundant	- Very Sparse	w w Sparse	< Average	J Dense	o very Dense	 Most of 	□ No Part of (a w Part of	> Part of	No Dead	Severe 1.5	→ Moderate	2	Slight	IIN V	Dodder Dodder	
	44.1	√	2	3	4	5	Ō	1	1	97	0	1		3	Q	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	91	1	2	(0)	4	1	1.5	2	-	.5	7	Dodder	
-																																			
																							1				1	1							

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit				- Mature				Immature				Crown Density		-			Dead Branches			amo.	Fricormic	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	ıse	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)		No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight	i.N	
1-7	13.5	1	2	0.7	4	5	Ò,	1	2	1	0	1	2	S	8	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	2,5	N.	
	15.5	/	2	3	4	5	0	/	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2,5	~	
-	23.6	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	V	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1,5	2	2,5	V	
	28.5 (1)	V	7	, Co.	4	5	0	1	2	V	0	I	V	3	0	4	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1,5	2	2.5	v	
	28.5 (2)	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	~	3	0	Y	2	3	0	V	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	_	1.5	2	2.5	¥	
	31.4	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	_	2	3	6	1	2	3	1	~	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	1	
	33.7 (1)	/	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	1	1	2	7	0	V	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	1	
	33.7 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	10	0	1	2	3.	0	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	7	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	*	Dodder
-	36 (1)	1	2	5	4	b	0	1	4	3	W	1	2	3	0	1	12	3	1	3	4	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	1	
-	36 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	V	0	1	<u> </u>	3	Q.	-	2	3	1	3	2	7.	5)	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	0	Dodder
+	38	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	V	100	4	1	2	3	1	6	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	4	Dodder Started to de
-	46.4 (1)	1	2	3	9	5	2	1	2	3	9	1	2	.3	1	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3.	4	7	1.5	2	2.5	٧	2
-	46.4 (2)	1	Z	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	1	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	29	1	2	3	4	1	1,5	2	2.5	¥	Dodder
1	46.4 (3)	1	1	3	4	5	0	1	V	47	0.	-	1	3	8	1	2.	3	1	3	5	4	3	1	2	~	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	1	
-	46.4 (4) 46.4 (5)	1	4	0	4	5	0	1	1	1	0	1	-/	3	0	×	2	3	1	3	3	1	=	1	2	-	4	1	1.5	12	2.5	ľ	
1	47.9	1	2	5	×	2	0	7	/	2	0	1	2	0	0	- 2	1	2	-	1	(A)	-(57	1	2	-			_	Z	2,5	7	
-	49.4	1	2	0	4	5	0	1	M	2	0	1	2	5	1	×	7	5	7	/	2	1	9	1	2	-	1	1	1.5	2	2.5	-	
-	49.4	(2)	4	2	14	2	U	V	<i>P</i>	2	U	*	90.	,3	Ø.	1	4	.5	1		5	1	39	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	4	2,5	3	
-			-				-			-	-						-					+	+	+	+	+	+	+		\forall	-	+	
t																						1	1			1		t		1		t	
																		5									Ī	1					
																							1					1					
-			4																				4			1	1	1					[[
															_			_					1					-				H	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

ate:	: 2 e/s:	1 .	2	+ .	H	7	FF	e	X	0)	_																						Population 1 Transect 8
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating	0				-Fruit				Maturo					Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches			(Crown	- Epicormic	Growth		Comment
1-8	1.3	Negligible	Low	w Moderate	ysiH 4	G Extreme	Absort	-	Scarce	_		Absent	- Scarce	Common Common	△ Abundant	Absent	Scarce	N Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	ur Average	7 Dense	© Very Dense	- Most of Crown (Main & Small)	No Part of Crown (Main & Small)	□ Part of Crown (Small Only)	Nart of Corwn (Terminal Only)	ு No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	_	Slight	lin/	Dodder
	18 22.7 34.2 (1)	1	2	30 00 00	4	5 5	0		1 1		1	0	1	1	to to to	0	1 1	2 2	212 223 223	1 1	3 3	1	-	9 9 9	1	2 2	co co co	4	1	1.5 1.5 1.5	2 2	1	2.5	1	
	34.2 (2) 38 40.8	1	2 2	M W M	4	5 5	0	× ×		2	3 3	_	1	2	bu w w	8	1 1	2	W W	1 1	70 m	1	7 7	9 9 9	1 1	2 2	W W W	4	5	1.5 1.5 1.5	2		2.5	1	Dodder
											-																								
											+			1																					
									-																								-1'		
																									i i										
							F	-	+	1	+	+	-	+						F	F											-			

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

2-1 4.1 (1) 4 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 0 1 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 6 4 4.1 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 6 4 8.8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 6 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (2) 4 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 2 5 5 5 5 5 2 2.5 6 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (1) 6 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 2 2.5 6 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (2) 4 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 2 2.5 6 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (3) 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 2 2.5 6 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (1) 6 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (1) 6 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (1) 6 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (2) 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (2) 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (3) 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 3 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (3) 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 3 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (3) 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 3 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (3) 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 3 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (3) 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 3 1 3 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 3 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (3) 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 3 1 3 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 3 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (3) 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 3 1 3 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 3 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (3) 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 3 1 3 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 3 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (2) 3 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 3 1 3 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 3 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (3) 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 3 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 9 Dodder 2-2-6 (2) 3 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 3 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4.1 (1)	4.1 (1)	Transect	Tree No.			Dust Kating				15.13	- Luit				Mature	r_ 1			Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Growth		Comment
4.1 (2)	4.1 (2)	4.1 (2)			-	_	-	_	_		0 /	-	Abundant		Scarce	Common		Absent	Scarce	Common	-	Very Sparse	-		Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main				_	Severe		Slight		<u> </u>
4.1 (3)	4.1 (3)	4.1 (3)	1		1	2 :	3 .	-	-		1	-	d	-	1	1	-	0	d	2		1	-	-	V	8	1	~			5	_				1
8.9 (1)	8.9 (1)	8.9 (1)	1		1	3	3 4	-	-	0	1	-/	P		1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1		5	1	-	1	2	_	/	5		2			
8.9 (2) 1 2 3 4 5 0 7 2 3 0 7 2 3 0 7 2 3 0 7 2 3 0 7 2 3 0 7 9 1 2 3 7 5 1.5 2 2.5 \$\frac{7}{2}\$ Dodder 19	8.9 (2) 1 2 3 4 5 0 7 2 3 0 7 2 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 7 9 1 2 3 7 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 7 9 1 2 3 7 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 7 9 1 2 3 7 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 7 9 1 2 3 7 5 1.5 2 2.5 7 7 9 1 2 3 7 5 1.5	8.9 (2) 1 2 3 4 5 0 7 2 3 0 7 2 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 7 9 1 2 3 7 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 7 9 1 2 3 7 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 7 9 1 2 3 7 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 7 9 1 2 3 7 5 1.5 2 2.5 7 7 9 1 2 3 7 5 1.			1	2	3	4	-	0	1	10	0	-	1	61	1	0	1	10	3	1	4	1	7	-	1	2		11/	7		2		_	1
14.3	14.3	14.3			1	2	3	4	+	~	1	2	3		1	2	3	0	V	1	-	1	-	1	7	_	1	~		1	5		2	_	-	1
19	19	19			1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2		0	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	_	1	-	1	4	5	_	1		-	Dodder
22.6	22.6	22.6			1	2	3	4	5	0	V	101	3	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	0	5	7	9	1	2	3	1	5		2		_	
26 (2)	26 (2)	26 (2)		22.6	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	d	3	Ö	1	V	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	V	7	9	1	2	3	V	5		2	_	-	1
30.5 (1)	30.5 (1)	30.5 (1)		26 (1)	1/	2 :	3	4	5	0	V	3	693	0	V	4	3	0	1	2	3	1	1	1	7	9	I	2	3	V	5	1.5	2			Dodder /
30.5 (2)	30.5 (2)	30.5 (2)			1/	2	3	4	5	Q.	U	2	3	0	11	2	3	d	1	2	3	1	6	5	7	9	1	2	3	V	5	1.5	2		_	Dodder
30.5 (3) Dead 35.4	30.5 (3) 35.4	30.5 (3) Dead 35.4			1	2	3 4	1	_	0	1	2	V	0	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	5	V	9	1	2	_	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	, 4	1
35.4	35.4	35.4			1	2 3	3 4	1	5	0	1	2	8	0	1	2	1	8	1	2	3	1	3	5	4	9	1	2	V	4	5	1.5	2	15		3
46.8 (1) / 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 2 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 2 46.8 (2) 46.8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 2 3 4 5 2 2 5 2 4 5 4 5 8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 2 3 4 5 2 2 5 2 5 4 5 8 6 8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 2 2 5 4 5 8 6 8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 2 2 5 4 5 8 6 8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 2 2 5 4 5 8 6 8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 2 2 5 4 5 8 6 8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 2 2 5 4 5 8 6 8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 2 2 5 4 5 8 6 8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 2 2 5 4 5 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8	46.8 (1)	46.8 (1)				X	1	1	4	4			1/2					14								1/2			1	1	4				1	Dead
46.8 (2) Dead 46.8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 V 1 Z 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 V 9 1 2 3 4 2 1.5 2 2.5	46.8 (2) Dead 46.8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 V 1 Z 3 V 1 2 3 V 1 2 3 1 3 5 V 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 2 2.5 V	46.8 (2) Dead 46.8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 V 1 2 3 V 1 2 3 V 1 2 3 1 3 5 V 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 2 2.5 V			1/	-	+	+	-	-	1	-	×	0	1	2		_	1	2	-	1	-		-	-	1	~		-	6					
46.8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 V 1 Z 3 Ø 1 2 3 Ø 1 2 3 1 3 5 V 9 1 2 3 4 Z 1.5 2 2.5 Z	46.8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 V 1 Z 3 V 1 2 3 V 1 2 3 1 3 5 V 9 1 2 3 4 Z 1.5 2 2.5 Z	46.8 (3) 4 2 3 4 5 V 1 Z 3 V 1 2 3 V 1 2 3 1 3 5 V 9 1 2 3 4 Z 1.5 2 2.5 Z	-		1	2	3	10	5	0	1	V	3	0	1	1	3	8	1	2	3	1	3	V	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5	1	/
			-		140	4	4	1	4	4	14		2		12	11	1/2	1/2			1/1/	1/2	1/2	111	//	2	1/4	2	22		4				X	
50 4 5 0 7 2 3 0 7 2 3 0 7 2 3 7 4 5 0 7 2 3 0 7 2 3 7 4 2 3 1 3 7 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5	50 11 2 3 4 5 0 V 2 3 0 V 2 3 V 11 2 3 1 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 2 2.5 V	50 11 2 3 4 5 0 V 2 3 0 V 2 3 V 11 2 3 1 3 V 7 9 1 2 3 4 V 1.5 2 2.5 V	-		4	-	-	-	_	_	1	Z	_		1	2			1	2	~	1			N	-	1	-	-		1	_				1
			-	50	1	2 3	3 /	1	5	0	V	2	3	0	V	2	3	V	1	2	3	1	3	V	7	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	2.5	1	1
						I	I	I	I	I																									I	
																									1	T		T		T	1				T	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

15.6 (1)	Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Fruit	Mature	Immature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
20.8 (2)	r	15.6 (2)	1/2 3 4 1/2 3 4	5 0 1 2	3 0 1 2 3	0123	1 3 × 7 9 1 × 5 7 9	Most of Crown (Most o	1.5 2 2.5 1.5 2 2.5	
		20.8 (2) 26.7 30.5 36 37.8 (1) 37.8 (2)	2 3 4 2 3 4 2 3 4 2 3 4 2 2 3 4	5 0 1 2 5 0 1 2 5 0 1 2 5	3 0 2 3 3 0 2 3 3 0 2 3 3 0 2 3	0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 6 1 2 3 6 1 2 3	1 3 2 7 9 1 3 7 9 1 3 7 9 1 3 7 9	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 4 1.5 2 2.5 4 1.5 2 2.5 4 1.5 2 2.5 4	Dead /

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect Transe	lam	e/s:	K	2.	η	4	(91	V	V	~	T		-		ī							_						_					Transect 3	
8.2	Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating	0				Fruit				- Mature				- Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Growth		Comment	
8.2			Negligible	TOW	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Control	Common	Abundant	Spanicant	40sent	Common	Ahindant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight		= 7	
28.8	7			-	3		5	0	1	1 2	9	1	0 :	_	9	0	1	2	_	1	3	5		9	1	2	3	V	5	1.5	2	2.5			
38.6			1	2	_	-	-	-	+	-	_	-	-	-	1	0	-	2	-	1	-	-	1	-	1		-	-	-		-			1	
42.7 (2) 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 2 3 0 2 3 0 2 3 1 3 5 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 2 42.7 (2) 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 2 3 0 2 3 0 2 3 1 3 5 9 1 2 3 4 1 1.5 2 2.5 2 46.5 2 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 2			V	2		-	-	-	+		1/	-	-	17	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	100	1		1	-		_		1	-			1	
42.7 (2) 1 2 3 4 5 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 5 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 2 46.5 2 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 2			7	2		-	-	1	+			-	_	1	_	-	12	1/2	2	1	_	-	1		_	-	$\overline{}$	_	5		-				
46.5			1	2	4	-	-	-	+		-	+	-	1	-	-	Y	10	3	7	-	-	1	1	_	_		_	5				_	1	
			il)	2	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	_	./	_	-	-	$\overline{}$	_	1	_					
		Qi																																	
			H	-		-	-	\vdash	+	+	+	╀	+	+	+	1	+	-	+		-	H	H	_	Н	4	+	+	+		Н	-	+	+	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Name	e/s: A.	101	9	er	Ø.	1																											Population 3 Transect 1
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit				Mature				Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches			,	Crown	- Epicormic	Growth	Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme		Scarce	Common	-		-	Common	-	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	-	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)		Severe	Moderate	Slight	21.81.5	Ni
3-1	1.9	1	2	3	4	5	1	1	2	3	8	-	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	¥	-	7	9	1	2	3	4	3	1.5	2	2.		8
	3.8	1	2	3	4	5	0	3	12	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	8	-	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1,5	2	2.		₹
	5.3 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	y	0	1	V	3	0	V	2	3	1	3	5	V	9	1	2	3	4	1	1,5	2	2.		V.
	5.3 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	10	13	0	1	2	3	0	¥	2	3	-	3	9	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.	_	V
- 2	9.2	1	4	3	4	5	0	4	1 4	33	0	w/	2	3		1	2	3	-	3	V	1	9	1	2	3	4		1.5	2	2,	\rightarrow	8
	18.5	/	2	3	4	-	0	V	2	3	0	V	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	-	3	17	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.		y .
	19.2	/	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	V	2	3	O/	4	2	3	1	3	4	7	9	7	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.	_	7
	42.7	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	-	1	0	1	2	3	0	1	12	3	-	3	2	17	9	1	2	3	4		1.5	2	2.	\rightarrow	1
	47.7 (1)	j	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	d		2	3	1	1	5	7	9	4	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2,	_	2
	47.7 (1)		2	3	4	5	0	7	V	-	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	2	9	7	2	1	7	3	4	v V	1.5	2	2.		1
	50 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	V	-	0	V	2	3	0	v	10	3	1	3	9	-	9	1	2	3	4	× v	1.5	2	2.	-	v
- 1	50 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	V	-	9	1	2	3	4	5/	1.5	2	2.	-	1
	50 (3)	1	2	3	4	5	0	7	2	1	0	V	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	9	-	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.	_	1
	50 (4)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	-	0	L	12	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	V	1	9	1	2	3	4	0	1.5	2	2.	_	7
	50 (5)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	-	0	1	2	3	0	0	12	3	1	3	V	_	9	1	2	3	4	9	1.5	2	2.	-	V
	, ,	H							F		F								F	F				F									
		H	-						-										F	-	F	F		F									
		H	1				-	1	+	-	H	+					-	1	t	+	+	+		H				Н		-		+	
		\forall	7					1	1		H							1	t	+	t	1		H		\vdash						+	
		H						1	1	T	Г				-		T	T	t	1	T	T		Г	T							7	
			1					-	1		T						T	T	t	\dagger	1	1										1	
									1		Г							F	F		F	-											_
									T		Г				Г		Г	T	t		T	T							-			1	
			1			1					Г						Г		t	T			Г	Г					TT			1	11
			1												Г				T	T		T		Г						Г		1	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating						1 Luit				Mature				- Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Growth			Comment	
3-2	2.4/1)	Negligible	Low	w Moderate	High		or Extreme	O Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	o Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	O Absent	Scarce		w Abrindant	Very Sparse	w Sparse	Un Average	Dense	ω Very Dense	- Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	w Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	5.5 Slight				
5-2	2.4 (1) 2.4 (2) 5 7.2	1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3 m	4/4	1	5	0 0 0	1 1	2/2/2	3 9	0 0	1	2 2 2	3 3/3 3	0 0	-	2	3 3 3	1	3 3 3	3	7 7	0 00 0	1	2 2/2	3 3 8	4 4	5	1.5	2 2 2	2.5		Dead		
	36.9 40.2	1	2	30 00	4	1	5	0	1	2 2	3	0	1	8	3	0	1	2	33 73	1	3	5 5	1	9	1	2 2	30 00	-	5	1.5 1.5	2 2	2.5	1			
	42.9		2	3	4		5	0	1	2					3		3	2	3		3	5		9		2	3			1.5	2	2.5				

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit				Mature			The same of the sa	Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Epicormic	Growth	Comment	
		Negligible	Low	Moderate		Extreme	-							-	Absent					-			Very Dense		Part of Crown (Main & Small)			No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight	110112	TE N	
3-3	5.5 6.9 (1)	V	2	(1)	4	5	0	1	V	3	0	1	2	3	0	J	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.		1	_
-	6.9 (2)	1	2	7 00	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	7	1.5	2	2.1		1	_
	7.4	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	-	0	V	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.		1	
	8.4	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	-	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.		1	
	10.4	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	6	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	2.		1	
	23.3	V	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	V	0	10	2	3	0	1	Y	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	Ş	1.5	2	2.	5	2	
	44.8	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	V	0	1	1	3	0	V	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	8	1.5	2	2,	5	3/	
-							L	L							L				L		L		_											
-					L		L	-	-		L			L	_		L		L				4	Ц		_	4	4		Н		1		
-		_	-				L	-		L	L	_		L	L		-	-	L	H			4				4	4				4		
-			\dashv			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	H	-	-	-	H	-	H	Н	-	\dashv	-	+	+	+	-	H		+		
-	-						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	+	+	-	\vdash	-	H	+	\dashv	-	+	+	+	-	H		+		_
+							-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		+	-	H	-	-	\vdash	+	\dashv	\exists	+	+	+		Н		+		
+				-		1	-	-			-	-		-	H		-	-	-	+	-	\vdash	+	\dashv	H	\dashv	+	+		H		+		
H				- 1				-	1				-	H	۲		+	-	H	1			+		+	\dashv	+	+		H		+		
1								-	-						H				H			\forall	+			+		1				+		
t								-										T				H	1	\forall		+	1	1		П		+		
																		T					7			7		1		П		1		
															Г		Г	T					1				1	1				1		
																												1				1		
																																1		
																												J						
																			E				1					I						
																					5		- 1											

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

ate: ame	/s:	10	9.	1	10	f	F	21	0	1	_				_													_					Populati Transect	
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit	_		_	- Mature				- Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Growth	T COMP		Comment
3-4	3.3	✓ Negligible	Z Low	w Moderate	High 4	57 Extreme	O Absent	Scarce				_		W Abundant	_		Common	w Abundant	_	w Sparse	Average		₩ Very Dense	☐ Most of Crown (Main & Small)	No Part of Crown (Main & Small)	w Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	∾ Moderate	Slight	5		
	13.3 (1) 13.3 (2) 13.3 (3) 19.8 37.9 48.4	1 1 1	2 2 2 2	00 00 00 00 00 00	4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5	0 0 0	1 1 3 1	2	1 2 3	8 0		1 2	3 3 3	0 0	1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	1 1 1 1	3 3	5	7	9 9 9 9 9	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2		4 4 4 4 4	8 9 8 6 9	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	2 2 2 2 2	2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	5		
	48.4	2	2	5	4	5	0	1						3	0		2	3	1	3			9	_	2	5	4		1.5	2	2.3		<u> </u>	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.		Duct Rating	9			,	Fruit			040400	Mature			Caritement	illinature.				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Growth					Comment		
		Negligible Low	-		Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	_	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight		Nil					
	4.8 (1)	1 2	13	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	.1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	2.5		2/		1			_
	4.8 (2) 7.8		1/2	1/2	1/2	1/2	1	1//	22	22	4		1/2		112		2	1/2	14	1/1	//	2	22	14	2	22	12		1//	1111	4		Dead	- 1		-3.3	_
	11.5 (1)	1/2	60 60	+	5	0	1	2	30	0	×	2	33	0	7	2	00 00	1	15	E	7	9	1	2	33	4	V	1.5	2	2.5		8	rous	1	gir	11	_
3	11.5 (1)	1/2	2 (2)	+	5	Ó	0/	5	3	0	1	2	5	0	T	9	3	7	1	5	7/	9	7	2	3	4	y	1.5	2	2.5		1					_
	14.3 (1)	0/2	33	+	5	0	1	1	3	0	1/	2	3	Ö	1	2	3	1	3	5	4	ā	T	7	3	4	V	1.5	2	2.5	_	1	_	-			-
	14.3 (2)	1/2	3	+	5	0	3	2	3	0	V	2	3	0/	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	0	1.5	2	2.5	-	7				_	-
	14.3 (3)	11/2	3	+	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3/	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	_	1					-
	14.3 (4)	W 2	3	+	5	0	1	2	3	0	I	6	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	5	2.5	2	2.5	-	8					_
	17.8	1/2	3	4	5	8	1	2	3	P	1	2	3	8	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	0	1.5	2	2.5	,	3					
	20.7 (1)	1/2	3	4	5	1	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	8	1	2	3	1	3	V	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5		1					_
	20.7 (2)	UP	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5		1	,				_
	22.3	1/2	33	4	5	1	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5		8					
	27.9																														7		ead •				
	28.7	47	3	4	5	0	K	2	3	a	1	2	3	0	y	2	3	1	0	5	7	9	1	2	3	1	5	1,5	2	2.5		4	leaves	l	2160	n	
	33.5	2	3	4	5	8	1	3	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	B	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	4	1.5	2	2.5		1	/				
	44.3	1/2	3	4	5	0	U	2	3	0	V	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	V	1.5	2	2.5		4					
						L																									1	1					_
						L											Ц					4		_	4	4					1	1					
		-	-	-	-	-	-					_									4	4		_	4	_	4				1	+					_
		-	-	-	-	L	-			_			4	Ц			_					4		_			_				1	+					_
		-	-	-	-	-	H	L		\dashv	-	_	_			Н			4	4	-	4	4	-	-	\dashv	_		\vdash		+	+		_			_
			1	+	-	H	-	-		-	_	_	-			_	_		-	-	+	4	\dashv	+	+	-	4				+	+				_	_
			-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						-		-		+	-	-	-		-	-				+	+		_			_
			+	+	-	-	-			-			-								-	-		-		-	-	-		-	+	+		-		-	_
			+	+	-	\vdash	-	H	\vdash	\dashv	-	\dashv	\dashv			\dashv	-	\vdash	\dashv	-	+	+	\dashv	-		-	+	_	\vdash	_	+	+	_	_			_

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Fruit	Mature	Immature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
7-2	3.8 5.1 (1) 5.1 (2) 7.5 17.4 (1) 17.4 (2) 33.5 39.5 43.7	Negligible Neg	A Searce 1	Absent Scarce 1	Absent Ab	assed S Assessed Asse	Most of Crown (Main & Small)		
									foliage being ed

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

5.5 (1)	Transect	Tree No.		Dust Rating	9				Fruit			NA STILLED	Matain			Immatrire					Crown Density					Dead Branches			5	Crown	Growth			Comment	
7-3 3.1 1			Negligible	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense		Crown (Main	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches		Moderate	Slight				
5.5 (2) 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 6 7 9 1 2 3 4 2 1.5 1 2.5 8 20.6 2 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 6 7 9 1 2 3 4 2 1.5 1 2.5 8 44.7 (1) 1 2 3 4 5 0 2 3 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 6 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 1 2.5 8 44.7 (2) 1 2 3 4 5 0 2 3 0 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 6 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 1 2.5 8 44.7 (3) 1 2 3 4 5 0 2 3 0 2 3 0 2 3 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 1 2.5 8 44.7 (4) 1 2 3 4 5 0 2 3 0 2 3 0 2 3 1 3 6 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 1 2.5 8 44.7 (5) 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 1 2.5 8 44.7 (6) 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 1 2.5 8 44.7 (7) 1 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 1 2.5 8 44.7 (8) 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 1 2.5 8 44.7 (9) 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 1 2.5 8 44.7 (9) 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 1 2.5 8 44.7 (9) 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 8 47.1 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 8 47.1 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 8 47.1 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 8 47.1 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 8 47.1 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 8 47.1 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 8 47.1 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 8 47.1 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 8 47.1 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 8 47.1 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 7 0 1 7 3 0 7 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 8	7-3		1/2	1	+	-	-	1	1	13		1	2	3	6	1	-	-	1		V	7	-	1	-	-					2.5	0			
20.6	(9/2	_	-	-	-	1	2	1	0	1	2	8	0	1	2	-	1	3	4	7	-	1	2		4	5	_	1					_
44.7 (1) 2/2 3 4 5 0 1/2 3 0 1/2 3 0 1/2 3 1/3 2 7 9 1/2 3 4 2 1.5 1/2 5 2 4 4 1.5 1/2 5 2 4 4 1.5 1/2 5 2 4 4 1.5 1/2 5 2 4 4 1.5 1/2 5 2 4 1/2 5 1/2 5 2 1/2 5 1			1 2	+	+	5		1	1	1	0	1	5	2	-	2	2	Ť	1	3	M	4	_	1	2	-	4	1		1			1	beaute	_
44.7 (2) 1/2 3 4 5 0 1/2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 1 2.5 7 1 44.7 (3) 1/2 3 4 5 0 1/2 3 0 1/2 3 1 1/2 3 1 1/2 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 1 2.5 7 1 2.5 7 1 2 3 1			1/2	1	+	5		1	V2	2	0	1		_		X	2	Ť	1	~		7	-	1	2	-	-	E	_	-		_	Years	01000	_
44.7 (3)			1/2	+		-		0	1	2	0	1	-		20	<u>+</u>	-		1	_	1	7	-	4	2	-	-	7		-		_	-		-
44.7 (4), \(\frac{1}{2} \) 2 3 4 5 0 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 0 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 1 2 3 1 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 8 2.5 1 2.5 2 11 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 44.7 (5) \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 4 5 0 1 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 0 1 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 1 3 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 1 3 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 1 4 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 1 2 3 4 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 1.5 1 2.5 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 44.7 (6) \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 4 5 0 1 2 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 1 3 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 7 9 1 2 3 4 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 1.5 2 2.5 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 44.7 (8) \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 4 5 0 1 2 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 0 1 2 3 0 2 3 1 3 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 1 3 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 7 9 1 2 3 4 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 1.5 2 2.5 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 47.1 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 7 9 1 2 3 4 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 1 2 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 7 9 1 2 3 4 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 1.5 2 2.5 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 1 2 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 7 9 1 2 3 4 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 1.5 2 2.5 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 1 2 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 1 3 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 7 9 1 2 3 4 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 1.5 2 2.5 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 1 2 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 1 2 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 1 2 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 1 2 3 1 3 0 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 6 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 7 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1 5 2 2.5 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 7 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1 5 1 2 3 4 7 1.5 2 2.5 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 7 \(\frac{1}{2} \) 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 7 9 1 2 3 4 7 1 5 1 2 3 4 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1		1 2	1	4	-	-	34	2	7		:/	2	_	7	1	2		1	-	5	7	-	7	2	-		7		1		_	lea es	houselle	_
44.7 (5)			W2	-	4	-		0	1	7		9	3		U	1	2	~	1	-	5	7	_	1	2		,		/	1		_	11		_
44.7 (6) \(\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c			1	1	4	1			0	3		7	-		3	1	2	-	1		0	9	-	7	7			_		1			6/	U	-
44.7 (8) 2 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 3 0 2 3 1 3 0 7 9 1 2 3 4 2 1.5 2 2.5 5 4 44.7 (9) 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 3 0 2 3 1 3 0 7 9 1 2 3 4 2 1.5 2 2.5 0 4 47.1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 3 0 2 3 1 3 0 7 9 1 2 3 4 2 1.5 2 2.5 0 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	3		1	3	-	-	-	v	2	3	-	v	3	_	0	1	2	-	1		5	7	-	1	2	~	-		/	1	_	_		/	_
44.7 (8) \$\begin{align*} \begin{align*} 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 2 & \end{align*} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & \end{align*} 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & \end{align*} 0 & 7 & 9 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1.5 & 2 & 2.5 & \end{align*} 0 & 2.5 & 2 & 2.5 & \end{align*} 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1.5 & 2 & 2.5 & \end{align*} 0 & 2.5 & 2 & 2.5 & \end{align*} 0 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 7 & 9 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1.5 & 2 & 2.5 & \end{align*} 0 & 2.5			11/1	1	1		7	1	1				1					7						1	7				1111		1111	X	/		
44.7 (9) \$\begin{align*} 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 0 & 1 & \begin{align*} 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & 9 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 1.5 & 2 & 2.5 & \begin{align*} 2 & 2.5 & \begin{align*} 2 & 2.5 & \begin{align*} 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & 9 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 1.5 & 2 & 2.5 & \begin{align*} 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 1.5 & 2 & 2.5 & \begin{align*} 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 1.5 & 2 & 2.5 & \begin{align*} 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & \begin{align*} 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 1.5 & 2 & 2.5 & \begin{align*} 3 &			2	3	4	5	0	1	2	2	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	B	7	9	1	2	3.	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	1			_
47.1 42 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 3 0 d 2 3 1 3 0 7 9 1 2 3 4 2 1.5 2 2.5 2 Some leave			2/2	3	4	5	0	1	V	3	0	u	2	3	0	2	2	3	1	3	6	1/2	9	1	2	3	4	4		2		_			
b-owring			1/2	3	4	5	0	1	2	2	0	1	V	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2,5			leave	
																																	brow	1-19	
							L																											/	
	1						L							4		1							1			_		1				1			
	-						L	L	L				_	4		4	4	4		4	4		4	1		4		1		Ц		1			
					_		L	L			Ц			4		4	_	4		1	4		1				1	4				1			_
			-		-	_	L	-						4		4	-	4		-	4	_	4	1	-	_	4	4		Н		+			_
			\vdash	-	H		H	-	-	H	Н	-	-	4	-	+	-	4	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+		Н		+			_
			+	+	\vdash		H	-	-	H	Н	\dashv		4		+	+	4	\dashv	+	\dashv	-	+	+	+	+	+	+		Н	_	+			_
	-		+	+	\vdash		H	\vdash	\vdash	Н	Н	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	\dashv	+	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		Н	-	+			_
			+	+	-	-	-	-	\vdash	H	H	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+		H	-	+	-		_
			+	+	+	H		\vdash	-	H	H	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		H	-	+			_
			+	+	+	H		-	-	H	H	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	H	-	+			_

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

6.2.4. July 2017 Field Sheets

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date: 9-7-17 Name/s: A. Harris & R. McCarron

* ** **

Population 1 Transect 1

Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Fruit	Mature	Immature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
	'								Ü
							& Sm. Only)		
							Most of Crown (Main & Small Part of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Small Only) Part of Corwn (Terminal Only) No Dead Branches		
						و ا ا	Most of Crown (Ma Part of Crown (Ma Part of Crown (5m Part of Corwn (Ter No Dead Branches		
		Negligible Low Moderate High	nt mon dant	nt non dant	nt non idant	Very Sparse Sparse Average Dense Very Dense	of Cro	Severe Moderate Slight Nil	
		Negligib Low Moderai High Extreme	Absent Scarce Common Abundani	Absent Scarce Common Abundant		Very Spa Sparse Average Dense Very Der	Most Part Part Part No D	Severe Modera Slight Nil	
T1-1	3	2 3 4 5	0 1 🗶 3	0 🗶 2 3	0 🗶 2 3	1 3 X 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗙	1.5 2 2.5 X	Dodder
	9.6 (1)	2 3 4 5	1 2 3	1 2 3	V 1 2 3	.1 🗶 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗙	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder
	9.6 (2)	2 3 4 5	1 2 3	X 1 2 3	1 2 3	1 🗴 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder
1	9.6 (3)	X 2 3 4 5	0 1 X 3	0 1 X 3	X 1 2 3	1 3 X 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5 X	Dodder
	10.5	2 3 4 5	1 2 3	0 1 2 3	1 2 3	1 3 X 7 9	1 2 3 4 🕱	1.5 2 2.5	
	14.8	X 2 3 4 5	1 2 3	X 1 2 3	1 2 3	1 3 🗙 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗙	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder
	19.7	2 3 4 5	ý 1 2 3	X 1 2 3	X 1 2 3	1 X 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗴	1.5 2 2.5	
	21.5	X 2 3 4 5	0 🗶 2 3	0 X 2 3	1 2 3	1 3 X 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5 X	
	24.8 (1)	2 3 4 5	0 1 2 🗙	0 1 🗙 3	0 🗶 2 3	1 3 5 X 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5 X	Dodder
	24.8 (2)	2 3 4 5	0 1 2	0 1 X 3	X 2 3	1 3 X 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5 X	·
	24.8 (3)								Dead
	24.8 (4)	2 3 4 5	1 2 3	X 1 2 3	X 1 2 3	1 3 X 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	
	24.8 (5)	X 2 3 4 5	0 1 2 🗴	0 1 X 3	0 X 2 3	1 3 🗙 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	
	26.3 (1)	X 2 3 4 5	X 1 2 3	1 2 3	1 2 3	13 🗙 79	1 2 3 4 🛭	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder
	26.3 (2)	2 3 4 5	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 X 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗴	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder Discose R
	27.6	2 3 4 5	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 X 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	Dadder
	33.1 (1)	2 3 4 5	0 🗶 2 3	0 🗶 2 3	1 2 3	1 3 X 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder
	33.1 (2)	2 3 4 5	0 1 🗙 3	0 🗶 2 3	0 🗶 2 3	1 🗶 5 7 9	1 2 3 4	1.5 2 2.5	
	33.1 (3)	X 2 3 4 5	0 1 🗶 3	2 3	0 1 🗶 3	1 🗶 5 7 9	1 2 3 4	1.5 2 2.5	
	33.1 (4)	2 3 4 5	0 1 2 🗶	0 1 2 🗶	X 1 2 3	1 3 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5 X	Loose Tag
	36.4	X 2 3 4 5	0 1 🗙 3	0 X 2 3	0 🗶 2 3	1 3 🗶 7 9	1 2 3 4	1.5 2 2.5 X	Dodder
	40.4 (1)	2 3 4 5	0 1 🗙 3	0 🗶 2 3	0 🗶 2 3	1 3 X 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗙	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder
	40.4 (2)	2 3 4 5	0 1 🗶 3	0 X 2 3	0 🗶 2 3	1 3 🗶 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	
[40.4 (3)	2 3 4 5	0 🗶 2 3.	0 🗶 2 3	1 2 3.	1 3 🗶 7. 9.	1 2 3 4	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder
[40.4 (4)	X 2 3 4 5	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 2 3. 1 2 3. 1 3. 1 3. 1 3. 1 3. 1 3. 1	1 X 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	
	40.4 (5)	2 3 4 5	0 1 🗙 3	0 1 🗶 3	1 2 3	1 3 7 9	1 2 3 4 X		Dodder
	46	2 3 4 5	0 1 2 🗙	0 1 🗙 3	0 X 2 3	1 3 🗶 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder
	48.7	2 3 4 5	1 2 3	X 1 2 3	X 1 2 3	1 🗙 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗙	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder

Please tick to show which value best represents each category for each tre-	e
= Previous Quarters Result	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date:	: 9 e/s: 🗚	-7-17 Harris 1	s R. Mc Carro	ካ				Population 1 Transect 2
Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	- Fruit Mature	Immature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
		Negligible Now Now Now Now Now Now Now No	Common Absent Abundant Absent Absent	Common Abundant Absent Common Common	Very Sparse Sparse Average Dense Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Small Only) Part of Corwn (Terminal Only) No Dead Branches	Severe Moderate Slight	
T1-2	5.6 (1)	1 2 3 4 5	01401	2 3 0 2 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	Dodder /
	5.6 (2)	1 2 3 4 5		3 9 1 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
	8.8 14.2 (1)	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5		2 3 3 2 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	Dodder V
	14.2 (1)	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5		3 0 2 2 3 2 3 0 2 2 3	1 3 3 7 9 1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3	
	17.8	1 2 3 4 5		3 0 2 2 3	1 3 5 7 9 1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3	Dodder
	24.5 (1)	1 2 3 4 5		230223	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	-
	24.5 (2)	1 2 3 4 5		2 3 0 2 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
	24.5 (3)	1 2 3 4 5	018801	2 3 0 2 2 3	1 3 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 3	
			$\blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare$					
		+++	╂┼┼┼╂┼┼	+				
			+++++					
}			╂┼┾┽╂┼╀					
			╂┼┼┼╂┼┼	╅╂┼┼┼				
1 }		 	 	 				
			 					
]. [
[
			\blacksquare			$\bot \bot \bot \bot \bot $		

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date:		9- 7-1 Harris		andn				Population 1 Transect 3
Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Fruit	Mature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
		Negligible Low Moderate High Fxteme	Absent Scarce Common Abundant Absent Scarce	Common Absent Scarce Common Abundant	Very Sparse Sparse Average Dense Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Small Only) Part of Corwn (Terminal Only) No Dead Branches	Severe Moderate Slight Nil	
T1-3	1.4	★ 23 年 6		X3X123	13 🗶 7 9	1 2 3 4 🔏	S ≥ is z 1.5 2 2.5 ¥	Dodder 🗸
	24	2 3 4 5			1 3 2 .7 9	1 2 3 4 🗶	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder:
	26.1 (1)	2 3 4 5			1 3 🗶 7 9	1 2 3 4	1.5 2 2.5	Dodderi/
	26.1 (2)	X 2 3 4 5	01 3 0 %	2 3 0 X 2 3 2 3 0 X 2 3	1 3 🗶 7 9	1 2 3 X 5	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder)
	26.1 (3)							Dead Sdelete
	27.7 (1)	X 2 3 4 5		2 3 🗶 1 2 3	1 🗴 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder V
	27.7 (2)	X 2 3 4 5	0 🗶 2 3 0 🕱	2 3 🗶 1 2 3	1 🔏 5 7 9	1 2 🗶 4 5	1.5 2 2.5 🗙	Dodder
	· 32.7 (1)	X 2 3 4 5	2 3 3 3	2 3 😿 1 2 3	1 5 7 9	1 2 3 4	1.5 2 2.5	Dodder X
	32.7 (2)	% 2 3 4 5		23 2 1 2 3	1 🗶 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5 🗴	
	34.4 (1)	X 2 3 4 5			1 3 🗡 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗙	1.5 2 2.5 🔏	
	34.4 (2)	4 2 3 4 5	0 1 🗶 3 0 🗴	2 3 0 🕉 2 3	1 3 🗶 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗴	1.5 2 2.5	
	35.1	x 2 3 4 5	X 1 2 3 X 1		1 🗙 5 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	
]]	38.7	X 2 3 4 5			1 3 🗶 7 9	1 2 3 4	1.5 2 2.5	
	47.3 (1)	X 2 3 4 5	0 1 🗶 3 0 🗶	2 3 0 🗶 2 3	1 3 🗶 7 9	1 2 3 4 🗙	1.5 2 2.5	
	47.3 (2)	X 2 3 4 5	1 1/0	2 3 0 🗶 2 3	1 3 🗶 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5	
	47.3 (3)	X 2 3 4 5	0 1 🕉 3 0 🛣	2 3 0 2 2 3	1 3 🗶 7 9	1 2 3 4 X	1.5 2 2.5 🗴	
			╂┼┼┼╂┼┤	+				·
		+++	╂┼┼┼╂┼	+++++		 	-	
		+++	╂┼┼┼╂┼┤	╁┼╂┼┼┼				
		+++	╂┼┼┼╂┼┤	+++++		\square		
			$\blacksquare + + + = \blacksquare + = \blacksquare$	+			-	
1 -		++++	┠┤ ┤╏┤┤	╎┤╏╎╎╎				
			╂┼┼┼╂┼				-+++	
		++++	┠┼┤┤╏┤ ┤	┾┼╂┼┼┼┤				
-		+++	╂┼┼┼╂┼┤	╁┾╂┼┼┤	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 			_
			┠╎╎╏╏	╀╂┼┼┼				

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

lame	e/s:	/	1	1	40	11	n	S	V	B	R		m	CC	of	1	07	1															Population 1 Transect 4
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating	0				Fruit				Mature				Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches			a mount	Enicormic	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	_	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Ablindant	Absent	Scarce	10	1	-	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Vense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Crown (Main	of Crown (Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	INO CERTO D	Severe	Moderate	Slight	7	
1-4	2.3	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	0	13	0	1	V	3	0	3	2	3.	1	3	V	7	9	1	2	3	4 8	-	1.5	2	2.5	1	
-	16 (1)		12	3	4	5	0	2	X	3	0	4	2	3	(6	3	2	3	1	3	V	1	9	1	2		4	-	1.5	2	2.5	13	
-	16 (2) 16 (3)		12	2	4	5	0	8	1	15	10	1	12	3	7	-1	14	Ø,	1	(2)	V	/	3	1	4	3	4 3	-	1.5	2	2.5		
1	16 (4)	-/	3	0	A	0	0	1	65	1 3	0	2	10	3	1	7	5	2	2	3	1	7	0	4	-	3	9 8	7	1.5	3	2.5	9	
1	16 (5)	7	2	0	4	8	0	0	2	10	10	7	100	7	1	R	7	0	1	3	10	3	0	7	5	2	7 18	+	1.5	2	2.5	10	
ŀ	16 (6)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	7	2	10	1	7	3/	01	1	3	3	1	2	4	7	9	7	2	3	д (S	7	15	2	2.5	0	
	16 (7)		نے	3	4	5	Ü	1	8	1	0	0	(3	D	1	3	3	-	1	4	7	9	-	2		4	+	15	7	25	7	Starting to die, leaves brown D
	18.6	1	2	3	Á	5	ō	1	1	13	0	4	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	133	4	7	9	1	2	3	4 1		1.5	2	2.5	3	bioring to die, reares shown \$2
	21	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	,3	V	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3	4 1	1	1.9	2	2,5	8	
Ī	21.7	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	V	13	0	1	V	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	V	1	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	
	22.9	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	0	3	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	33	V		9	ī	2	3	4 3		1,5	2	2.5	100	
	24.1	1	Z	8	4	5	0	1	.2	3	2	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4		1.5	2	2.5	3	
1	34 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	12	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	8	5	4	9	1	2	3.	4 5	/	1.5	72	2.5	177	Dodder
	34 (2)	I	2	3	4	5	0	1	3	/3	0	1	V	3	V	,1	2	3	1	3	5	~	9	1	2	3	4	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	
-	37.3 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	2	1	2	3	9	13	2	3	1	1	2	3	T	3	Ż	7	9	1	2	3	4 5	7	1.5	2	2.5	3	
+	37.3 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	8	1	2	3	6	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1	2	-	4 5	-	1.5	2	2.5	3	
-	43.4	N.	4	07	4	5	0	1	2	0	10	1	1	3	0	1	7	3	1	000	5	1	2	7	2	-	4	+	1.5	2	2.5	13	
\dashv	44.8	1	¥	3	4	2	0	1	2	2	0	1	1	3	0,	1	2	3	1	3	5	4	9	1	2	3	4 5	1	1.5	2	2.5	8	
+		H	+		-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-			H	H	-	Н	H	H	-		+	-	+	+	1	t		+		-	
1		H				-	1	+	+	+	1	-			H		-		-	H		+	1	-	+	+	+	t		+		-	
t							T			T	T			П					-	H		1	1			1	1	t		+			
							T			T	T	T				H							1					t		1			
											I												1					T					
																												T					
											1												1		T	T	T	I		T			

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

9-7-17 A. Haris B R. McCarlon Date: Population 1 Name/s: Transect 5 Dead Branches Crown Density **Dust Rating** Crown Epicormic Growth mmature Comment Tree No. Mature Fait T1-5 24.2 2 2.5 **X** Dodder 30.1 1.5 2 2.5 X Dodder 44.1 2.5 X Dodder

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date Nam	: e/s: /	9-7- 9. Harri	17 SBR	. <u>Mc</u> cari	/d7n				Population 1 Transect 6
Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Fruit	- Mature	- Immature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
T1-6	4.8 11.7 (1) 11.7 (2) 13.1 19.4 (1) 19.4 (2) 21.6 (1) 21.6 (2) 23.1 34.5	agging and a service and a ser	ı,			3 5 7 9 1 3 5 7	Most of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Main & Small) Part of Crown (Small Only) Part of Corwn (Terminal Only) No Dead Branches	1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 2 2.5 3	Dead Dodder Dodder Dodder Dodder Dodder Dodder Dodder Dodder Dodder

М	ease tick to show which value best represents each	category	for each	tree
	= Previous Quarters Result			

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date Nam	: 9 e/s: #	-7-17 9. Ham	SBR.	Mctarron				Population 1 Transect 7
Transect	Tree No.	Dust Rating	Fruit	Mature	Crown Density	Dead Branches	- Crown - Epicormic Growth	Comment
T1-7	13.5 15.5 23.6 28.5 (1) 28.5 (2) 31.4 33.7 (1) 36.7 (2) 36 (1) 36 (2) 38 46.4 (1) 46.4 (2) 46.4 (3) 46.4 (4) 46.4 (5) 47.9 49.4	Polymer Service		Appendix Appendix	1 3 5 X 9 1 3 5 7 9 1 3 5 7 9 1 3 X 7 9 1 3 X 7 9 1 3 X 7 9 1 3 7 7 9 1 3 7 7 9 1 3 7 7 9 1 7 5 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 9 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		### ### #### #########################	

Please tick to show which value best represents each category for each tree = Previous Quarters Result

Lots of dead trees?

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date:	e/s: 🏄	7	- -	9 10	-	1'	<u>7</u> ड		ß	K	?.	η	<u>_</u>	Lo	1 ¥	V	v١	٦																Population 1 Transect 8
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit				- Mature			_	Immature					Crown Density	,				Dead Branches				Crown	Epicormic Growth	1	Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	ω Ablindant		Absent	Scarce	Common	ω Abundant	Very Sparse	XSparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight		
T1-8	1.3	X	2	3	4	5	X	1	2	3	X	٧				X.	1			1	X	5	7	9	1	2	3	X	5	1.5	2	2.5	X	Dodder
	18 22.7	X	2	3	4	5	0	1	-	X	0	+-	X	3		0	X	2	3	1	3	X	7 7	9	-	2	_	4	X	1.5 1.5	2	2.5 2.5	X	4
	34.2 (1)	XX	2	3	4	5	0	1	X	3	0		2			0	X	2	3	1	3	X	7	9	-	2	_	X	5	1.5	2	2.5	C	One dead branch, Dodder
	34.2 (2)	χ̈́	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	1-	0	1	Σ		1000	0	X	2	3	1	3	X	7	9	-	2	-	4	X	1.5	2	2.5	X	Dodder
	38	X	2	3	4	5	0			-	0		2	3	þ		1	2	3	1	3	X	7	9	-	2	-	4	X	1.5	2	2.5	X	
	40.8	X	2	3	4	5	X	1	2	3	X	1	Ž	3	D	X	1	2	3	1	3	X	7	9	1	2	3	4	X	1.5	2	2.5	X	,
1 }		Н		Н	_		┞	┝	╀	┝	H	╀	╀	+	ł	+	\dashv	_	_	-	┝		┝	L	┞	-	╀	L	H				┝	
1 1		Н		-		_	┝	\vdash	╁	H	┢	╁	+	+-	t	+	+				H		H		H		╁		Н		┝		╁	
		Н		П			t		t	İ	t	t	t		t	†	\dagger	_			┢		T		t		t		Н				t	
															Ī										Ĺ								Ī	
		Ш		Ц			L		L		L	L	L		L		_				L					L			Ц				L	
		Н					L	L	\perp	_	┞	1	Ļ	-	ļ	4	4	_			-		L		L	L	-	_	Н		L		L	
		Н	-	\dashv		_	┡	\vdash	H	H	┞	+	+	+	╀	+	\dashv	-		_	-	\vdash	-	_	┞	H	\vdash	\vdash	Н		_		H	
		H	\dashv	\dashv		_	┢	\vdash	H	╁	H	+	t	+	t	+	+	-			\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	-	H	H	+	\vdash	Н		H	<u> </u>	H	
		H	\dashv	\dashv			T	T		H	t	t	t	t	t	\dagger	\dagger				T	\vdash	T	Т	t	t	T	T	H		H		t	
							Г	Γ	T	T	İ	T	T	T	t	Ť	1						Ī		T	T	T		П		Γ		T	
													L																					
		Ц						L		L	L		L	\perp	ļ	\downarrow	4	_				L	L	L	L	L			Ц				L	
		Н	_	_		_	L	L	L	L	L	\vdash	\downarrow	\perp	ļ	4	4			_	L		L	L	L	L	_	<u> </u>	Ц				L	
		Н	-	\dashv			-	H	⊦	╀	┞	H	╀	+	╀	+	+	4	Н	H	H	H	\vdash	\vdash	┞	\vdash	+		Н		H		\vdash	
		Н	\dashv	\dashv			┝	H	H	H	H	$^{+}$	+	+	t	+	+	-	-	-	H		H	-	-	\vdash	-	\vdash	Н				H	-
		H	\dashv	\dashv		Н	T		t	t	t	-	t	+	t	\dagger	+	1		-			t	H	t	t	T	H	H		H		t	
		H	7				T		T	T	T	t	T	T	t	\dagger	7		T	Γ	Г	Т	T	Г	T	T	T	Γ	П		Г		T	
							Γ			Γ		l	Γ		I	İ	I											Γ					Γ	

Please tick to show which value best represents each category for each	tree
= Previous Quarters Result	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

				bo																Ī	sity					ches							
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit				Mature				immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme		Scarce	Comman		Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight	ī	
-1	4.1 (1)	2	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	1	Ō	1	4	3	0	1	2	200	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	X	6	1,5	2	2.5	_	
	4.1 (2)		2	3	4	5	:0	1	1	3	Q	1	2	3	1	1	2	33	1	3	5	11	8	1	2	3	1	5	15	2	2.5	-	
- 1	4.1 (3)		2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	Ĭ	0	0	1	2	33	1	3	3	7	9	1	2	3.	9	3	1.5	.2	2.5	1	
H	8.9 (1)	1	2	9	4	5	0	1	2	2	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	3	8	7	9	1	2	3	A	1	1.5	2	25	1	
ł	8.9 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	13	0	X.	2	3	0	×.	2	93	1	3	X	7	9	1	2	3	4	S	1.5	.2	2.5	1	
-	14.3	1	2	d	4	5	0	1	1	3	8	1	2	3	0	1	5	X50	1	0	5	7	9	1	2	V	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	13	Dodder /
1	19 22.6	H	4	3	4	2	0	8	12	2	0	1	6	3	Z	I	2	S.	-	1	5	1	2	1	2	3	-	5	15	2	2.5	13	Dodder
-	26 (1)	1	2	2	4.	0	0	120	100	5.	0	1	1	3	0	7	Z.	3	-	.3	6	7	27	1	4	3	4	1	1.5	3	2.5	2	Dedder 2
	26 (2)	1	5	8	4	0	0	0	5	3	0	1	5	2	X	4	4	5	4	8	2	7	0	1	2	3	Jer.	8	1.5	2	2.5	12	Dodder /
ł	30.5 (1)	1	5	3	4	5	8	1000	8	1	0	4	2	2	8	4	3	in in	1	0.0	2	1	2	1	-5	3	ď	0	1.5	2	2.5	2	Dodder
ŀ	30.5 (2)		5	9	A	2	n	Ť.	7	1	N	4	3	9	X	1	5	0.0	4	0	2	1	3	1	14	2	1	W	1.5	3	1	13	
1	30.5 (3)			7		11	1	111	111	//	7	110	111		7/	111	111	77	7	11	10		10		111		110		1111	111	1111	1	Dead -
ŀ	35.4	4	24	3	4	1/2	0	1/2	1//	2	6	1//	5	1	3	32	7//	2	///	5			24	22			20	4	1.5	1/1	1112	4/2	Dead
	46.8 (1)	1	5	3	4	9	0	7	1	2	n	1	1	3	N	9	9	2	7	0	1	7	9	1	2	2	4	1	1.5	3	2.5	1	
1	46.8 (2)	7		1	11	111	1	0	1			1		7	11	111	111	11	111	1	11		7		7	11	10		1111	1	1111	V	Dead
	46.8 (3)	1	2	3	4	5	1	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	7	9	1	3	5	2	9	-	2	3	4	4	1.5	7//	2.5	1	- Cau
	50	1	2	3	4	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	1	3	2	3	7	3	1	3	1	9	9	1	2	3	Δ	8	1.5	2	2.5	1	
																								7			Í					Ī	
		H	+				H							4					L				4					4				-	
ł		H	+				-	-		H				\forall					-		-		H					+		H		+	
1			1											1									T					1		H		+	
																												T					
- 1	-																											1					

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Vame	e/s: RA		<u></u>	- //	11	1						2								_							1					Transect 2
Transect	Tree No.		1	Dust Rating	_		_	Fruit			1	Mature			400000	minimature				Crown Density					Dead Branches			an car	Enicormic	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	LOW	-	+	+	+	-	1	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	FCrown	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight	12	
2-2	15.6 (1) 15.6 (2)	1	1	3 4	+	0	1	0	13	0	1	2	3	Ox.	1	2	3	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3.	NA.	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
	20.8 (1)	1	+	3 4	+	0	1	1	30	0	1	4	3	N.	1	2	12	景	120	8	7	9	7	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	25	×	Pushed over
	20.8 (1)	100	X	W)	1	1	1	1	2	7	1	11	1//	1	111	111	11	11	111	111		//	//	7	//	11		11111		1111	1//	Dead /
1	26.7	1	1	42	1	42	1	2	3	P	1	2	3	11	11	2	3	1/2	1//	11	7	9	1	1/1	42		24	1.5	2	2.5	14	Deau -
	30.5	1	,	3 4	1	0	+	2	3	0	1	2	33	0	1	2	200	1	3	3	7	9	I	2	3	1	5	1.5	7	2.5	1	
	36	1		4	1	0	2	1	3	0	1	9	3	8	1	1	3	1	3	5/	2	9	I	2	3	4	5	1.5	2	2.5	3	
Ì	37.8 (1)	í.		3 4	13	0	1	1	3	2	1	2	3	8	1	2	8	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	1	5	15	2	2.5	1	
1	37.8 (2)		*		*		1	1										7													1//	Dead 🗸
	50	í:		I A	1	0	1	0	3	O	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	93	125	/	9	1	2	3	4/	5	1.5	2	2.5	1	

Please tick to show which value best represents each category for each tree

= Previous Quarters Result

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Date: Name	e/s:	P	_/	7	×	8	1	A	-	1			· h																				Population 2 Transect 3
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit				Inlature			oziitemai	IIIIII arai c				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Соттоп	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight		Z
2-3	8.2	ĺ	2	27	4	5	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	1	6	ļ	2	3	1	133	2	Ø	9	1	2	3	1	5	1.5	2	2.5	1	3
	28.8	(1)	2	3	4	157	0	1	2	V	0	1	2	2	V	1	2	100	1	037	153	1	9	1	2	3	4	6	1.5	2	2.5	-	3
	36.5	1	3	3	14	5	0	3	2	3	0	1	2	3	X	I	3	og	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	8	1.5	2	2.5	-	3
	38.6	1	0	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	X	2	3	0	Y	2	50	1	35	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	Ď	1.5	2	2,5	-	3
	42.7 (1)	1	Ø	777	4.	5	O	1	1	3	0	2	2	3	0	Z	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4	9	1.5	2	2,5	_	3
	42.7 (2)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	9	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	~	V	1.5	2	2.5	-	3
	46.5	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3)	0	1	2	3	1	777	5	/	9	1	2	3.	4	8	1.5	2	2,5	1	6
		Ш					L	-			L												Ц				Ц	4				1	
		Н	L		_		L	L	-	-	L				Н	Н			Н	Ц				\perp		4	4	-				+	
		H					L	_	L		L				Н		_		Н		_		_		_	_	Ц	4				+	
		H					L	-	-		L			Н		_	_		Н				4	\dashv	4	4	\dashv	4		H		+	
		H	H				H	-	-	-	L						_	-	Н		_		4	_	4	-	4	4			_	+	
		H					H	-	-		H						-	_	Н				-	-	4	4	_	4		H		+	
		H					L	-	-	-	L			-	Ц		4	Ц	Н		_	4	4	-	\dashv	4		4		H		+	
- }		L		L			L	_	-		L				Н	4	4	Ц	Н	Н			4	4	4			4		H		+	
		L		L			L	-	-	L	L		L	Ц	Н		4		Н	Н	_		Н	_	4	4		4				+	
- 1		H					H	-	\vdash	H	H			-	-	4	4	Щ	Н	Н			4		4	-	-	4		H		+	
		H			_		H	-	-	-	-			_	Н				Н				4	\Box				4		H		+	
				H			H	-	1	-	-								Н		_		4	-	-	-		4		H		+	
		H		H			H	-	-	-	-								Н				4		4		\dashv	4	_	H		+	
-					Ц		L	-	1	-	1						4		Н						4	4	Ц	4				+	
-							L	-	-	-	L								Н				Ц			_		4				1	
								-	-										Ц					_				4				1	
							L	L	-	L									Ц				Ц	\Box		4		4		Ц		1	
																							Ц					4		Ц		1	
ļ									L		L								Ц									1				1	
- 1																												- 1				1	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

3-1 1.9	Mature Immature Crown Density Crown Epicormic Growth	Comment
3.8	Common Abundant Absent Scarce Common Abundant Absent Scarce Common Abundant Abundant Abundant Abundant Abundant Abundant Abundant Abundant Abundant Abundant Abundant Abundant Abundant Abundant Absent Absent Absent Absent Absent Absent Absent Absent Absent Absent Absent Absent Abundant Aber of Crown Part of Crown Part of Crown Part of Crown Absent Absent Abundan	=-
5.3 (1)		3
5.3 (2)		3
9.2		3
17	ライス(Q イック) マンナス(Q) () () () () () () () () ()	(S)
18.5		
19.2 1/2 3 4 5 7 1 2 3 7 1 2 3 7 1 2 3 7 7 9 1 2 3 7 5 1.5 2 2.5 5 42.7 1/2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 7 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 5 47.7 (1) 1/2 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 7 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 5 47.7 (2) 2 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 7 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 5 50 (1) 1/2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 7 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 5 50 (2) 1/2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 5 50 (3) 1/2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 5 50 (4) 1/2 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1.5 2 2.5 5		1
42.7 (1)		1
47.7 (1)		7
47.7 (2)		4
50 (1)		2
50 (2)		7
50 (3)		1
50 (4) 2 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 2 7 9 1 2 3 4 6 1.5 2 2.5 2		3
	X 3 0 X 2 3 0 X 2 3 1 3 X 7 9 1 2 3 4 6 1.5 2 2.5	1
	2 3 0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 2 7 9 1 2 3 4 5 1,5 2 2.5	3
	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	
		

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

T3-2 2.4 (1) No Dead Branches Nill No Dead Branches No Dead Table Branches Table Br	
2.4 (2)	
5 7.2 2 3 4 5 0 2 2 3 8 2 2 8 1 3 5 8 5 1 5 2 2 5 5 40.2 6 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 5 8 9 1 2 3 8 5 1 5 2 2 5 5	
7.2	
36.9	
40.2 6 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 2 0 1 2 3 0 2 2 3 1 3 5 7 9 1 2 3 8 5 15 2 2.5 \$	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit			Mature				- Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	_		Common	Approprie	Vary Sparter	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight		z Z
3-3	5.5 6.9 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	1	Jul - Uu	0	1	2	3 (2	/ 2	1 3	3 2	3	1	7	9	1	2	U. U.S	4	15	1.5	2	2.5	100	
	6.9 (2)	8	2	3	4	5	0	7	3	3	0	1	2	3 4	1	1 0		1	13	5	7	3	4	2	3	4	E	1.5	2	2.5	-	
	7.4	1	2	30	4	15	Ö	1	2	3	D	1	2	3 (3	1	1	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3	4	E	1.5	2	25	+	3
	8.4	1	2	203	4	5	Ö	1	1	577	0	h	2	3 (2 .	1 2	2 3		3	V	17	g	1	2	3	4	ś	1.5	2	2.5	+	9
	10.4	1	60	3	4	5	8	Ī	2	3	6	1	2/	3 1	1	1 /2	2 3	3	1	5	7	9	1	2	300	4	12	1.5	2	2,5	8700	3
	23.3	170	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	2	1	3 () ;	1		3 1	1 3	5	1	9	1	2	770	4	100	1.5	2	2.5		
	44.8	(3)	E/A	3	4	5	0	1	2	٥	0	À.	1	3 (2	2 3	3 1	1 3	5	7	9	1	2	3	4	103	1.5	.2	2,5	920	3
				100 100 200								1		+	-			+									B D					
										-																						
														+	-			+														
																		+	-													
														1	1	1	1	1	1												1	
				_		.1)			Ц			4	4	1	+	+	1	1	1	-	L	L					4				1	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

iaine/	s: Russ	4	/	4	-	_					_	o	7				_				_					_					Transect 4
Transect	Tree No.		Dust Rating	0			4	Fruit			Mature				Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches	_		umo.	Enicormic	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	Moderate	_	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	-	Abundant	Absent	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	f Crown (Main 8		Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight		= Z
3-4	3.3	1/2	3	4	5	0	1	2	S.	0	1	V	3	0 -	12	3	i	3	1	7	9	1	2	-	4.		1.5	2	2.5	1	
	13.3 (1)	¥ 2	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	0 2	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	-	4	2	1.5	2	2.5	1	1
	13.3 (2)	1	3	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	0 5	1	3	1	3	1	17.	9	1	2	-		1	1.5	2	2.5	13	5.
-	13.3 (3)	1/2	3	4	5	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	3	0 7	2	3	1	3	0	7	9	1	2	~	411	4	1.5	2	2.5	0	1
-	19.8	1 1	3	4	2	0	1	2	0	2		4	5	0 1	1	77	À	3	5		2	1	0	-	4 8	1	1.5	2	2.5	1	7
-	37.9 48.4	1	2	4	5	0	1	v	2	0	2	6	5	0 1	/ 2	3	1	27	5	7	9	1	2	-	-	-	1.5	2	2.5	15	1
																															Being bamon for sign

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

Transect	Tree No.		1	Dust nating			1				Mature				ש				==		- 1		- 1	چ							
										Т	Ma	_	L	1	Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches			Crown	Enicormic	Growth		Comment
		Negligible	Low	High	Extreme	Absent	Scarce	_	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	1	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	Very Dense	Most of Crown (Main & Small	Crown	Crown	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	-	severe	Moderate	Slight		
7-1	4.8 (1)		2	4	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	3	1	1	2	3	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	4/		5	2	25	3	7
	4.8 (2)	1/4		200		12	2	14	4	2	140	100						2		22	4				20	1/2		2		1/2	Dead /
	7.8		2	4	1	0	3	2	3/		<i>y</i> -	2		ŧ	#	2	1	1	2	7	8	1	-	3	4 /	-	5	2	25	X	Dead
-	11.5 (1)		2 :	4	5	2	1	7	3	2		13	B	1	2	3	-	3	5	7	9	2	2	3	4 5	-	5	(2)	25	1	
+	11.5 (2)	3.1	2	1.4	5	0	L	L	4	U. I	2	10	8	1	4	3	1		7	.7	9	L	2	al I	4 2	-	.5	2	2.5	1	
-	14.3 (1) 14.3 (2)	1	2 3	5 4	,0	U O	7	7	3	0 1	1 2	3	1	1	2	3	1	3		Y	2	1	2	3	4 18	-	5	2	2.5	3	
1	14.3 (2)	8	313	1 1	2	6	7	1	3	A		13	1	1	3	3	± ,	, 9 (b)	1	7	5	4	-	7	4 12	-	5	2	2.5	7	
1	14.3 (4)	35 Y	4, 5	2 24	2	o.	y.	5/	2	0	-	1 3	1	4	· 60	0	7	2	7	065	5	1	7	2	+ 12	_	5	5	2.5	10	
ŀ	17.8	100	9 5	4	2	16		2	=	1	1 5	3	100	1	9	3	7	2	1	7	0	1	2	2	4 IS	-	5	2	2.5	18	
ł	20.7 (1)	8	2 3	A	5	1		7	3	1	1 5	1 7	6	1	5	9	5	9	1	7		T	7	3	4 07	1	5	3	2.5	1	
ŀ	20.7 (2)	8	2 2	a	5	7	U	7	5	8	T	13	1	7	7	3	8	3	1	7	g	7	7	2	1		5	2	2.5	1	
Ì	22.3	Q.	2 3	4	5	1	1	2	3	1	1 2	3	2	(i	2	3	1	3	1	9	9	1	2	3	4 9	-	.5	2	2.5	3,	
1	27.9			100								XII.	1	1											W	1	111		////	VI	Dead /
	28.7	-	7			9	4	-	3		1	3	1	1	-	3	1	-	2	7	5	1	4	4	4	1	3	2	2.5	X	Deads
	33.5	ī	2 3	4	5	6	1	2	3	8	1 2	3	0	1	2	3	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3:	/	I	.5	2	2.5	1	
	44.3	N	2 3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1/2	3	0	1	2	3.	1	3	5	1	9	1	2	3	46	1	.5	2	2,5	1	
									1												1	1			Ĭ					1	
													L																		
					Ш																										
					Ц	Ш			1				L																		
		Ц		1	Ц	Ц	Ц		1				L	Ц							1	1	1								
			1		Ц																				1						
			1																		1										
			1	1	Ц	Ш			1			2		Ц					\Box							L					
			1		Ц					-			L								1										

4 - 4 -

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

diffe	e/s:		P	11	1 3	B	B		m	1		_																				Transect 2
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Rating					Fruit			Mature				Immature				Crown Density					Dead Branches				Crown	Growth		Comment
7.7		Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	⊴	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	4 6	Scarce	Abreston	Abundani	20	Average	Dense		· Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Small Only)	Part of Corwn (Terminal Only)	No Dead Branches	Severe	Moderate	Slight		
7-2	3.8 5.1 (1)	1	2	33	4	5	0	V	2	000	0	1	2	3	8	1 0	2 3	-	1 3	1	7	9	1	2	000	1	5	1.5	2	2.5	+	
	5.1 (1)	/	2	37	4	10	98	1	2	0	0	1	1	3	/	+	5 3	+	1 6	13	7	9	-	2	0	ž.	Z	15	3	2.5	-	
	7.5	/	0	JO. 15	4	5	D	1	7	0 00	1	1	2	3	1	1	2 1	1	1 0	1	7	5	1	5	1	1	5	1.5	2	2.5	-	
9	17.4 (1)	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	7	d	1	2	3	1		2	1	1 3	5	2	19	1	2	3	4	3	1.5	2	2.5	- 1	1
	17.4 (2)	1	2	3	a	5	0		2	3	6	1	2	3	8	u.	2	T	1 3	5	7	8	1	2	773	4	5	1.5	2	2.5		1
	33.5	/	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	d	1	2	3	1 3	5	1	9	1	'n,	3	4	5	1.5	2	215	1	
	39.5	7	2	100	4	5	0	X	2	3	0	1	2	3	7	1	2	3	1 3	5	1	9	1	2	3	9	1	1.5	2	2.5		
	43.7	/	2	3	a	5	6	1	2	3	C	1	2	3	Ø	1	2	3	1 2	18	7	9	1	2	d	4	5	1,5	0	1/		Growing fungus?
		Ц		A I									1	1		1	1	1		1											1	leads eater?
		Н	4				L			Н		-	4	4	-	+	+	+	+	+	1						4		Н		+	
		Н	-	- 1	-	-	H			Н		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		H	-	Н	-	\dashv		Н		+	
		H					-	-	-	H	H	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	H	Н	-	H		\dashv		H		+	
		H			H		-	-	-	H	Н	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	H	H	7			+		H		+	
		H								H	\forall	+	+	†	+	\dagger	+	t	+	t	t			1			1		H		+	
		П				H						1	1	1	1	+	1	†	1	1	T			1			1		\Box		+	
														1		Ţ		T													1	
														1		I		I	I													
		Ц					L					I	I	I	I	I	I	I													I	
													1	1		1	1	1													1	
		Ц					L			Ц		\perp	1	1	1	1	1	1	1								4		Ш		1	
							L	L		Ц	Ц	4	4	1	+	1	1	1	1	1	1		Ш				4		Н		1	
		H					L					1	4	1	+	+	1	1	+	-	1		Н	Ц		\dashv	4		H		+	-
		H					-	L	-			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		H			-	4		H		+	
		H	-				H	-		Н		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	H	Н	-	H	-	4	-	H		+	
		H	-				-	H	H	\vdash		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	H	Н	_		-	-		H		+	

Annual Compliance Assessment Report

Monitoring Results

	/s:	-	11	1	\$	T	21	A.1		_		_										-									Transect 3	
Transect	Tree No.			Dust Kating	-		_	Fruit				- Mature	-			Illinature		1		Crown Density				1000	Dead branches	1		Crown	Growth			Comment
7.2		Negligible	FOW	Moderate	High	Extreme	Absent	Starce	Common	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Abundant	Absent	Scarce	Common	Abundant	Very Sparse	Sparse	Average	Dense	use S	Most of Crown (Main & Small)	Part of Crown (Main & Small)	_	No Dead Branc	Severe	Moderate	Slight			
7-3	3.1	1	2	3	4 3	5	0	1	2	3	0	1 1	3	0	1	2	S22 II	1	3	1	7	9	1	2 3	3 4	100	1.5	1	2.5	-		
	5.5 (1)	/	2 3	5 1	4 3	2	0	1	1	3	0	1 8	3	D.	1	2	(A)	3	33	5	7	9	1	4 3	3 2	5	1.5	1	2.5	12	3	
	5.5 (2) 20.6	1	4	2 1	1	1	V	1	6	2	0	1 14	0	1	1	1 1/2	3	1	7	9	4	2	A.	4	2 4	100	1.5	1	3.5	100	Dead	
	44.7 (1)	1	2	2 4	7	9	0	1	3	0	0	1	2 2	100	7	5	2	7	3	100	4	0	1	7 8	1	N N	1.5	Ÿ	2.5	100	JEGG	
	44.7 (1)	1	2	3 /	1	5	2	1	2	3		1	2	16	1	2	0	7	3	1	2	9	1	7	3 7	1 1	1.5	1	2.5	-		
9	44.7 (2)	1		5 1		1	7		5	1						9	9		~	P	5		4			100	3.5	Ť	75		Dead	
9	44.7 (4)	1		3 2		5			2	3			-	Ĕ		7	3		3	5		3		2	1	12	15	-	25		Dead	
9	44.7 (5)	1		3 4		5	0		2	3	0		3		-	2	3		3	8	ļ	1		2		12	1.5	1		1	Dead	
	44.7 (6)	1	2	3 4	1	5	0	V	5	3	8	2	3	V	1	2	100	1	1	5	7	9	1	2	3 4	5	1.5	1	2.5	19		
	44.7 (7)		X		1								W	1	111													1	1111	V	Dead 🗸	
	44.7 (8)	1	2	3 4	1	5	0	1	2	2	0	I	3	0	1	2	500	1	3	1	7	9	1	2	3 4	1 5	1.5	2	2.5	1		
	44.7 (9)	1	2	3 4	1 8	5	0	1	1	3	0	1	3	Ó	1	2	63	1	3	1	7	9	1	2 3	3 2	1 8	I.S	2	2.5	8	pead	
	47.1	1	2	3 4	4 2	5	0	1	2	1	Ö	1 8	07	0	1	(2)	121	1	33	4	70	9	1	2 5	3 4	Total	1.5	2	2.5	TO TO		
			-	-		1							F	E								1			-							
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+			F							1	+	+	-	+			-		+		
		H	1	+	+	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	+								+	1	+	+	1			\perp		+		
		Щ	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	I	L						1	I	1	1		1					L		
			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	L				Ц	4	1	1	1	_		1	1				1		
			1	1	1	1	1	1		1		1	-	L					4	1		1	1		1			-		-		
3		Ш	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	1	1	1	L					4	1	4	1	1	-	1	1		1	-	1		
10			\perp	1	1	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	+	L	L			Ц	4	4	4	1	1		1			1		1		